

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GIBRALTAR PARLIAMENT

AFTERNOON SESSION: 3.04 p.m. – 8.46 p.m.

Gibraltar, Wednesday, 21st December 2022

Contents

Prayer4
Confirmation of Minutes
Papers to be laid4
Questions for Oral Answer5
Housing, Employment, Youth and Sport5
Q523/2022 GSLA swimming pools – Timetable allocations5
Q524/2022 Government rented flats – Publication and contents of promised booklet6
Q525/2022 Review of Housing Act – Reason for delay6
Q526/2022 Government rental housing stock – Number of units released when affordable flats available to tenants8
Q527-28/2022 Mid Harbours estate – Water penetration in garage; illegal parking of motorbikes11
Q529/2022 Arengo's Palace – Refurbishment13
Q530/2022 Chilton Court Committee – Outstanding replies to correspondence14
Q531-32/2022 Chilton Court youth club – Source of funding for proposed development; intended membership
Transport
Q534-35/2022 Active Travel Strategy – Cost of commissioning Strategic Document for Mobility; cost to deploy Cycling Strategy23
Q536/2022 1.5m rule re cyclists – Representations received re level of fine25

GIBRALTAR PARLIAMENT, WEDNESDAY, 21st DECEMBER 2022

	Q537/2022 Vehicles in Gibraltar since 2016 – Total number registered; number of new vehicles registered since 2016	25				
Busir	ness, Tourism and the Port	28				
	Q538/2022 Coach park – Refurbishment of toilets	28				
	Q539/2022 Wizz Air – Minister's meetings and expected date of return to Gibraltar	29				
	Q540/2022 Expected flight disruption during Christmas period – Update	31				
	Q541/2022 Landport tunnel – Upkeep and cleaning	33				
	Q542/2022 Cruise liner terminal – Refurbishment	33				
	Q543/2022 Aviation conference – Breakdown of costs	35				
Digit	al, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities	36				
	Q575-76/2022 Ambulances – Ambulance fire; date of arrival of new ambulances	36				
	Q577/2022 Prescriptions – Number of people paying due to change in policy	37				
	Q578/2022 Verbal or threatening physical abuse of GHA staff – Number of complaints	38				
	Q579/2022 Children aged 16 and under seen by GPs at PCC – Number in 2022	39				
	Q580/2022 Radiology scans – Number of people waiting over three months	39				
	Q581/2022 Gibraltar residents receiving treatment for cancer – Numbers by type of cancer					
		40				
	Q582/2022 Gibraltar residents receiving treatment for heart or respiratory disease – Numbers by type	40				
	Q583/2022 Defective PPE – Progress to recover sums expended					
	Q584/2022 Mental health budget – Breakdown of spending	43				
	Q585/2022 Withdrawal of cheques – Reason for implementation in Gibraltar	45				
	Q586/2022 GHA clerks – Employment company	46				
	Q587/2022 GHA vacant posts – Number filled	48				
	Q588/2022 COVID self-isolation periods – Review	49				
	Q589-90/2022 Strep A – Number of cases diagnosed; measures to increase awareness ar actions when cases arise					
	Q591/2022 Emergency response – Measures under consideration re Coroner's recommendations	51				
	Q592/2022 Digital services – Money spent in each year from 2017 to 2022	52				
	The House recessed at 6.15 p.m. and resumed at 6.32 p.m	53				
	Q593-95/2022 AquaGib – Plans re staffing levels; permanent solution re supply; maintenance of reserves following Power's Drive tunnel fire	53				
	Q596/2022 Ocean Views – Structured activities	56				
	Q597/2022 Vaccination programme – By whom delivered this season	57				
	Q598-99/2022 Primary Care Centre appointments – Number of new appointments and whether being filled					
	Q600/2022 Rheumatology and orthopaedics waiting lists – Current status					
	ronment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education					
	Q601/2022 Learning support assistants – Number and distribution					
	Q001, 2022 20011118 Support assistants Training and also industrial					

GIBRALTAR PARLIAMENT, WEDNESDAY, 21st DECEMBER 2022

	Q602/2022 Wellbeing Support Team – Number of teachers accessing services	62
	Q603/2022 Alternative learning programmes – Number of pupils accessing in mainstream schools	
	Q604/2022 Drama-related studies – Provision of non-traditional courses	64
	Q605/2022 Courses delivered at non-secondary school or College sites – Breakdown of expenditure	.64
	Q606/2022 Provision of hot meals for school pupils – Update	65
	Q607/2022 Governor's Lookout Scout Activity Centre and Campsite – Update re works undertaken and costs incurred	.66
	Q608/2022 Gibraltar University courses commencing in February 2023 – Availability of discretionary funding	.67
	Q609-10/2022 Dust emissions – Number of complaints received; actions taken re breache of regulations	
	Q611/2022 Public Health Bill – Payments to Benzaquen Associates	70
	Q612-16/2022 Dog licences and dog fouling – Checks, fines and convictions; DNA testing .	72
	Q617/2022 Mid Harbours garage – Accumulated rubbish	73
	Q618/2022 Museum – Updated visitor numbers	76
	Q619/2022 Tourism levy – Amounts received since introduction	76
	Q618/2022 Museum – Updated visitor numbers – Supplementary questions	78
	Q620/2022 St Martin's School – Number of new pupils expected in 2023-24	79
	Q621/2022 Programme of events – Planned events for 2023	81
	Q622-23/2022 Household waste – Process re exportation, recycling, collection and treatment	.82
	Q624/2022 St Mary's School – Annual rental cost	.84
	Procedural – Question 625/2022 to be asked at tomorrow's sitting	
Dep	outy Chief Minister	.86
·	Q626/2022 Rosia Bay expressions of interest – Reason for short period allowed for submission	.86
	Q627/2022 Old airport terminal – Plans	
	Q628/2022 Midtown car park rooftop residential development – Expressions of interest	
	Adjournment	
	The House adjourned at 8.46 p.m	

The Gibraltar Parliament

The Parliament met at 3.04 p.m.

[MR SPEAKER: Hon. M L Farrell BEM GMD RD JP in the Chair]

[ACTING CLERK TO THE PARLIAMENT: S Galliano Esq in attendance]

PRAYER

Mr Speaker

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Clerk: Meeting of Parliament, Wednesday, 21st December 2022.

Order of Proceedings: (i) Oath of Allegiance; (ii) Confirmation of Minutes – the Minutes of the last meeting of Parliament, which was held on 23rd, 24th and 25th November 2022.

Mr Speaker: May I sign the Minutes as correct?

Members: Aye.

5

10 Mr Speaker signed the Minutes.

PAPERS TO BE LAID

Clerk: (iii) Communications from the Chair; (iv) Petitions; (v) Announcements; (vi) Papers to be laid – the Hon. the Chief Minister.

Chief Minister (Hon. F R Picardo): Mr Speaker, I have the honour to lay on the table the Ombudsman's Report for the year ended 31st December 2021.

Mr Speaker: Ordered to lie.

Questions for Oral Answer

HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT, YOUTH AND SPORT

Q523/2022 GSLA swimming pools – Timetable allocations

Clerk: (vii) Reports of Committees; (viii) Answers to Oral Questions. Question 523/2022. The Hon. E J Reyes.

20

Hon. E J Reyes: Can Government provide details of the timetable allocations for all the swimming pools falling under the auspices of the Gibraltar Sports and Leisure Authority?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Industrial Relations, Employment, Housing and Sport.

25

Minister for Housing, Employment, Youth and Sport (Hon. S E Linares): Mr Speaker, all information related to allocation for the swimming pools under the GSLA's remit can be found on their website, ssla.gi. If the Hon Member is unable to get the information, I am happy to provide the link via email.

30

35

40

45

50

55

Hon. E J Reyes: Thank you, Mr Speaker.

Yes, I would appreciate it if the Minister gave me something because I did look – in fact, I even have it as a Written Question – and try to find on the statistics page the allocation of facilities by the GSLA, and they certainly were not updated on my page because it appeared to be blank, so I had no option but to pose a question. If the Minister does have something, I would appreciate it because I am lacking that information and I cannot pursue further.

Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, just as a matter of information, the link is to the answer to the Written Question. It is there, the link, I have put it in the Written Question, but if he has any problems, I am willing to sit with him to see where he can get the information.

Hon. E J Reyes: May I, Mr Speaker, ask the Hon. Minister ...? I know we change from winter to summer timetables and so on, but more often than not, from one year to another most activities tend to be more or less at the same times as in the past. I am informed that recently there seems to have been a quasi-major shift in the allocation of the pools, which has changed people's routines considerably, people who had got accustomed to fitting it into their work or other schedules, including some comment made by those with children with special needs that the allocated times do not seem to be as good as they were before to allow them time to collect children from school and then go to the pool. Perhaps there are certain factors that have forced the GSLA to make those adjustments. I do not know if the Minister has any information that could help to enlighten those people as to why those changes have been necessary, rather than keeping to traditional slots as in past years.

Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, I am not aware of any changes that have been made, but if there have been any changes I will consult with the GSLA to see why and, if those changes have affected any sector, give me a reason why they have changed.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q524/2022

Government rented flats – Publication and contents of promised booklet

Q525/2022 Review of Housing Act – Reason for delay

Clerk: Question 524/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

60

Hon. D J Bossino: When will the Housing Ministry publish the promised booklet setting out the policies regarding Government rented flats, and what will it contain?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Industrial Relations, Employment, Housing and Sport.

65

Minister for Housing, Employment, Youth and Sport (Hon. S E Linares): Mr Speaker, I will answer this question together with Question 525.

Clerk: Question 525/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

70

Hon. D J Bossino: What is causing the delay regarding the review of the Housing Act?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Industrial Relations, Employment, Housing and Sport.

75

80

Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, I am currently reviewing the latest updates and will be presenting the Housing Act in Parliament in the early part of next year.

Regarding the booklet, this is the work that has not been done for many years – inclusive of the time the GSD was in Government – which I have taken on board during my time as Housing Minister. I am working in tandem to update all documents, which includes housing policies and guidelines for applicants and existing tenants. I would like to make the hon. Member aware that the booklet is not to be published but printed and provided to applicants and existing tenants.

85

Hon. D J Bossino: In relation to the Housing Act, the question is what the cause of the delay was. I think he said he has the latest draft. I am sure he has a valid reason for the delay. I think at one point during the course of his Budget address he said he expected to have the legislation ready for Parliament before the end of this calendar year and I think in the last House he said before the end of the lifetime of the Parliament; now he is saying he expects it to be done in the early part of next year. But putting all of that to one side, can I ask him to answer the specific question, which is what specifically is causing the delay, if anything?

90

95

100

Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, I am really proud to be in charge of a whole team that has taken on revising the Housing Act, which has not been done since the time when the old GSLP was in government. It has been my goal, as the Minister for Housing, to review all aspects. There have been small amendments to the Housing Act during that period, but I thought it was time that we reviewed the whole of the Act. At times, I think we are near to a conclusion and when we go back to all the officials sitting round the table they bring up different issues which we have to take on board. On top of that, we have liaised with landlord, we have liaised with tenants' associations and we have liaised with many stakeholders of the Act in order to get it right. I would rather wait that little bit longer. As I have told the hon. Member, I am confident that I will be able to do it in the early part of next year and present all the amendments to the Housing Act which will bring the Housing Department into the 21st century, because the thorough look at the Housing Act that we are doing has not been done for a long time.

Hon. D J Bossino: He has provided an explanation as to the reason for the delay, which I accept, and he has said he is confident that it will be ready in the early part of this year, which obviously I accept. In the early part of next year I will keep tabs on those timings because it is an issue which is raising concern. He is right and I am sure there is a lot of backlog and a lot of issues that need to be covered.

105

110

115

120

125

130

135

140

145

150

In relation to the booklet setting out the policies, he mentioned certain items which will be included in it. Can he be more ... how shall I put it? Not more specific, but can he say exactly what ...? Not exactly the wording, obviously, but all the various topic areas the booklet is going to be covering in terms of policies. It is not going to be available for public production but it will be available to tenants, I think he said. Can he provide a fuller explanation as to what is going to be included in this?

Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, when you are reviewing all the documents pertaining to the Housing Act you have to see it in conjunction with, for example, the tenancy agreement, the policies, the booklet, the Housing Allocation Scheme. All these go in tandem, they go together, and therefore what we are doing is ... First, we go to the major one, which is the Housing Act, and from there flow all the rest going down.

The booklet is an idea we have had in order to give applicants who get housing – and, as I said, we will be giving it to all tenants – what is expected of them. For example, they are expected to pay their rent, not to have anti-social behaviour and keep their houses in order. All these are the specific things that will be in the booklet. It will be a normal, user-friendly booklet. The tenancy agreement might be a little bit more complex. It is a booklet you give to a housing tenant and that tenant then can follow what is expected of them.

I will give you one example. If there is a relocation, we are tending to see the person or the family leave the house in a state that costs a lot of money just to clean up, let alone paint, and there are tenants who do not even leave a socket behind. So we are now putting in these rules, which we can then implement and say to the tenant, 'We have given you a house in this condition and if you are going to move out of it, these are the conditions we expect you to leave the house in.' It is basically the rules. In the private sector it is done a lot, where a tenant gets a set of rules on how they can use the house or the flat.

Hon. D J Bossino: In terms of timings in relation to the specific booklet, he has linked it, and in fairness to him I think it is a similar reply he gave in the last session of the House in relation to other questions I had – I cannot remember what it was ... in relation to parking or CCTV cameras, it may have been – and he talks about the three-pronged approach in terms of the Housing Act, the booklet and the tenancy agreement, all of which are documentation which his Department was reviewing. Can I glean from that and can I give him the opportunity to confirm that the booklet is expected, therefore, to be ready shortly after the Housing Act is ready to go and to be presented to Parliament? In other words, are the booklet and the tenancy agreement – if I can push him; I know it was not the subject of the question asked – going to be ready in the early part of the next calendar year?

Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, as I have just said, I am working with them all together. That means that what I am hoping to do is once we have the Housing Act in place we present it to Parliament and thereafter will follow all the others. Timeline? I gave him a timeline last time and now he is calling back to ... that I am delayed. I can tell the hon. Member that I have already given him an indication that it will be the early part of next year and I am hoping that the rest, because we are working in tandem, should be ready together, and therefore you present one and then the other. Basically I am saying to him that it will probably be on the same lines as the Housing Act.

Hon. K **Azopardi:** The hon. Member gave a helpful outline on what the booklet will contain. Will it contain also the eligibility criteria, the allocation scheme rules? Will it do that? I did not

- understand that it would. I am seeing him shake his head, so if I just move on to ask him this, if I may: in connection with the review of the Housing Act, is it part of the review that you are also reviewing the eligibility rules, the allocation scheme rules?
 - **Hon. S E Linares:** Yes, Mr Speaker, that has been a subject of questioning before and I said yes. It includes, for example, the Housing Allocation Scheme. In the booklet, no, you would not have the rules of reallocation or allocation, you have the rules of how you live in the house, how you are supposed to be dealing with the way you live in your house, not reallocation, parking or other things. It is basically how you live in your house.
 - **Hon. K Azopardi:** On the scheme rules which are being reviewed, will those be available online once they have been approved by the Government as a matter of policy?
 - Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, I am hoping that would be a public document.
- Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker, thank you. In relation to the booklet just one final question is he able to tell the House now whether, if there were to be a breach of them, there are going to be any teeth in them in terms of what effect any breach of any particular policy may have on a tenant himself? Is it going to have that type of ... or is it just a guideline and an aspiration for people to follow? He talks about how people are, in effect, if I can put it broadly and paraphrase what he said ... meant to be a broad, general guideline as to how you are meant to behave. If you do not meet the bar, the question is what happens?
 - **Hon. S E Linares:** Mr Speaker, you put the rules in the booklet and the Housing Department can and will notify tenants that should they breach certain rules there are consequences.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

160

165

180

185

195

Q526/2022

Government rental housing stock – Number of units released when affordable flats available to tenants

Clerk: Question 526/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

- **Hon. D J Bossino:** Please state how many units will be released to the Government rental housing stock, broken down to the number of rooms, when the affordable flats become available to tenants.
 - **Clerk:** Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Industrial Relations, Employment, Housing and Sport.
- Minister for Housing, Employment, Youth and Sport (Hon. S E Linares): Mr Speaker, a total of 161 flats will be released back to the Government housing stock. The breakdown is as follows: three 1RKB, 17 2RKB, 90 3RKB, 45 4RKB, four 5RKB and two 6RKB.
 - Hon. D J Bossino: I am grateful for the very detailed response I have received from the Minister. The reason why I asked the question is it follows from the question that was asked, I think, in the last session in relation to whether the Government felt it had enough housing stock to meet the needs of all applicants. This arises from, I think, a debate we had with Action for Housing on the radio and it has been the subject of public correspondence. In his initial reply he said that the release of the affordable homes 'would go a long way to tackle those who are in real need of

Government accommodation'. What comment can he make in relation to this number? It does not seem a particularly high number when one considers that, I think, the sum total of the affordable homes that are going to be coming on stream is in the region of 1,500 in relation to the three developments that are being or will be shortly constructed. Does he have any comment to make in relation to that?

205

200

Hon. S E Linares: Yes, Mr Speaker, I am really delighted that I will have 161 houses once one part of the affordable homes ... because this is only encompassing the affordable homes. There are many other aspects which will take people off the housing list – for example, people who are buying are still on the housing list. For example, someone who is living in Varyl Begg or Humphreys and is still in the housing reallocation but they want to buy. So we have suspended that, but they are still on the housing list. That will help. That is another aspect. We are also doing pensioner flats. We are also concentrating on pensioners giving us even more flats back, so that we can have more of the stock to give. Basically, this is only one aspect of the whole argument when we say we do have enough housing stock currently.

215

210

Hon. D J Bossino: He needs to forgive me, because I have not understood the Minister's reply. I am willing to give way to the Hon. Chief Minister.

220 see nun of c

Chief Minister (Hon. F R Picardo): Mr Speaker, the other point is that when the hon. Gentleman sees the numbers of homes that are coming back, he should not think that that will represent the numbers of people who will be able to get a home who are waiting on the housing list, because of course if you have 160 you might have a three-bedroom, that three-bedroom will become available to somebody who is in a two-bedroom and the two-bedroom will become available to somebody who is in a one-bedroom. So there is a connection, there is a domino effect.

225

Properly managed – and the hon. Lady was magnificent at properly managing the stock in the way that she did it during the last allocation of affordable homes – 160 properties coming into the hands of the Government through this route, and as the Minister said, this is just one route, can result in multiples of that being allocated to people on the housing waiting list as the domino effect is seen through.

230

In most instances you see up to three or four movements in respect of one property. So, if you have a four-bedroom, that four-bedroom will go to somebody who is in a 3RKB and waiting for a 4RKB, the 3RKB will go to somebody who is on the list in a two waiting for a three, the two will go to somebody who is in a one who is waiting for a two, and then you will have a bedsitter available. So you can move up to four people in respect of one. If you then have 60-odd 3RKBs, you can multiply that three times as you move people from the other lists, and so the 161 is multiplied sometimes by up to three or four. That is in addition to the other routes that the hon. Gentleman has identified result in different properties becoming available, like the development of pensioner flats or people moving in to elderly care etc. That is why we take the view that we take.

240

235

Hon. D J Bossino: If I can give the other side the opportunity to confirm whether I have understood ... In other words, the 161 is not an absolute number. It is going to have, as the Hon. Chief Minister said, an overall cascading effect and therefore ends up in an overall reduction in the list. So the answer – the information I have requested – is that he is satisfied that 161 will be a number which will have a positive effect on the reduction of the housing waiting list?

245

250

Hon. Chief Minister: Mr Speaker, I find it very difficult when the hon. Gentleman gets up and says 'Can he confirm ... as the Hon. the Chief Minister has said?' because the answer to that is yes, the Chief Minister and Minister can confirm, as the Chief Minister has said, exactly what the Chief Minister has just said, and therefore — (Interjection) Yes, but ... Mr Speaker, Question Time is not a question of the Government correcting the comprehension by the Opposition of the Government's answers.

Yes, we believe it is a consequential number for the purposes of allocation of homes to those waiting for homes, for all the reasons we have already explained.

Hon. K Azopardi: Can I just ask on this number of 161 – the Minister has given the reply 161 in relation to the question in respect of the affordable flats coming online, but of course the affordable flats coming online will span a number of years, indeed maybe until 2026. Does he have a sense of the 161 being correlated in terms of time? Does he have a sense of that?

Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, the first lot will be coming very soon, in July, so it is not going to be three or four years like he is suspecting. (*Interjection*) No, this is it. As we build, houses will be returned. The 161 will not come all on one date. For example, Hassan Centenary Terrace will be allocated in July. As from then, from the 161 we will get a batch back and we will then start, like the Hon. Chief Minister has just said, the chain process. So one flat might solve four, five, or even six turnarounds. Somebody who wants to move from one estate to another and wants a three for a three is on the list for reallocation, and it even includes them. So there is quite a lot of movement and the first lot will be as from July.

Hon. K Azopardi: But can I ask very specifically, of the 161, how many are going to be delivered as part of this first phase in 2023? Does he have a sense of that?

Hon. S E Linares: No, Mr Speaker, I do not.

Hon. K Azopardi: Okay, but if there has been a calculation of 161, officials must have done that assessment, so it must mean that the number is available but not with the hon. Member. Is that right? And if that is the case, could he provide us with that number?

Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, I do not have that number here because the question has not been asked, but yes.

Hon. K Azopardi: Let me repeat the question because perhaps it has not been understood. The officials have calculated that 161 flats will be released as part of the affordable flats being bought, over a number of projects over a number of years. Clearly, those will be released over a number of years. I appreciate he may not have the specific number of flats that will be released as part of the July 2023, or whenever it is, first batch of housing being allocated at Hassan Centenary, but I am asking him if he does have that number — not with him today, but if the officials have that number — could he provide us with that number?

Hon. Chief Minister: Mr Speaker, the short answer is yes, but not because anything has been calculated. The hon. Gentleman needs to understand this is not something that we calculate. We do not *think* that we are going to get 161 properties, we are *going to get* 161 properties. This is an empirical figure. We are given the information against an allocation, the individual will surrender a figure. So it is not something that is calculated. It is not a guess that we will get about 161. We do have the figures against which flat, each property will be handed over, but the hon. Gentleman does not have them here today. That is the point. But it is not calculated. That is why – (*Interjection*) Yes, that is the point. That is why the first answer I gave was yes – (*Interjection*) and that is why ... What I am saying is that this is not a figure that is calculated. (*Interjection*)

Mr Speaker, the hon. Gentleman says from a sedentary position we are at Christmas. (Interjection) Yes, we may be, but that does not mean that I want the hon. Members to go away under a misapprehension. I am trying to assist them. When somebody says something is calculated, they are perhaps thinking that we are reaching an approximation of what we think. That is not the case. Here, you have an empirical number; you know what the number is. What I am saying to them is that yes, we do have the breakdown of what we will get in respect of each

300

255

260

265

270

275

280

285

290

phase of which estate, but we do not have it here, because I think that has not been provided to the Minister, and therefore we will happily give it. If the hon. Gentleman writes to the Minister or to me, we will provide it.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q527-28/2022 Mid Harbours estate – Water penetration in garage; illegal parking of motorbikes

Clerk: Question 527/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

310

320

325

330

335

340

345

305

Hon. D J Bossino: When will Government fix the significant issue faced by users of the Mid Harbours garage regarding water penetration?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Industrial Relations, Employment, Housing and Sport.

Minister for Housing, Employment, Youth and Sport (Hon. S E Linares): Mr Speaker, I will answer this question together with Question 528.

Clerk: Question 528/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

Hon. D J Bossino: How does the Government propose to deal with the ongoing issue of motorbikes which illegally park at the Mid-Harbours estate parking?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Industrial Relations, Employment, Housing and Sport.

Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, in answer to Question 527, the Mid Harbours podium, the source of the water penetration into the garage, has had issues since it was built by the GSD Government. The development was handed over to the Gibraltar Government circa 2010 under their watch. Since then, 338 reports have been registered related to the podium issues and water penetration in the garage. Approximately £120,000 has already been spent due to the inherited GSD problem. In recent days, over 10 reports have been received in respect of water penetration in the garage. Unfortunately, when issues such as leaking podiums are encountered, there is no manner of repair that will eradicate the water penetration completely, other than having the whole podium membrane replaced. This will cost the taxpayer in excess of £1 million, when this, in truth, should have been done properly at the time the estate was constructed. Despite this, we will be moving the playground again, at a cost, which will alleviate some of the created problem which has been subject of various questions by the hon. Member. This will then allow us to tackle some of the problematic works that were done by the GSD when in government.

In answer to Question 528, in respect of illegal motorbikes, the Tenants' Association brought this to my attention when we last met, and the Housing Department is liaising with Gibraltar Car Parks Ltd in order to have them reissue the necessary permits. The Tenants' Association will be informed about the enforcement of these permits in due course.

Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker, in relation to the first point, we can have a rather futile exercise blaming each other, as if the GSD was there building things themselves. It would have been, presumably, the contractor and the experts who did it wrong. I do not know whether there was any litigation considered or embarked upon against the contractor when these problems arose. But I think as far as the residents are concerned ...

It is the kind of reply I expected from him, but I felt duty bound to raise it fully cognisant and knowledgeable of the fact that he was going to raise it. We can talk about other things that they have done wrong in terms of building estates, going back as far as the mid-to-early 1990s with Harbour Views, but I repeat I think it would be a futile exercise. In terms of seeking information from the hon. Member, which is what we are here to do – and that was the subject of various comments and rulings made by the Hon. Speaker at the last session – I am going to focus on that today.

He says that just to get a sense of what can be done in order to alleviate the problems, the Government's position is that, in effect, it will cost £1 million in order to fully 'eradicate' – using his word, I think – the problem, but then presumably the 338 reports that he has been receiving, some of which presumably have been attended to and have been fixed, have cost around £120,000, and now, hopefully, as a result of the movement of the positioning of the playground, it may also alleviate further. Is he able to say, at least in percentage terms, how far he thinks he will be able to go without going down the route of the significant expenditure of £1 million to fix the problem in relation to the podium? And secondly, if I may ask as well, is he completely discarding the possibility of fixing the problem even at that price?

Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, you cannot have the cake and eat it. In one breath he accuses us of spending money like confetti, and in another he is now encouraging us, or at least hinting that we might be able to spend £1 million on something which ... Yes, and I mention it again because I have been in this Parliament for nearly 22 years, and I can remember when the GSD used to hammer people in Gibraltar about the state of other estates that the GSLP used to build. Even 16-20 years after, they still remind us. Well, I am going to remind the hon. Member that in this case it is under their watch that the podium is in the state it is.

In answer to his question would we spend £1 million, unfortunately we do not have £1 million to spend at the moment and therefore what we will do is try to mitigate, like we are doing now ... I have stated and he has said that I have had 338 reports and we have spent – yes, I said, but you repeated that we had had 338 reports and we have spent circa £120,000.

We will try to continue, slowly and as we can, to fix it. The playground will go a long way, we are hoping, because if you move the playground ... Some of the inherent problem came from where the playground was placed because they made holes to put in the equipment, and that, apparently, we are hoping, is filtering elsewhere, and probably by putting a membrane on top of that one, we will go some way. But we do not know that. We know that there is an inherent problem in the whole of the podium, but that might fix part of it.

Hon. D J Bossino: Again, focusing on the issue at hand, I understand that presumably it is a suck-it-and-see situation. In other words, until the move is done – not presumably, he has actually said that – he says it will go on. I have been shown photos of the flooding that there has been in the garage, and it seems quite extensive as a result of the heavy rains we have had. But is he able to tell me – and he may have answered this question in previous sessions in the House – when he thinks he will be in a position to carry out those repairs which would have to be carried out as a result of moving the playground?

Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, it is Christmas, so I do not think many people are going to do the playground now, but I am hoping that during the first two or three weeks of January there will be movement. What we want to do is, first, move the playground down. When you are moving the playground down, you have to prepare where the playground is going to go. That is the first thing you do, and I am hoping that in January that will be the first move, where you prepare where the playground is going, and then the move of the playground, and then the fixing of the water penetration.

390

395

350

355

360

365

370

375

380

Hon. D J Bossino: And if he would be so kind, by way of assistance in relation to the ongoing issue of motorbikes – he, as well as I, has visited the estate and I am sure we both have regular meetings with the Tenants' Association, who have raised this in the past. It was certainly raised with me on the last occasion I visited. Can I ask him when he thinks ...? I think he said he was going to be issuing badges, so some sort of licensing system. That presumably will lead to greater control. Does he have an idea of a timeline as to when that is going to be concluded?

405

410

400

Hon. S E Linares: Yes, Mr Speaker, because as I understand it, there were not any motorbike permits and people used to just go in. Only a few people had permits and with a motorbike it is easy to go in and out. We had the barrier fixed only this week. People just break the barrier and go in, so we are having these issues. But basically, we should not take too long in issuing permits to households. We have to make sure who we issue the permits to, as well, and when it is motorbikes, it can be a little bit more problematic because of the logbook, who owns the motorbike, who is allowed. It is a process where you have to make sure that the person who gets the permit is a person who is living in the estate and has a motorbike.

415

420

Hon. D J Bossino: Just so I can formulate it in order to keep within the rules, is he aware that the point was made to me that what is happening is that because bikes, as he rightly points out, are able to easily access even when the barrier is working, what happens is, because there is a slow movement of the whole operation, they get in. The main issue there — which could be resolved with the issue of the permits, hopefully, because there will be greater enforcement — is the fact that it is being used, I am told, by many of the construction workers, because there is so much construction going on in the area and they are the ones who are abusing the system. Is aware of that?

425

Hon. S E Linares: Yes, sir, I have been made aware of that.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q529/2022 Arengo's Palace – Refurbishment

Clerk: Question 529/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

Hon. D J Bossino: Does the Government have any plans to refurbish Arengo's Palace?

430

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Industrial Relations, Employment, Housing and Sport.

435

Minister for Housing, Employment, Youth and Sport (Hon. S E Linares): Mr Speaker, yes, an in-depth external refurbishment of Arengo's Palace is scheduled to commence as part of a programme to refurbish other buildings such as Tankerville House, Macmillan House and Willis House, etc. Until then, works to improve parts of these estates will commence in the New Year.

440

Hon. D J Bossino: And presumably those works are going to impact on all of the various blocks he has referred to, because the question was in relation to Arengo's Palace but the Minister has been kind enough to widen the answer to include the ambitions that the Government has in relation to the other blocks.

He says next year. Can he give us a precise timeline – he did say, I think, next year – as to when he thinks that is going to be happening?

Hon. S E Linares: Yes, Mr Speaker, during the time of this Parliament.

445

450

455

460

465

Hon. D J Bossino: Of course, the timeline of this Parliament is going to be in the gift of the Hon. Chief Minister as to when he decides to call the election. As he may know, there are many rumours that the election is going to be called sooner rather than later, but that is a matter for him. Let's say the House is dissolved in January and we have an election in February, is he optimistic that he will be able to fix those blocks within a period of a month, especially when one considers that we have the Christmas period intervening? Maybe the Hon. Chief Minister can add some further information to elucidate this House.

Chief Minister (Hon. F R Picardo): Yes, Mr Speaker, I too hear many rumours about when I am going to call the election, but there was a huge clue in the answer that the hon. Gentleman gave. We have told him that we are going to start a lot of work during the lifetime of this Parliament. That is to say an election is not going to be called before those works start, despite the fact that people are acting as if it were.

Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker, he talks about an in-depth refurbishment. I think in relation to Arengo's he was talking about the external façade, but what does he mean by an in-depth refurbishment?

Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, you can have a refurbishment where you just do a coat of paint and you can have an in-depth refurbishment, which is exactly what we have done to the estates forgotten by the GSD, which we have built and we have done. We have cladded Glacis estate, Moorish Castle, Laguna estate and we are in the process of doing all the other estates. That is what is meant by an in-depth refurbishment.

Q530/2022

Chilton Court Committee – Outstanding replies to correspondence

Clerk: Question 530/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

470

Hon. D J Bossino: Why does the Minister for Housing not reply to letters sent to him by the Chilton Court Committee?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Industrial Relations, Employment, Housing and Sport.

475

Minister for Housing, Employment, Youth and Sport (Hon. S E Linares): Mr Speaker, could the hon. Member be more specific and advise what letter he is referring to? Neither the Housing Department nor my Ministry appear to have any outstanding replies in relation to Chilton Court. May I add that the Housing Works Agency and the Housing Department engage with the committee and tenants of Chilton Court frequently to attend to their needs and concerns.

480

Hon. D J Bossino: My late father used to tell me never answer a question with a question, but I am more than happy to elucidate for the purpose of the Minister's assistance. I have the letters here and the various emails, if it does indeed assist him.

485

I am surprised, actually, that he should have given the reply he has given. Something, somewhere, is not going right if, by the answer he has given, he is, in effect, confirming that he — and not just him personally, but he and his entire Department are ignorant of the correspondence which I am told by the committee remains without any response.

I can tell him that I have seen a letter In respect of this particular letter, and I can give him a full audit, but in respect of this particular letter I do not have the cover email and I do not have any other evidence that I can show to the House which confirms that he or his Department, or indeed – let's widen it further – any other relevant Government Department, if it receives a letter or an email addressed to the Hon. Mr Linares, would at least ... I would have thought the machinery of Government is sufficiently fine-tuned to pass the letter on to him.

There is one dated 10th July 2022 which refers to a meeting on 18th January 2022, where they say that they had a meeting in his office and that the Chairman says he attended with another committee member, and he copies, by way of distribution, the letter to the Chief Minister and another individual whose name I do not need to mention, but I imagine he is a civil servant.

Then there are emails. I will not bore the House with all the details, but there is another email here which goes to this individual and it says, in brackets, 'HSE' — presumably it is Health and Safety Executive — but also there is another one with an acronym which says 'MHEYS', which I understand is the Ministry for Housing. I asked my hon. Friend Mr Reyes for assistance, given his experience, and he tells me it is the Ministry for Housing, Employment, Youth and Sport, which is his Ministry. It does not have a name, it just says MHEYS. It was sent to him on 7th October 2027 and the letter introduces itself by saying, 'I am writing to you hoping I can obtain a reply this time,' and at the bottom it says, again, the Hon. Mr Linares. So if he has not received it at that particular address, surely the individual who describes himself as 'HSE' would have passed it on to him. I do not know if he can ...

It seems that he replies to all letters. He says he replies to letters from Action for Housing and Mr Pinna, he says he meets constituents whenever they ask for meetings, so everybody is wrong except him, and now he almost challenges me to come up with the goods. I am surprised that he has answered in this way.

Hon. S E Linares: Well, don't be so surprised because I do answer all the emails. I checked when the hon. Member sent me this question and I went through all the emails, all of them, the MHEYS, which, you are right, is the Ministry for Housing, Employment, Youth and Sport. That is the generic email that we have. People can write to me via that email because my officials get it. I do not know, because we will probably need to check which email the person is either sending it to, or which email has been put. The person who is supposed to be receiving that email is not receiving it, because these are individuals and sometimes the emails are not correct – they change emails or whatever.

All I can say to the hon. Member is I was surprised when he asked this question because he knows that I do answer all my emails. I answered it as a question in relation to Action for Housing. I do answer all my emails, and in fact we answer emails really quickly. The response is very quick. The least anybody would get is a holding reply – that is the least, and then there is a substantial reply.

If the hon. Member wants to pass to me all those emails, because if somebody in my Department or the Housing Works Agency, or somebody within the agency ... because there are many aspects of the Housing Department and there are many emails in the Housing Department and if that person is writing to an email which somebody is not answering, I would like to know who is the person not answering those emails because we do take a lot of trouble and we are very conscientious in answering emails. So if the hon. Member wants to give me the list of all the emails that he says somebody within the housing institution has not answered, I would appreciate that because I will go back and say, 'Why hasn't this email been answered?'

Hon. D J Bossino: Various points arise from that, Mr Speaker. The first thing is it is slightly worrying at various levels and I think we need to get to the bottom of it. We may not get to the bottom of it across the floor of the House. Maybe it is just a question of having a discussion with him and showing him the emails.

535

490

495

500

505

510

515

520

525

But he has not addressed one point. Before I get to it, he says that he answers letters. He knows that there was a further letter sent in by Mr Pinna on behalf of Action for Housing, which was made public and published in the letters column of the *Gibraltar Chronicle* after I raised this issue with him in the last session, where he says ... This is a letter addressed to him but published in the *Chronicle*, so we were all able to read it:

On the first issue, you said

in other words, the hon. Member –

that you always answer letters.

Mr Pinna says:

540

545

550

555

560

565

570

575

580

Our reply to you is that you do most of the time, but not always. You did not reply to our open letter of 26th October.

Maybe he can comment on that, and also on the fact that ... Let's say mheys@gibraltar.gov.gi, which I think he has implicitly confirmed is his Department, is not reaching not just him but his Department ... He has said that nobody in his Ministry has received any correspondence from Chilton Court, but it has also been sent to somebody else — I will not mention his name — who is 'HSE', it is the same individual. It has also been sent to the generic email address of another HSE in brackets, and it says 'SMST', and then another one, again I will not mention his name but it is different to the HSE individual, which has 'EMP', which I assume is the Employment Department. Does he not understand that I find it very difficult to understand how it is that other Departments are not passing this information to him when these are letters on at least two occasions that are addressed to him?

Chief Minister (Hon. F R Picardo): Mr Speaker, the last time I checked, this was the Parliament of a nation that is negotiating its way out of a relationship with the European Union after 50 years, that has just come through a pandemic and is dealing with very challenging circumstances. If a Minister is saying he has not seen an email and he has confirmed that the email address is his correct email address, the hon. Gentleman is right, the resolution is to, behind your Chair, give us the address from whence it is coming, so that we can check on our servers whether potentially the email is being rejected by all our addresses because it may be coming from a POP server that is not working – not for us to go through, across the floor of the House, the email address at which it is supposed to be received and the email address from which it has come. We are very happy to assist the hon. Gentleman to assist his constituent in order to determine where that letter is. Full stop.

Mr Speaker, we have got through eight questions in an hour. That would be abnormal for any Parliament in the world because if what we are going to do is check the email address of every email that every Minister is alleged to have received, we are not going to be getting anywhere. We are very happy to work with the hon. Gentleman to address that point.

Mr Speaker, I think if the Hon. Minister has not been able to reply to a letter from Action for Housing, which happens to be an open letter – which then is not a letter, it is more in the way of a press release – we will address that too, but I think we need to start understanding what the role of Question Time in the Parliament is and start to move on. But very happy to work with the hon. Member to see if there is an issue with email addresses.

Hon. D J Bossino: Can he please comment on why it is that different Departments are receiving these emails, unless there are difficulties in the sending aspect of it? I do not know, maybe that is the issue – it has just occurred to me.

These are important issues. The Hon. the Chief Minister rightly points out that Gibraltar has gone through a pandemic and is in the midst of negotiating a *very* important deal with the EU, but the reality is there are 10 ... well, now nine Members in the Cabinet, and these are still very live and important issues that people come to us and raise, and it is important that ... It gets to the point that they are so desperate that one feels the need to raise these issues – which for them may not be that important – across the floor of the House.

I take the olive branch that the hon. Member has just extended, and in fact I suggested to him when I posed the question or in one of my supplementaries that I will sit down with him and show him the email addresses.

Hon. Chief Minister: Mr Speaker, that is the point, isn't it? There are 10 Members on the Government side; there are nine Ministers. The hon. Gentleman is saying we think we have replied to all the letters, we just do not appear to have received that one. I am not saying that I should be replying to it, that the Deputy Chief Minister and I, who are dealing with the negotiations, should be replying to it. The person who has responsibility for replying to it, who is the alleged addressee of the email, is the one who is saying, 'I did not get it.'

Of course he is entitled to come here and, across the floor of the House, ask as he has asked, but usually you would do that after you have picked up the phone, called your parliamentary colleague and said, 'Look, I've got an issue with an email that it is alleged you haven't replied to. Have you got it?' And then we might say, 'Oh, yes, it slipped through the net,' or, 'There is a difficult issue that we are trying to deal with.' Here, the hon. Gentleman is saying, when confronted with the issue across the floor of the House for the first time, so clearly done for a political purpose, a partisan purpose, not to resolve the issue – (Interjection) That is fine. You can do as many partisan purposes ... If you want to achieve a response to something, if you want to resolve an issue, you can resolve it in any way you want as a parliamentarian. You can pick up the phone and try to fix it, you can write a letter and try to fix it, or you can ask a question in this House, but the reality is that for the past hour this Parliament has been dealing with issues of this sort. Therefore, I am very pleased that the hon. Gentleman has said he is going to take our olive branch and I invite all of them, in the event that somebody ever comes and raises with them the fact that we may not have answered a particular communication ... Of course, if they wish, they can first raise it in this House, but if they first raise it with us directly by picking up the phone, by emailing us directly, by WhatsApping us directly - God knows they do enough of it when they want to – we may be able to solve the problem for the individual sooner. That is all I am saying.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q531-32/2022 Chilton Court youth club — Source of funding for proposed development; intended membership

Clerk: Question 531/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

Hon. D J Bossino: Who is funding the proposed development at the Chilton Court youth club?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Industrial Relations, Employment, Housing and Sport.

Minister for Housing, Employment, Youth and Sport (Hon. S E Linares): Mr Speaker, I will answer this question together with Question 532.

Clerk: Question 532/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

625

620

585

590

595

600

605

610

Hon. D J Bossino: Is the development of the Chilton Court youth club exclusively for residents of Chilton Court; and if not, who will be able to access and use its facilities?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Industrial Relations, Employment, Housing and Sport.

Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, there is no youth club at Chilton Court.

630

635

640

645

650

655

660

665

670

675

Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker, I have taken that information, I think ... I would need to go through it very quickly now, but I thought it was in relation to the ... If the hon. Member wants to be unhelpful in his response, that is fine, but the ... In fact, I have just come across it. I am assuming he is not being partisan, I am assuming he is not being political, I am assuming he is not just being nasty in his reply, but can I just point out to him, so that we can get over this hurdle as to the identification of the development, that there is a notice, which was presumably published on site and then certainly published as part of all the planning documentation, which talks about proposed development at ... And there are two. When I drafted these questions I did not appreciate that actually there are two I thought there were competing developments, but in fact, as I understand it, there are two projects which are going to be conducted in that area. One is where the playground currently is and one is what I thought was the existing youth club, because it says here 'Proposed development at existing Chilton Court youth club site and Chilton Court estate playground site'. That notice was filed on 2nd December on behalf of – yes, there it is again – Community Supplies and Services Ltd. Can he assist me and can we agree that we really both know what we are talking about here?

Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, first of all, he asks a question which he already knows the answer to. Yes, because you have just said that it has been filed by ... I have it here as a supplementary because I wanted to answer and be helpful, because the hon. Member has asked the wrong question. That is the issue. He has asked about the youth club. There is not a youth club there. There has not been a youth club there. Anyway, if that is being called 'the youth club', it is being done by CSS, where the funding is coming from. What I am saying is we can talk about the project, but he got the wrong place, so I have to correct it.

To be helpful, I can say to the hon. Member that the facility will be for the use of all registered clubs and associations. This will be free for the Government to allocate to the list of all clubs and associations. The project is funded by CSS. Also, the Tenants' Association and the tenants would have a specific social club there, so it is incorporating a social club. It is incorporating parking, may I add, because it is in the project. He has got it there, Mr Speaker. He knows what the project is all about because he has told us about it, so I am now answering what he has got in front of him, which begs the question: why is he asking the question? But never mind, I am trying to be helpful, and in view of being helpful I have already stated that there will be a social club for the tenants, there will be parking spaces, there will be stores, which we have already consulted on, together with the Tenants' Association, and they are really happy about the whole of the project.

Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker, this information that I have asked for ... He says I asked the wrong question. If it is information that was publicly available, how could I have asked the wrong ...? It just does not make sense, anything he is saying.

He says that the funding is going to come from Community Services and Supplies. That was his answer. In relation to the youth club, can he comment on this: in the planning application it says the full planning design statement has been prepared following the principles of approved outline planning developed by GBIC, which I understand is the Chinese joint venture, if I can put it in those terms, on behalf of Community Supplies and Services Ltd. So can I dig further and can he provide further information to this House as to whether any funding has been drawn from GBIC Ltd?

Chief Minister (Hon. F R Picardo): Mr Speaker, that is not a question that is relevant to the work that the Minister is doing. That is a question that is relevant to the individual who is going to be involved in the development. We had this discussion last time, in almost exactly the same terms, in relation to Laguna estate and the youth club there. So the answer in relation to Chilton Court is the same as the answers were in relation to Laguna estate, which I seem to recall took us about an hour last time.

680

685

690

695

700

705

710

715

720

725

Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker, it takes a long time because the answers that we are given are not satisfactory. (*Interjection*) If what he is telling us is that it is – (*Interjection*) Once again, I agree with him, we went through the same thing. Let's try not to take as long as we did in relation to Laguna estate with this one.

He said it was the Hon. the Minister for Economic Development who would need to answer these questions, but again I need to repeat the point I made last time. The question is posed. Then I assume they decide, under the leadership of the Chief Minister, who answers which question. The Hon. the Minister for Housing has decided to answer this question and it is highly relevant. It is the question itself. It says very simply 'Who is funding the proposed development at Chilton Court youth club?' I think that is deserving of an answer.

If the answer is that the answer is best supplied by the Hon. Sir Joe Bossano, well, I suppose we will have to live with that and he does not have the information. Once again, none of the Hon. Ministers, or none of the Government Members who are opposite – it is the entire Government, save for two; one notable absence being the Minister for Economic Development – can answer this question, which is rather surprising because at the end of the day the Chief Minister is also the Minister for Finance.

Hon. Chief Minister: No, Mr Speaker, the hon. Gentleman seems, to me, to simply want to make particular statements, probably for the purposes of extracting them out of context and seeking to take some political benefit from them, which is a matter entirely for him. I do not complain; I am just analysing.

The question the hon. Gentleman has put is who is funding the proposed development. The Hon. Minister has answered that question. He has said it is CSSL. The hon. Gentleman has then asked us about the detail of that funding and whether there will be another, additional source of funding from a subsidiary or from another entity. Aas we told him during the course of the discussion in relation to the Laguna estate, these are projects which come under the National Economic Plan. The National Economic Plan is being run by the Minister for Economic Development, so if he wants more detail about particular funding, that is what he has to ask the Minister for Economic Development. Not because we are not aware; we run something called a Cabinet Government and it is a collegiate Cabinet Government, which means that on Mondays we meet - and we miss Mr Licudi a lot because he no longer attends, but the rest of us meet - we discuss what we are doing and we share the information. So I have, as hon. Members have from the discussions we have had, a lot of information about that, but it is not my responsibility and therefore, when I refer to that here, I want to ensure that the House gets accurate information, and to ensure that the House gets accurate information we usually ensure that the Minister with direct responsibility for a particular area is the one who provides that information. For that reason, we are not going to venture to give information which may be accurate but not precise in respect of a particular area.

I hope that is helpful to the hon. Gentleman and that he does not think we are doing anything other than providing the information in the proper way, because the answer has been given by the Minister in respect of Chilton Court and in respect of the funding, clarifying that this is a much wider development than just a youth club and explaining that he has consulted with the tenants of that estate and they are very happy with that.

Mr Speaker, I hope that – which is all the information we have and which we think is helpful – will assuage the hon. Gentleman and that he will, in future, if he wants more detail about the

National Economic Plan and how it is funding its projects – in particular this project – ask the Minister with responsibility for the National Economic Plan. The answer may not be one the hon. Gentleman wishes to hear – because it is accurate and it may not enable him to be as combative as he appears to want to be in relation to answers – but it is the truth.

Hon. D J Bossino: But he is not being helpful. (*Interjection*) No, he is not being helpful. With respect to him, he is not being helpful.

Two points: the first one is we file the questions, we do not decide who answers them. The question is very simple. The question is: who is funding the youth club? (Interjection) The answer is not a full answer. I then raised with the hon. Members GBIC Ltd, and then immediately we get the answer that it is the Hon. Sir Joe Bossano who needs to answer. That is not a matter for us, it is a matter for them.

And then, secondly – it gets worse – he says, 'It is not because we are not aware.' Well, it begs the question – if you are aware, then why didn't you provide the answer now? It cannot be for the Opposition Member to determine who is going to answer the question. You have to come fully briefed, prepared with answers. It is clear that there has been an obstructive approach from the first moment I asked the question.

Mr Speaker: Can we ask a question?

735

740

745

750

755

760

765

770

775

780

Hon. D J Bossino: Who is funding the proposed development at Chilton Court youth club and what comment can he make in relation to GBIC Ltd? That is a question which, with the greatest respect to the House and to you, Mr Speaker, has not been answered by the Hon. Ministers – in the plural.

Hon. Chief Minister: Well, Mr Speaker, in fact the question has been answered. (*Interjection*) The hon. Gentleman, in his first answer to a supplementary, provided him with the answer.

The hon. Gentleman says we are not being helpful. It is clear that whatever we do, we will be deemed by the hon. Members not to have been helpful. We answer more questions than any Government has ever answered. We publish more information than any Government has ever published. The response we get from hon. Members for publishing all of that information without prompting and providing all of the answers that we provide here in more question and answer sessions than have ever been held in this House is that we are not transparent.

I understand that they want to create an impression and that is why they will say that in response to anything that we say, and that the only thing they think would be helpful is if we were simply to ask them to take over government, because that is what they want. For us to provide the answers to the questions they ask, however full and proper our answers may be, is not helpful to them because what they want is to be able to take over government. I understand that, but in fact the answer was helpful, the answer did give the information. In fact, the answer has been provided by the hon. Gentleman in answer to the hon. Member's first supplementary. The further breakdown that the hon. Gentleman now seeks is what we are telling him he should refer to the Minister with responsibility for the Economic Plan. And it is not that, because we are aware of aspects of this, we should therefore now provide the information, failing which we are either falling at the hurdle of unhelpful or falling at the hurdle of lack of transparency. That is not correct, Mr Speaker. The individual with ministerial responsibility is the individual who would provide the detail of this answer. However much we may be aware of things, we may fall at the hurdle of inaccuracy because it is not our specific ministerial responsibility, and out of respect for the House and for hon. Members we would therefore ask, if he wants more detail than has been provided directly in answer to the question that he sought the answer to, a further breakdown, he should seek it from the Minister with responsibility for that particular area, for the National Economic Plan. That is what we are saying.

In any other Parliament, Mr Speaker, that would be considered to be a more than forthright, more than open, more than transparent answer. Here, one is subjected to various layers of cross-examination, which is perfectly proper — it is the practice in this House. We are not for one moment seeking to avoid that, and we are not for one moment seeking to be anything other than entirely transparent and open.

Mr Speaker: Let's have this as the final supplementary from you, and then we will allow the Leader of the Opposition to ask a question.

Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker, it is very difficult because we have spent ... I appreciate that a sense is created that we spent a long time on one particular supplementary, but at the end of the day it is really one supplementary on two formal questions which have been put, so in terms of supplementary numbers, one has not been given the opportunity to ask that many. It is just that we feel obliged to continue to press the Government because, despite everything he says – and the manner in which he says it comes across as reasonable – the fundamental substantive truth is that he is not being helpful and that this is a perfectly legitimate question to which an answer – and we maintain that position – has not been provided.

Moving on, and I know that the Hon. the Leader of the Opposition will have a supplementary arising from the exchange we have had now, but in relation to I think it was the second question, where I asked about exclusivity as to availability of the facilities at the Chilton Court youth club, which he initially said does not exist, has he answered that question? In other words, is he telling the House – because I may have missed it – that all the parking spaces, all the storage facilities etc., office spaces included, are going to be for the exclusive use of Chilton Court, or is it going to be shared?

And in relation to that question – it is not a second question, but it arises from the same point, just extending it and to give him the opportunity – I think, according to the planning papers that have been filed in relation to the youth club, specifically it refers to 26 parking spaces. He told me, in answer to a question in relation to the playground one, I think it was, where there were 50, 80% of which were going to be made available to the residents ... What is the position in relation to the 26 parking spaces?

Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, as I said last time, from the first project ... I did not say 80%. I said that the parking spaces would be offered *first* to the tenants, and the same is the case here. If there are 27 parking spaces, the parking spaces will be offered first to all the tenants.

Hon. D J Bossino: Maybe that was in his brain, but it was not set out here. I suppose it is open to that possible interpretation. What he said was there will be approximately 50 extra parking spaces, and I would ask him to confirm that he was talking about the project which is going to be built on the playground area, as opposed to the where we understand – although he objects to it – which is where the current youth club is, of which 80% will be made available to Chilton Court residents for rent and the rest will be for the use of the clubs and associations, just to clarify that point. But what is going to happen to the 26 parking spaces?

And has he answered the point about exclusivity of facilities which are being provided in the new youth club into the future, once it is built?

Hon. S E Linares: Mr Speaker, I do not think he has noticed what he has just read. He has just read that 80% was for what? For the club users, therefore it was not for part of the playground. (*Interjection*) Yes. Where?

Mr Speaker, I could go on and on answering this question. I have answered the question. I feel satisfied that I have answered the question. If the hon. Member does not like what I have answered, he does not like the answer. All I am saying is the answer that I have given remains and

830

825

785

790

795

800

805

810

815

820

I will not engage in answering the same question again because he does not like the answer. That is my answer, and I stick to my answer.

Mr Speaker: The Leader of the Opposition.

835

840

845

850

855

860

865

870

875

880

Hon. K Azopardi: Mr Speaker, thank you.

The Chief Minister spoke about addressing questions to the appropriate person and my hon. colleague has made the point already that we are not in control about the Members on that side. He talked about respect to the House and the accuracy of the information and so on, but of course, out of respect to the House we could see it the other way, which is that perhaps if they knew and it was reasonably foreseeable – we had this exchange last time – perhaps the hon. Member would have been present today to supply the information.

My hon. colleague asked from the perspective of other companies being involved; I want to ask about the other perspective, arising from the original answer, which is, I think, where the supplementaries need to be directed to. He says that the funding is going to be provided by CSSL. Is the Government or the Savings Bank going to provide funding to CSSL so that CSSL does the project? At the end of it we know that CSSL is owned by a company that is in itself a charity, so what is in it for CSSL? Is CSSL getting the funding indirectly from the Government or the Savings Bank?

Hon. Chief Minister: Mr Speaker, the hon. Gentleman starts by saying that if we knew – because we control who answers the questions – that the supplementary was going to be about the further breakdown of the funding, why isn't Minister Bossano here today, or why didn't we ask Minister Bossano to answer the question? Well, we do not put ourselves in the minds of hon. Gentlemen to determine where their supplementaries are going to take them, because of course we can have an element of likely-to-arise information for supplementaries, but not all of it. That is why the Hon. the Minister for Housing was charged with responding to the questions, which actually, when taken together, from the Hon. Mr Bossino, appear to be about use, not so much about funding. That is why the Hon. Mr Linares provided the answer. The Hon. the Minister for the Savings Bank and with responsibility for the National Economic Plan is finalising answers to his questions, and for that reason is not here. He is continuing to attend to his parliamentary responsibilities by finishing the answers to hon. Members' questions.

The issues which relate to the funding of CSSL are issues that were ventilated during the course of the last Question Time in this House, which is merely three or four weeks ago. In that context, the Hon. the Minister with responsibility for the National Economic Plan and for the Savings Bank gave hon. Members quite detailed answers, so if the hon. Members want to ask supplementaries about this issue, they should direct them to the Hon. Minister when he is answering his questions, if they arise in the context of one of his questions in this session of the House – I believe they probably would – or at the next session of the House, which will be in January, when they can then file other questions specifically about this subject.

Hon. D J Bossino: By way of –

Mr Speaker: Excuse me, no, we are not going to continue, with respect to the Hon. Damon Bossino.

The Leader of the Opposition.

Hon. K Azopardi: I was just going to ask, Mr Speaker, and I am not sure whether I am directing this at you or the hon. Member; it partly it is both, if I may. Given what he has just said, and in light of the six month rule, is the Government accepting and would Mr Speaker accept that we file questions in January if we are not going to -?

Hon. D J Bossino: Not just January, but -

885

890

895

900

905

910

915

Hon. K Azopardi: Well, there are two options he has put: first, that when Sir Joe is in the Chamber we can put supplementaries and it may be possible to do so in connection with other questions. I have not memorised all the questions Sir Joe will have, but if that is possible, clearly we are happy to do that, but if that is not possible because it does not fall under any of those questions, then of course we would be free to file a question in January, notwithstanding the six month rule.

Hon. Chief Minister: Mr Speaker, what I have said is that if they arise in the context of Minister Bossano's questions, they may be able to ask supplementaries, which is my interpretation of the rule, but it is a matter entirely for you. From my knowledge of the questions they have asked Sir Joe, it would appear to me that they are on the same set of issues and therefore are likely to be covering the same set of questions and supplementaries, but that is a matter for you, for them and for Sir Joe when he is answering his questions.

The rule as to questions and whether they can be repeated in respect of any six months I have never understood to be in relation to supplementaries; I have always understood it to be in relation to questions filed. The question we are dealing with at the moment in respect of what Mr Bossino is seeking information on is not a question that has been filed. The question that has been filed has been answered. He is seeking to go beyond that and obtain information about the sources of funding that CSSL itself will be pursuing. That is not the question that was on the Order Paper. In my interpretation, that is a matter for you, and luckily, Mr Speaker, I only have to concern myself with Government business, not with the interpretation of *Erskine May* and all those issues. But in my interpretation it would not be a question that would fall foul of the six months rule if what he was seeking as a supplementary were to become his first question next time.

Mr Speaker: The situation the Chief Minister has explained will be my position too, in that if the Hon. the Father of the House is unable to answer, or rather if a question does not have information which will allow supplementaries on the basis of those outstanding queries that the Opposition have ... If those questions cannot be posed when the Father of the House is here tomorrow, then I will permit further questions to be asked in relation to the topic of unanswered supplementaries. Is that clear? (A Member: Next time?) Next time, yes.

TRANSPORT

Q534-35/2022

Active Travel Strategy –

Cost of commissioning Strategic Document for Mobility; cost to deploy Cycling Strategy

Clerk: Question 534/2022. The Hon. E J Phillips.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, further to Question 461/2022, can the Government state how much the Strategic Document for Mobility cost to commission?

920

925

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Transport.

Minister for Transport (Hon. P J Balban): Mr Speaker, I will answer this question together with Question 535.

Clerk: Question 535/2022. The Hon. E J Phillips.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, further to Question 461/2022, can the Government state how much money it will place at the disposal of the Ministry for Transport in order to deploy its cycling strategy?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Transport.

Hon. P J Balban: Mr Speaker, the Gibraltar Active Travel Strategy has been prepared in house by our technical team and has therefore come at no cost. As I have explained to the hon. Member ahead of Government officially publishing the document, there have been aspects of the strategy which have been outsourced to specialist engineering companies. As of yet, we are unable to provide a final figure in respect of the aspects of the strategy that have been outsourced.

The strategy has been conceived from the Sustainable Traffic, Transport and Parking Plan. We therefore intend to charge any associated costs from the said head and item of charge. As the hon. Member will know, the question of the money available for this purpose will not be decided by the Government but by the Parliament when we consider the next draft Estimates of Expenditure.

Hon. E J Phillips: I am grateful for the answer to that question. I am also grateful for the presentation that the hon. Gentleman gave us in relation to the plan that the Government has in relation to cycling. I know he offered it to the Leader of the Opposition and the Hon. Lady as well, and I think it is fair to say that we all found that project to be one that might well change the way in which our people move around our city and we look forward to working more closely with him in respect of that; certainly from my perspective, I do.

Just in relation to the presentation itself, if I may, because I know it formed part of the answer to Question 461/2022, the Minister confirmed that that presentation will be put before the Cabinet for approval. Is it the case now, and subject to what he has obviously said about the outsourcing of certain aspects of this project ...? Has that presentation, that three Members on this side of the House have seen, gone before the Cabinet, and has it now been approved by the Cabinet?

Hon. P J Balban: Mr Speaker, yes, the whole point was that this had to be approved by Cabinet first, and it was as a result of the decision made in Cabinet that I said I would present this to the hon. Members across the House.

Just to come back to the first point the hon. Member made, I appreciate the comments made, and as I said to them all when they did come and visit us to see the presentation on the strategy, this is something that will only work for Gibraltar if we see eye to eye in that respect, because it is extremely important for the environment and for the future in Gibraltar, and so I am very happy with the comments made by the hon. Member. Thank you.

Hon. E J Phillips: Just one further question that arises from this very helpful and constructive exchange in relation to the Cycling Strategy for Gibraltar. Not to disclose too much of what we discussed, but we are very keen for this project to escalate. I know he has a time in mind and we are, I think, joined on the question of this particular strategy. We might have differences of opinion as to where certain lanes go, or in terms of the rollout, and there may be disagreements in the future, but when does the Minister believe we might start rolling out these particular lanes in Gibraltar? I know we have had a general discussion, but I think he will pique the interest of our community once this presentation is released to the public, particularly those who use different modes of transportation and those who know our streets well. They will have their own queries and questions about that, so it will be very helpful if he could perhaps elucidate a timeline for us and, indeed, the population.

955

930

935

940

945

950

955

960

965

970

Hon. P J Balban: Mr Speaker, the intention, once we have shown the strategy to the Members across the floor of the House ... We have now shown the strategy and we are showing sections to certain stakeholders who have shown interest in it. The idea is, now, that we will be rolling out, as we explained, pop-up cycle infrastructure, especially in certain areas where there is bound to be imminent development, so at least we can start seeing how the strategy works vis-à-vis users and cyclists getting used to the infrastructure.

The stakeholder consultation has already happened. This will be made public. It will all be in public. It will also be presented to the DPC for their information so that they know about it, because remember, this is not a project like a building, as such, which would need planning permission; mainly it is improvements to the road. For us, it is important to let the stakeholders know, and there will be that process.

Once we are satisfied that we have managed to do these things, it will be announced, and the plan is to roll out pretty soon, at least in certain sections. As we explained, Bayside Road will be the first section to be tackled in terms of pop-up infrastructure, and we are hoping that very early in the New Year the people of Gibraltar will be able to see the fruits of that work in that respect.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q536/2022 1.5m rule re cyclists – Representations received re level of fine

Clerk: Question 536/2022. The Hon. E J Phillips.

995

980

985

990

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, further to Question 463/2022, can the Government state how many representations were received and by which group, organisation or agency?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Transport.

Minister for Transport (Hon. P J Balban): Mr Speaker, I refer the hon. Member to Press Release 695/2022, which states that representations were made by the Commissioner of Police stating that the current penalty levels had become insignificant, as they had not been increased with the rate of inflation and as a result had lost their value as a deterrent to persons committing

traffic offences.

Q537/2022

Vehicles in Gibraltar since 2016 – Total number registered; number of new vehicles registered since 2016

Clerk: Question 536/2022. The Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon.

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: How many registered vehicles were there in Gibraltar each year since and including 2016, and how many new vehicles have been registered each year since and

including 2016?

1010

1005

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Transport.

Minister for Transport (Hon. P J Balban): Mr Speaker, I now hand over a schedule with the information requested.

Answer to Q537/2022

	Registered	New
2016	35,247	2,331
2017	37,569	2,322
2018	40,080	2,511
2019	42,269	2,189
2020	44,746	2,477
2021	46,769	2,023
2022	48,641	1,872

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Mr Speaker, seeing as the trend seems to be that there is an increase of about 37% in the last seven years, of registered vehicles, would the Minister say that his STTP Plan, which was launched almost six years ago, has been a failure?

Hon. P J Balban: Mr Speaker, I do not think we can say that because numbers have increased — I am assuming 37% is the right figure — it has been a failure. It just means that Gibraltar has grown.

I would say Gibraltar has got busier. There is more commercial activity in Gibraltar. Remember that the figures I am presenting to the hon. Lady are not just cars, but they include motorbikes, lorries, vans and all sorts of vehicles of a commercial nature. I would love to see fewer vehicles in Gibraltar – that is something we all know – but the fact that we have increases maybe shows that there is more commercial activity and maybe the population has grown as well, so it is a result of that.

I will not accept that it is a failure of the STTPP because we are trying as much as possible to encourage people to adopt other sustainable modes of transport and the Cycling Strategy will be just one of those ways that we will try to encourage people to walk more and cycle.

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Thank you, Mr Speaker.

The Minister says many 'maybes' – it could be this or it could be that. Is the Minister able to give us any more information, or does his Ministry seek more information to find out why the trend has gone up so sharply, so that he can provide remedies and different trends in the future?

Hon. P J Balban: Mr Speaker, one thing that also has to be looked at in terms of this data, and it is something which is extremely difficult for us to provide ... This does not give any idea of how many vehicles have been disposed of. In fact, this just shows registered vehicles in total. But a number of these vehicles may not be there at the time. I cannot say why there is no ... 'Maybes' – I can only assume and give the best possible answer to the question, but I would not be able to say that this is a result specifically of one or more of those things.

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Mr Speaker, if I may just ask the Minister for Transport: there is a spike in the new vehicles in 2020, quite a big spike – would he attribute this to the Government's anti-green policy, during COVID, to sell vehicles at 0% tax?

Hon. P J Balban: Mr Speaker, the result of the initiative was that there was an increase. In fact, there should have been a displacement of vehicles that were more polluting with vehicles that are hybrid and electric at the time, but more so hybrid, because of the fact that more new vehicles came on to the market. If you look at the pattern, 2018 saw a greater spike in new vehicles, but then if you look at the years after 2020 and look at 2021 and 2022, there is a decline. What seems to have happened here is that, obviously because it was attractive to buy vehicles at that time,

1045

1015

1020

1025

1030

1035

1040

those who perhaps felt that their vehicles were getting older and wanted to move them on did so, and now you see the reverse happen. So if you look at the average of all these, I think Gibraltar generally has remained fairly constant, regardless of the policy at the time in 2020.

1055

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Mr Speaker, if I could just ask for some clarity on that – in 2020, with the COVID deal that customers had of 0% duty for any vehicle, be it diesel, unleaded or hybrid, is the Government Minister telling me that the 2,477, which is a high number, and a peak number, had nothing to do with this zero duty incentive?

1060

Hon. P J Balban: Mr Speaker, if you look at the figure for 2018, you see that it was higher. Clearly – let's be realistic – if there was 0% Import Duty, those people who were thinking of purchasing a vehicle would have done so, I would assume. But then it reflects that, after, there has been a decrease. If you look at 2018 and 2020, had this been such a great thing that everybody rushed out to buy a car, why was 2018 even higher? I could agree with the hon. Lady if we had seen 3,000 being sold a year when the peak was above every other, but there is this other peak in 2018 which cannot be attributed to any decrease in Import Duty.

1070

1065

Hon. E J Phillips: I am grateful, Mr Speaker. That was an excellent question by the hon. Lady insofar as this is concerned. It builds on a question we asked in relation to hybrid cars and electric vehicles.

1075

Just one question I have for the Minister. We are looking at a difference of 13,000, from 2016 to 2022, with the same road infrastructure. The Government was very proud, during the 2019 election, of their Green Gibraltar and Child-Friendly City. Would he not agree with me that these numbers show that we are moving, as a community, in the wrong direction? And whilst I will praise him for his efforts in relation to cycling, because he and I are joined on this question, would he not agree that that vision for the future is looking very shaky indeed?

1080

Hon. P J Balban: Mr Speaker, if we look at the actual results published recently by my hon. colleague the Minister for the Environment, we see that air quality has improved as a result of purchasing newer vehicles — and people have been purchasing newer vehicles, Euro 6-plus, hybrids and electric. The result of this is that emissions have reduced and, in terms of tailpipe emissions, have been cut down statistically. Those results do reflect that reduction in emissions in Gibraltar.

1085

When it comes to looking at vehicles, whether the increase seen in Gibraltar is something which shows any trends as the hon. Member has mentioned, unfortunately this is happening in many cities. We do see more people buying cars throughout. It is not just something which is typical of Gibraltar. We have a certain population. This does not mean that there are more cars on the road because Joe Bloggs with one driving licence can only drive one vehicle at one given point in time. I think people are buying or have bought vehicles or are failing to get rid of vehicles, and that is something that does happen in Gibraltar. You have a vehicle and it has sentimental value and stays on the road, but it is not being used. But the cars of choice being used tend to be the newer ones, and hence emissions do come down.

1095

1090

Again, yes, I would have liked to have seen a reversal, but I think it is going to take us a lot longer. I am hoping that with this initiative and trying to encourage more people to walk and cycle, and having the infrastructure actually laid down physically, we will see a trend and see people adopting those other modes of travel, be it walking or cycling. Again, this cannot be seen short term in what is going to happen, this needs to be looked at in the long term and that is what I aspire to and am hoping for.

1100

Mr Speaker: Next question.

BUSINESS, TOURISM AND THE PORT

Q538/2022 Coach park -**Refurbishment of toilets**

Clerk: Question 538/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

Hon. D J Bossino: When does the Government intend to refurbish the toilets at the Coach park?

1105

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Business and Tourism.

Minister for Business, Tourism and the Port (Hon. V Daryanani): Mr Speaker, this is currently in progress.

1110

Hon. D J Bossino: Is he able to give us more particularity as to timeline? This is an issue which has been brought to my attention and it really runs through the various questions I have filed for this session of the House which deal with the various entry points. I think I have identified one which is not going to be answered by him, which relates to the Frontier; I suspect it will be answered by the Chief Minister.

1115

1120

He did say in relation to a question that I previously posed in relation to the entry points more generally, that all efforts are being made to maintain our entry points. From the photographic evidence I have seen, it is not just one toilet, it is various toilets. It is an entry point because it is possibly one of the first things that people see when they get off the bus here, and they are in a really bad and dire state. It is not the welcome one would expect to be met with when you come to a destination. So can he answer the question? I am sure he agrees with the comments I made. I encourage him to make sure that this is done as soon as possible, but I ask for the further information.

1125

Hon. V Daryanani: Mr Speaker, I have informed the hon. Member that the refurbishment is in progress. When they will be fully refurbished ... I cannot really give him an exact date, but they will be refurbished. They are being refurbished as we speak.

1130

Hon. D J Bossino: By saying 'in progress', he is saying it has started already. Is he seriously telling me that he is not able to tell me when he expects that refurbishment to be done, dusted and completed? Is that what he is telling this House? Does he have no indication whatsoever as to when this is going to be completed?

1135

This ought to be dealt with as a matter of urgency. He has been in office now for a number of years, in excess of three, and this is a matter which has not been there the last few weeks; it must be an endemic problem that has been there before and needs to be sorted out as soon as possible.

Hon. V Daryanani: Mr Speaker, if it makes him feel better, I will inform him that it might take anything between four and eight weeks, when the project will be fully refurbished.

1140

Hon. E J Phillips: It does make me feel a lot better.

Mr Speaker: Thank you. Let's move on.

Q539/2022

Wizz Air -

Minister's meetings and expected date of return to Gibraltar

Clerk: Question 539/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

Hon. D J Bossino: Who, precisely, is the Minister for Tourism meeting when he refers to the 'wider Wizz team' when is he meeting with them, and when does he expect to welcome the airline back to Gibraltar?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Business and Tourism.

1150

1165

1170

1175

1185

1190

Minister for Business, Tourism and the Port (Hon. V Daryanani): Mr Speaker, I am meeting with senior executives of the airline. A first meeting was held virtually on 14th December and discussions are ongoing.

Hon. D J Bossino: Can he say which senior executives? I do not expect him to give me names, but what positions do they hold, and how many?

Hon. V Daryanani: I am not willing to provide that information at this moment in time.

Hon. D J Bossino: Is it because he does not really have that information, Mr Speaker? As usual, he comes up with these press releases and a lot of drivel, quite frankly, but he is unable to provide (Interjections) particulars that are highly relevant. He should have had this information.

Hon. V Daryanani: Mr Speaker, as you can see, he has found it very difficult to control his language, but anyway, if he looks at my press statement on Wizz, he will see that I met with the CEO of Wizz, the highest senior executive of the fastest-growing airline in Europe. There is no more I can tell him.

I am meeting different levels. We are trying to see whether we can we convince Wizz to come back to Gibraltar. I would like to think that that is what he wants too, but that is not the case because we know that the hon. Member is only interested in scoring cheap political points when it comes to airlines.

I have told him on many occasions and I will keep on repeating it. The people understand the work that I am doing and the people understand the work that he is doing – the negative work that he is doing – so all I will say is that every time he speaks about airlines, instead of ... I am playing up Gibraltar and he plays down Gibraltar and damages Gibraltar every time he opens his mouth.

Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker -

1180 **Mr Speaker:** This is the final supplementary.

Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker, I have asked two supplementaries. It may – (Interjections)

Mr Speaker: I know, but I think the hon. Member has answered the question. He has told you that he has met with the CEO. If you can be brief and keep your supplementaries to the minimum ... Thank you.

Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker, the supplementaries are being kept to the minimum. We have not reached a point where I think it calls for an element of control on me, because all I have done is ask two supplementaries. The hon. Member makes what are clearly barbed party-political

comments, which he seems to be entitled to make, but it seems that I am being controlled. I have no intention –

Chief Minister (Hon. F R Picardo): Mr Speaker, will the hon. Gentleman give way on a point of order? The hon. Gentleman said to the Hon. Minister that his press releases and his statements were a load of drivel. That is what led the hon. Member to respond in the way he did.

Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker, it is because they are a load of drivel, because they say nothing, (Interjections) and this is why I need to ask the questions. (Interjection) This is why I need to ask specific questions. (Interjection by Hon. Chief Minister) No, he needs to grow up and he needs to calm down. (Interjection) He has been getting up at every possible opportunity because his Ministers are not able to defend themselves. (Interjections)

Mr Speaker, the question – (Interjection) and I will continue to ask it –

Mr Speaker: All right, let us have the supplementary. As I said before, keep the supplementaries to the minimum because we are just dragging on and on and not getting very far. So with respect, just ... (Interjection)

Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker, it is true that he met the CEO. There is photographic evidence to the effect. I must say the body language is not particularly impressive. It looks like a photo opportunity, which presumably ... There is another question on the Order Paper to find out how much it cost the taxpayer to bring this gentleman over. He met him in Gibraltar presumably as a result of an invitation by the Government of Gibraltar, but he will answer the question.

Mr Speaker, just as the Hon. the Chief Minister used to bandy about, as he used to describe it himself, a tatty, yellowing *Gibraltar Chronicle* article on the cost of the Airport, which he was very keen to wave around when he was in opposition, I also have one which I keep in my office because I do want the hon. Member to succeed, but in respect of this particular airline – there have been many and he has presided over many things which have failed, and this is one of them – it says:

Gibraltar hotels at full capacity as Wizz Air cancels August flights.

At the time – this is the *Gibraltar Chronicle* edition of 14th July 2021 – he said, and it is a very short quote:

This is an unprecedented situation for Gibraltar to be in ...

which it was, and I fully acknowledge that; what I do not acknowledge is that ... He pretended he was bringing all these airlines as a result of his efforts. They were coming here because the airlines needed to be in the air in order not to lose money, and Gibraltar was a perfect opportunity because we had the green corridor. He goes on to say:

I will continue working

1225 — this was in July 2021 —

1195

1200

1210

1215

with Wizz Air and all other airlines to maintain capacity to Gibraltar. I look forward to the return of the flights in September 2021.

Why didn't it happen? Why is he still, I am afraid to say, imparting the drivel and nothingness that he thinks he can invite them to come to Gibraltar again? Why does he think he is being more optimistic now than he was in July 2021?

Hon. V Daryanani: Mr Speaker, first of all, the only drivel that we are hearing is that which is coming out of his mouth. He speaks of 2021: 2021 was an unprecedented time. We managed to convince Wizz to come to Gibraltar and they took the decision, after a year of being here, that it did not make commercial sense to them. That is fair enough. They are a business; they are entitled to take these decisions. We have now engaged with them once again. We have been doing this for the last few months, and now at a higher level, to see whether we can convince them to come back to Gibraltar, and they are interested in doing so.

Instead of supporting us – supporting Gibraltar ... It is not a question of supporting us, because at the end of the day this is about Gibraltar. Instead of supporting Gibraltar and Gibraltar's intentions, he plays down Gibraltar. I do not know what the speech he has given has to do with the question he has actually asked.

Hon. D J Bossino: It is a very specific question: why did the —? (*Interjection*) No, why did the airline not come in September 2021, as he said it would? And why is he more confident that they are likely to come in the future? He has not answered that question. He has come up, again, with a party-political point, just to criticise me.

Hon. V Daryanani: Mr Speaker, first of all, he should do his homework when he comes to Parliament, because he is absolutely wrong. Wizz did come back in September, so you need to get your facts right. Wizz did come back in September and then they pulled out at the end of the year.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q540/2022 Expected flight disruption during Christmas period – Update

Clerk: Question 540/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

Hon. D J Bossino: What update can the Government provide in connection with the expected disruption in flights from the UK due to industrial action in the UK over the Christmas period?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Business and Tourism.

Minister for Business, Tourism and the Port (Hon. V Daryanani): Mr Speaker, the industrial action will affect inbound flights to the UK. This disruption is aimed at the UK market. We have no updates from the airlines, only that of extended queueing times on arrival in the UK.

Hon. D J Bossino: Yes, Mr Speaker, and in fact what prompted the question was an article which appeared. I then could not locate it. It has actually become topical again because there was an article Monday's *Times*, talking about airport strikes and Border Force walkouts. In fact, there is a full entry on the UK government's website which talks about the planned industrial action which is being conducted by the Public and Commercial Services Union. It talks about the dates in respect of which there will be disruptions experienced, and unfortunately it is from this Friday, the 23rd, all the way through to the 31st, which is basically the Christmas period. It does affect a long list of airports and two of them are ones that we travel to, Gatwick and Heathrow. There is a comment both in this document that I have just referred him to and also in the *Times* article, which says:

1240

1230

1235

1245

1250

1255

1260

1265

Flights impact of industrial action

1275

1280

1285

1290

1295

1300

1305

1310

1315

1320

We will work with operators and ports to understand the impact of industrial action on inbound flights.

I wanted to know whether there had been any contact with Gibraltar and, in particular, with his Ministry in relation to this. What are the operators telling him in relation to this? And is there information that he can then pass on to travellers and be of general assistance to travellers here on the ground?

Hon. V Daryanani: Mr Speaker, the delay that is expected, as I said, is really in queuing inbound into the UK. We have not been approached by the operators and we have not been approached by the airports, so there is no information that we have been given that we can pass on. Really we are just privy to what we read in the press, like he does. I read in an article that the UK government was drafting in military personnel to see whether they could speed things up at airports, but we have not heard anything directly.

Hon. D J Bossino: I understand that in very large measure this is action which is being undertaken that is going to be outside of his hands, clearly, but what I am really focusing on is what dialogue he can embark upon – and I would encourage him to do so – in order to then try to basically provide information to users, because the article also refers to the potential ... It is not just long queues, which seems to be the main effect of the industrial action, but potentially also – which is where the danger comes, as far as Gibraltar Airport is concerned – flight disruption. I think it is important ... I would have thought that the Minister can use his influence and his position to pick up the phone and speak to the operators and any other relevant authorities, so that information can be imparted to users when the time comes, which is now in the next 48 hours.

Chief Minister (Hon. F R Picardo): Mr Speaker, the information that the Government has suggests that it is unlikely to be Gibraltar that is affected by the flight disruptions, because those who are going to be on flights that are disrupted have received notices telling them that their flights are disrupted and those who are on flights to Gibraltar have not received such notices.

The government of the United Kingdom appears to have averted the possibility that people will have to wait in aircraft, which could then have knock-on effects delaying aircraft. For that reason, as the Minister has already told him, we have no information to impart to travellers and we have not had to pick up the phone to try to assist, but we would if we felt it was necessary. It has not been necessary yet to do that, because no such information has been brought to our attention.

Hon. D J Bossino: I am grateful for that helpful reply from the Hon. the Chief Minister.

I appreciate that the Speaker may not allow this question because it may not directly relate to it, but does he have any comment to make in relation to there not being on-ground staff, I am told? It is slightly related because if we did have on-ground staff from the various airlines that operate to and from Gibraltar, it would assist in terms of imparting information, which is really the main topic of this question-and-answer session in relation to this issue. Does he have any comment in relation to that? We do have flight handlers, but I understand that Gibraltar Airport no longer has on-ground staff, which would, I think, assist quite a lot in giving out useful information to travellers when they are here.

Hon. Chief Minister: Mr Speaker, the position in relation to on-ground staff has been established in Gibraltar, as I understand it, since we came into office in 2011, something that predates us. Having on-ground staff is something which is now unusual in many airports. Airlines use ground handlers for all their on-ground needs. That is the case sometimes even in airports as large as Malaga Airport in respect of some very large and established airlines. That seems to be the way the airlines are moving and the Government has no comment in that respect, Mr Speaker.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q541/2022 Landport tunnel – Upkeep and cleaning

Clerk: Question 541/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

Hon. D J Bossino: When will the Government upkeep and clean the entry point into the city centre, namely Landport Tunnel?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Business and Tourism.

Minister for Business, Tourism and the Port (Hon. V Daryanani): The Landport area is swept daily and flushing takes place monthly. Furthermore, as and when it is required or when we are notified, cleanliness in the area is tackled.

Hon. D J Bossino: Once again, Mr Speaker, I have seen it myself and there is also photographic evidence which suggests the contrary.

I appreciate that the hon. Member, in answers to questions that he has provided to this House in the past – and, in fact, I think it formed part of his Budget address – is wanting to refurbish, as part of a wider refurbishment, the whole area, which is actually the answer I thought he was going to give, but can I tell him that it is not quite what he is telling us? In fact, it is far removed from the answer that he has just given us. There are walls which require a lick of paint, I am told even of cobwebs that are very visible, and indeed, clearly as a result of the heavy rain – certainly as a result of rain – there is actually water seeping through one of the lights in the tunnel. So, like in relation to the toilets, it may seem like a not particularly important matter to raise, but actually our view is that it is highly important because it is, again, a sight which greets people on their almost first entry through our city walls.

1345

1330

1335

1340

Hon. V Daryanani: Mr Speaker, as the hon. Member said, there is a wider refurbishment plan there. I must say I have not been down to see the leaking walls that he is referring to, but it has been brought to our attention now and I will have it looked at, but there is a wider refurbishment and there are other financial priorities at the same time.

1350

Hon. D J Bossino: I am grateful for the conciliatory tone in which he has responded on this occasion, and I simply encourage him and ask him to deal again with this as a matter of urgency, fully acknowledging the fact that there is going to be a wider refurbishment. We had this type of debate in relation to the Frontier entry points, but it really ... I appreciate that there are other priorities, but I think a lick of paint would go a long way.

1355

Hon. V Daryanani: Mr Speaker, I am keen, more than anyone, to have that area and all the areas in Gibraltar looking spick and span, so I can tell him that he has my word that I will look into it.

Q542/2022 Cruise liner terminal – Refurbishment

1360 **Cl**

Clerk: Question 542/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

Hon. D J Bossino: When will Government, as a matter of urgency, refurbish the cruise liner terminal and surrounding area?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Business and Tourism.

Minister for Business, Tourism and the Port (Hon. V Daryanani): Mr Speaker, this is currently being assessed and plans are being prepared for this purpose.

Hon. D J Bossino: Again, as I mentioned in respect of my first question in relation to the coach park, it is the same theme. He said, in relation to the coach park, that the refurbishment programme was in progress. In relation to this, can he please advise this House when the cruise liner walls ...? It is the external walls particularly. They are in a really bad way. I have posted photos on social media, I think. If I have not already, I certainly will now because I need to make the point that this is something which really requires urgent and immediate action, particularly when we are getting, hopefully, more cruise liners coming through. He says he is doing a lot of work in terms of marketing and bringing them here, but then if, again, this is the first thing they see in Gibraltar, it does not really greet them with a particularly attractive sight, so can I urge him to do that as quickly as possible? Then, specifically, by way of further information, can he tell me when he thinks it will start and when he thinks the painting project will finish? He said refurbishments. I am focusing on the painting of the external walls, but maybe he can also answer what type of refurbishment will be carried out.

Hon. V Daryanani: Mr Speaker, maybe next time he can vote in favour of a higher budget so I can spend and refurbish the cruise liner terminal. I can see Mr Clinton getting a bit nervous about that.

The hon. Member asked a very similar question on the cruise liner terminal on 20th October, two months ago, and I refer him to the Hon. the Chief Minister's extensive reply on this because he raised the outer walls and he is raising them once again. I suggest he goes to *Hansard* and brings out that extract because the question he is asking me now ... the answer was given to him by the Hon. Chief Minister two months ago.

Insofar as the refurbishment, there are refurbishments – (Interjection) There are different –

Mr Speaker: Please carry on.

Hon. V Daryanani: We need to decide whether we want to carry out a full refurbishment or a part refurbishment, what is it that we want to do. At the end of the day, it all boils down to finances and that is why we are taking our time before we make a decision on how we want to progress this.

Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker, this drills slightly further. I have looked at the responses that were given in relation to this particular issue. My comment to the Hon. Chief Minister following his response was that the issues in relation to the negotiations with the EU and Spain may, I understand, have an impact in respect of the Frontier entry, but I could not understand why it would have necessarily any impact on the cruise liner terminal.

I am not sure that he has answered the specific request for further information, which is when does he think he will be in a position to start and then finish the works, or is he nowhere near that position as yet?

Hon. V Daryanani: Mr Speaker, I am working on this, so it will be during the lifetime of this Parliament.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

1410

1365

1370

1375

1380

1385

1390

1395

1400

Q543/2022 Aviation conference – Breakdown of costs

Clerk: Question 543/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

Hon. D J Bossino: What was the cost of the recent aviation conference held in Gibraltar, to include the amount that the Government paid in respect of it broken down into the following headings: accommodation, travel, entertainment and use of conference facilities?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Business and Tourism.

Minister for Business, Tourism and the Port (Hon. V Daryanani): Mr Speaker, the cost of accommodation was paid by the delegates. Their travel was also paid by themselves. Entertainment and use of conference facilities were paid by CAPA. There was, therefore, no cost to the Government.

Hon. D J Bossino: I am grateful for that answer, and I need to congratulate the Minister that the taxpayer has not had to incur any expense.

Can I ask the hon. Member what comment he makes in relation to the timing of this? Presumably, given that there is the possibility of the shared use of the Airport and the benefits that we may derive – shall we put it in those terms? – in respect of the EU treaty, would it not have been better to have had certainty in relation to that aspect before holding this conference in Gibraltar?

Hon. V Daryanani: Mr Speaker, CAPA has, I think, seven or perhaps even eight conferences a year in all sorts of destinations around the world. They decided that they wanted to hold this, their most important conference, in Gibraltar and it was supposed to take place in December of this year, but they took the decision over 12 or 14 months ago. For me and for the Government it was all about exposure for the jurisdiction and exposure for Gibraltar Airport, of course.

Hon. D J Bossino: I appreciate that. I also appreciate that the event only occurred, I think, in the last month or two. Is he able to say whether he has been able to secure anything in the way of progress following from that as a marketing exercise? He rightly points out it certainly would have put, I imagine, Gibraltar on the map with these various airlines, but is he able to say whether there have been any substantive, positive developments arising from it?

Hon. V Daryanani: Mr Speaker, I am not prepared to make any comments at this stage because that would lead to speculation. I will only make any announcement once the ink is dry on the contract.

Hon. D J Bossino: I had another question, but given the answer, this question certainly arises from that answer. When he is saying when the ink is dry on the contract, is he telling us that there is a possibility of something substantive happening but he is in the throes of negotiations? That in itself, I think, is information which he can disclose to the House and to the public. Is he saying that there are negotiations in place in respect of something substantive coming through, or is he not even prepared to tell me that?

Chief Minister (Hon. F R Picardo): Mr Speaker, if we make an announcement that we are pursuing a particular objective and then that objective does not materialise, hon. Members accuse us of creating hype and then it comes to nothing. If we do not make an announcement about something that we may be trying to pursue before it is fixed, hon. Members ask us to give them

1455

1425

1430

1435

1440

1445

information about each stage of the approach. So the approach that we are taking, on the advice of the Hon. Mr Clinton, is that we are not going to make announcements about things until the ink is dry on the paper of the arrangements that are to be announced. They cannot advise us to do both of those things – they can advise us to either make announcements when things are fixed or they can advise us to make announcements as we are ongoing in discussions of things – and so we are taking the advice to wait until things are fixed before we make the announcements.

Hon. D J Bossino: Fair enough, Mr Speaker. I do not intend to pursue the matter further. I think that question was more than capable of a shorter reply. I offered to him the answer he could have given me, and now I get — (Interjection by Hon. Chief Minister) Yes, fine. We can leave it — (Interjection by Hon. Chief Minister) Mr Speaker, we can leave it there.

This is my final question. In relation to costs, can he say whether there is anything beyond the four subheadings that I provided which has incurred a cost to the taxpayer?

Hon. V Daryanani: Nothing in relation to this conference.

DIGITAL, FINANCIAL SERVICES, HEALTH AUTHORITY AND PUBLIC UTILITIES

Q575-76/2022 Ambulances – Ambulance fire; date of arrival of new ambulances

1475 **Clerk:** Question 575/2022. The Hon. E J Phillips.

1470

1485

1495

1500

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, can the Government explain why an ambulance caught fire in late November 2022?

1480 **Clerk:** Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, I will answer this question together with Question 576.

Clerk: Question 576/2022. The Hon. E J Phillips.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, can the Government state when the promised new ambulances will arrive in Gibraltar?

1490 **Clerk:** Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I am informed that an ambulance had an issue with a battery overheating but at no time did the ambulance catch fire.

As the hon. Member will be aware, there are delays with the delivery of all vehicles for reasons unrelated to Gibraltar. Notwithstanding, I am pleased to report that we expect the arrival of the new ambulances in the first quarter of 2023.

Hon. E J Phillips: Thank you for the answer. Just in relation to Question 576 and the answer the Minister gave, have there been any concerns expressed by paramedics or drivers of these new ambulances in relation to the technical aspects? The Opposition are reliably informed that they have expressed some concerns to the Ministry, or at least those who are responsible for this area.

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I am not aware of any concerns being expressed by anyone in relation to these ambulances.

1505

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q577/2022 Prescriptions – Number of people paying due to change in policy

Clerk: Question 577/2022. The Hon. E J Phillips.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, can the Minister for Health confirm how many people are now required to pay for their own prescriptions in light of the change in prescription policy at the GHA?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, the GHA have no data and no method of collecting data with respect to patients who have elected to continue with medicines the GHA no longer supports. The vast majority of patients have transferred successfully to alternative medication which both clinicians and patients have been content with.

1520

1515

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, I receive a number of representations almost on a monthly basis, and I am sure the Hon. Minister does as well, in relation to those particularly vulnerable individuals from low income families who are very concerned about the escalating costs of some of these prescriptions that they require. I know there is an internal debate as to whether they are a requirement or more generic medicine can be supplied insofar as the ailment. What can the Minister say, in terms of reassuring those members of the public who come to me, and indeed must come to him, about their access to these things? They are finding it very difficult to make ends meet when it comes to some of these prescription costs, which are very significantly different to what they were before.

1530

1525

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, again, to put these things into context, there are 67 people who have made an application to the committee to have their cases reconsidered. Thirty of those have been approved and accepted, there are 30 that have not and there are seven that are pending. So before we create this huge turmoil in relation to this issue, there are actually very few. Obviously, as time goes by, we may get a couple more. Most of them have been dealt with and mostly in the more senior members of our community.

1535

In order for the committee to accept the change, there has to be the support of the clinician. It is not just a case of saying, 'I prefer that one,' or 'I like this one.' There has to be a clinical reason as to why you cannot change. There are instances where people have accepted the medicine and then had an adverse reaction, it has gone back to the committee, they have reversed it and it has been accepted; so it is fluid — and there are some that have not been accepted for good reason.

1540

1545

If you look at the formulary which is being used to decide which medicines are on and which medicines are off, it is a very extensive amount of work that went into deciding which ones were and which ones were not. It was not a simple mathematical with a pound sign on it calculation. The guidance from the NHS was used, the UK formulary was used, NHS guidance and NICE guidance, in particular technology appraisals were used. The British National Formulary editions 82, 84 guidance notes have been used. Three major reference formularies in England have been used; consultation with local – in Gibraltar – medical consultants, both visiting and based in the

GHA; consultation with other key health professionals, local practice preferences; and another factor, the cost of alternatives and availability. So the cost is one of eight or nine different points that were considered in arriving at this formulary.

So my answer would be the *vast* majority, 99%, have transitioned with very little difficulty. Some have ... we are dealing with those, and where there is a genuine problem, we are seeking to help and support them either transition or revert and stick to the medication that was working better for them. At the end of the day, it is the patient's needs that count and that is what the committee is very much focusing on. I hope that helps.

Hon. E J Phillips: That is an extremely helpful answer and I think it will reassure members of the public – particularly the senior members of the public who have difficulty with paying for these, which sometimes amounts to £100-£150 a month and for those in their senior years on limited pensions it can be the difference between ... I am only [inaudible] but there is a difference, so I am grateful for that answer.

Just one aspect. It appears from the statistic that the Minister helpfully gave me that just under 40% have already been approved insofar as dispensation is concerned over that cost. Is there a discretionary element to the approach as well? I know that there are seven pending, for instance. Is there a discretionary element that takes into consideration means, as well as the other clinical tests that the hon. Gentleman set out?

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, there is a Drugs and Therapeutics Committee which considers each case. They look at the recommendation of the consultants or the GP who is looking after the patient, as well as representations from patients themselves. So, yes.

Q578/2022 Verbal or threatening physical abuse of GHA staff – Number of complaints

Clerk: Question 578/2022. The Hon. E J Phillips.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, can the Government state the number of complaints received in respect of verbal or threatening physical abuse occasioned by members of the public towards GHA staff in 2022 so far?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, there have been 66 complaints received in respect of verbal or threatening physical abuse recorded in 2022 across the entire GHA and its services. Forty seven of these complaints were in respect of verbal abuse and 19 in respect of physical abuse. The location of the incidents of physical abuse was as follows. Community Mental Health: Coaling Island, one; Ocean Views, eight; and St Bernard's Hospital, 10.

There were four occasions when police were called. Two were for physical abuse and another two for incidents where the patient made threats towards staff. As the GHA and Government have publicly stated, any form of abuse to our dedicated staff at the GHA will not be tolerated and will be reported for process.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, I think everyone in this House will not tolerate abuse of our medical professionals, and there should be zero tolerance of that.

38

1555

1550

1560

1565

1570

1575

1585

1590

Just one question that follows from that. Of course we have had incidents in the past where very significant physical harm has been attributed to a medical professional. I think we all know that particular case. I just wanted to know whether any security measures had been reviewed, particularly given the fact that the majority of those physical abuses seem to happen at St Bernard's Hospital. I appreciate that some of the situations arise in the context of mental health, where it is very sensitive and very difficult, but mostly people are trained to deal with these incidents. Has the Minister undertaken a review of the security arrangements at this particular institution so that we can try to mitigate the potential for physical violence against our GHA staff?

1600

1595

Hon. A J Isola: Yes, Mr Speaker, this is one of the issues that we are looking at in terms of the current incumbents as porters, as to whether there can be better, more effective use made of them, as well as potentially an entry system, some form of registration system. These are part of the plans in the review that we are working our way through, and this is one of the areas that is also being looked at, yes.

1605

Q579/2022 Children aged 16 and under seen by GPs at PCC – Number in 2022

Clerk: Question 579/2022. The Hon. E J Phillips.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, in 11 months in 2021, 11,900 children aged 16 and under were seen by GPs at the PCC. Does the Government have the statistic for 2022 so far?

1610

1615

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, the number of children aged 16 and under seen by GPs at the Primary Care Centre between January and November 2022 was 10,488.

Q580/2022 Radiology scans – Number of people waiting over three months

Clerk: Question 580/2022. The Hon. E J Phillips.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, can the Minister for Health confirm how many people have waited over three months in 2022 for radiological scans, namely (i) X-rays, (ii) ultrasound, (iii) CT and (iv) MRI?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola):

Mr Speaker, I am informed that currently there are no patients who have waited over three months for a routine or urgent radiology investigation. If a patient has been scheduled beyond three months, it is because the scan is planned and intended to be performed at a specific interval, for example a follow-up scan.

Hon. E J Phillips: This question might slightly go out of the remit, but insofar as MRI ... If the Minister cannot answer it, I am happy to maybe deal with this separately with him in correspondence, or indeed raise it as a different question next time, but is the Minister aware of the potential donation of an MRI scanner from the prostate cancer charity and whether or not that has been accepted or rejected? It is just something that came to me from a constituent.

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I do not believe that information is correct. I am happy to have a discussion, because I know some parts of what the hon. Member is referring to, but I do not believe that is correct.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q581/2022

Gibraltar residents receiving treatment for cancer – Numbers by type of cancer

1640 **Clerk:** Question 581/2022. The Hon. E J Phillips.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, can the Government state how many people registered with the GHA and normally resident in Gibraltar are receiving treatment for cancer locally or with tertiary providers abroad, broken down into cancer type?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, the number of people currently receiving treatment for cancer locally and in tertiary centres is as follows: brain, two; breast, 86 – if the hon. Member wants me to slow down, I will; gynaecology, 29; head and neck, 10; lower gastrointestinal, 49; lung, 31; melanoma, six; sarcoma, three; skin, non-melanoma, five; unknown primary – which is the place the cancer began is not known – four; upper gastrointestinal and hepato-pancreatic biliary, 12; urology, 42; and haematology, 11.

Hon. E J Phillips: To clarify, those are individuals treated locally and by tertiary providers abroad, so that is the total combination of figures?

I am grateful for that answer. I think we will digest those and probably come back with further questions next time. Thank you.

Q582/2022

Gibraltar residents receiving treatment for heart or respiratory disease – Numbers by type

1660 **Clerk:** Question 582/2022. The Hon. E J Phillips.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, can the Government state how many people registered with the GHA and normally resident in Gibraltar are receiving treatment for (i) heart disease, or (ii) respiratory disease locally or with tertiary providers, broken down, in respect of heart disease, to acquired or congenital heart disease, or in respect of respiratory disease type?

1645

1630

1635

1655

1650

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, 974 patients are receiving treatment for heart-related diseases and 1,596 patients are receiving treatment for respiratory-related diseases, broken down as follows: asthma, 1,254; chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), 414; bronchiectasis, 68; emphysema, 44; pulmonary hypertension, five; pulmonary fibrosis, one.

It is important to note that there are a number of patients who are under more than one of the disease groups described and therefore the numbers will not add up.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, I am grateful to the Minister. I think these are extremely interesting statistics about the state of health of those being treated by our providers, but also tertiary providers in Spain. I think the alarming figures in relation to heart disease and particularly respiratory disease are very significant.

One of the things we have debated in this House is the risk in the quality of our air and the impact it has on young lungs and the adult population. I wonder, has there been any assessment? There have been recent cases in the United Kingdom that have gone to the High Court and have attributed young deaths, for instance, to air pollution. Is there any data or any assessment as to the epidemiology of these particular diseases and how they have come about? It would be helpful to understand where respiratory disease ... Is it connected to, for instance, diabetes, which is a significant burden on our Health Service? But is this also related to lifestyle, particularly smoking, prevalence of smoking or indeed air pollution? I wonder whether the Minister might be a bit more helpful as to that. I know the Health Lifestyle Studies document is yet to be published in this regard, but it would be helpful just to have a bit more data in relation to that.

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I think on the basis of this number, in both heart related and respiratory related, I would not jump to any conclusions, for the same reason that we discussed in this Parliament before, which is that 970 patients for heart-related diseases ... we immediately jump to the conclusion that those are the more serious ones. It could be somebody having a statin because they have a heart disease which requires a statin pill to be taken every day. So I think yes, at first shot they would appear to be high. We are doing, as part of the review to understand exactly what are the issues and whether they are not just high this year but where have they been in the past, so a comparison to understand what the normal levels are, because we do not know what they are to be able to compare them to these. Also, in doing that, you have to dive deeper into what the actual ailments are, to see whether we do have an unusual problem or this is normal.

Do not forget that when you talk about numbers of people using the GHA, we are not just talking about the 32,000 resident population. We are also talking about other entitled people who work here but do not live here and are also entitled to have GHA treatment. These numbers cover those too, so you are not talking about 32,000, you are talking about 45,000. All of these things have to be put into context before we can arrive at any conclusions as to what the impact is, or is not.

I would say what I said to the Hon. Leader of the Opposition last time we discussed numbers in this Parliament: great care needs to be taken with numbers not to jump to the obvious conclusion, which may be wrong. Work is going on to better understand the numbers, with the first step of diving deeper into what those actually are, how many of these heart diseases are serious, and the same with respiratory, and then what are the things that are causing them and can we do anything to help in that cycle.

So there is work going on. Obviously, the Director of Public Health is also involved on the respiratory side, in terms of the environment and everything else, which is important work too. What I would say is that we ae fully aware of the numbers and there is work going on to see what, if anything, we should be doing more of now.

41

1680

1675

1670

1685

1695

1690

1700

1705

1710

Hon. E J Phillips: I am very grateful for that answer. Again, I think these are important questions for our community and I am grateful for the answers from the Minister.

I think insofar as respiratory disease in particular and the high level, the significant proportion of those afflicted with this condition – 1,254 – have asthma. Potentially, and we are not experts but it is likely to be driven by environmental factors so far as those figures are concerned. You have mentioned the environment insofar as the Director General's position and what he is doing within our community to drive that down, but isn't the answer in Public Health? The only way our community can drive down the cost of health – and I am sure he will agree with this position – is to attempt to deal with lifestyle, health and the environment, so that we ultimately drive down the cost. Otherwise, healthcare will continue to escalate for many years to come and become uncontrollable. I know the Minister understands that and I know that his predecessor, Mr Costa, in his time in this House, understood that. I wonder what the Government is doing – my question is really focused on asthma prevention treatment – and, in particular, what is the Director General advising in respect of asthma?

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I would not be able to answer that question now because I do not want to give an answer that is in any way inaccurate. From my recollection of my regular meeting with the Director General, I may well fall foul of that, so I will be happy to write to him and give him more information in terms of what is being planned and programmed, not just with the DG but also with the Director of Public Health.

1740 Mr Speaker: Next question.

1720

1725

1730

1735

1745

Q583/2022 Defective PPE – Progress to recover sums expended

Clerk: Question 583/2022. The Hon. E J Phillips.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, can the Government state what progress it has made to recover the sums expended on defective or otherwise faulty PPE in respect of Gibraltar's COVID-19 response?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola):

Mr Speaker, as my colleague Minister Balban confirmed in July 2020, all PPE purchased by the GHA was compliant, with the exception of two consignments. Although the two consignments were not compliant with the FFP3 standard for use by clinicians, they were appropriate to be used by visitors to the Hospital and were consequently deployed at the entrance for this purpose. The cost of both consignments was reduced by over 70%, ensuring that the GHA paid the cost of normal face coverings and not FFP3 standard.

Hon. E J Phillips: So to be clear, 70% of the cost that was paid out for these face masks was recovered by the Government directly from their own arrangements, never paid?

1760 **Mr Speaker:** Next question.

Q584/2022 Mental health budget – Breakdown of spending

Clerk: Question 584/2022. The Hon. E J Phillips.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, further to Q282/2022, the Minister confirmed to me in writing that £1,483,238.47 of the total mental health budget was attributed to counselling/psychologists and psychiatrists. Can the Minister now confirm how the balance of the £5.7 million mental health budget, less the £1.4 million-odd, is spent?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

1770 Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, I am now handing over a schedule to the hon. Member.

Answer to Q584/2022

GIBRALTAR HEALTH AUTHORITY - MENTAL HEALTH EXPENDITURE		ESTIMATES
	2022/23	
	(excluding Consultants)	
Et a	£	
Kee	current Payments	1 1
-	Personal Empluments	
(1)	Salaries	2,516,749
(2)	Overtime:	
	(I) Conditioned	13,451
	(ii) Emergency	0
	(II) Manning Level Maintenance	6,724
	(IV) Discretionary	0
(3)	Allowances	182,131
(4)	Gratuities	0
(6)	Employer's Social Insurance Contributions	181,440
(6)	Employer's Pension Contributions	304,043
	Industrial Wages	
(7)	Basic Wages	290,386
(8)	Overtime:	
	(i) Conditioned	. 0
	(ii) Emergency	0
	(II) Manning Level Maintenance	0
	(IV) Discretionary	0
(9)	Allowances	805
(10)	Employer's Social Insurance Contributions	37,580
(11)	Employer's Pension Contributions	12,860

Answer to Q584/2022

GIBRALTAR HEALTH AUTHORITY - MENTAL HEALTH EXPENDITURE		ESTIMATES 2022/23
	excluding Consultants)	
	enciuality vertexitation	£
	Other Recurrent Expenditure	
(12)	Relief Cover	. 0
	Visiting Consultants Fees and Expenses and Other Contracted	
4 6	Medical Services	0
(14)	Recruitment Expenses	0
5.00	General Expenses	. 0
(16)	Electricity and Water	136,061
	Telephone Service	0
(18)	Printing and Stationery	2,468
(19)	Computer and Office Equipment Expenses	0
(20)	Postage Expenses	0
(21)	GPMS Prescriptions	0
	Drugs and Pharmacouticals	0
(23)	Medical Departments	7.520
(24)	Medical and Surgical Appliances	0
(25)	Uniforms and Protective Clothing	0
(26)	Patients Appliances	0
(27)	Dressings, Aids, Medical Gases and Tests	0
(28)	Provisions	7,955
(29)	Laundry Expenses	0
(30)	Cleaning Expenses	48,320
(31)	Transport Expenses	0
(32)	Fuel and Gas	0
	Compensation and Legal Costs	0
	Official Visits and Functions	0
200	School of Health Studies Expenses	. 0
	Insurance Expenses	0
4.00	Sponsored Patients	179,116
20	Dialysis	0
F	Rents and Service Charges	. 0
20 1 1 1 1	Registration Board	. 0
6	Repairs and Maintenance	0
St. 100.00	Disposal of Clinical Waste	124,673
20.00	Techno-Medical Services provided by GEA	0
	Other Maintenance Agreements	144,413
	Contribution to Gibraltar Development Corporation - Staff Services (i)	0
4	Fire Prevention	0
(47)	Ex-Gratia Payments	0
	Rentals:	
	Europort Paediatric Centre	0
9	Europort PCC - New Build	0
	Information Management & Technology - Europort	0
4.00	Finance & Procurement Europort	0
(62)	Hospital Rental	0
Sec. and	Contracted Services:	1 .
0.00	Security Services	0
200	Upkeep of Planted Areas	
(56)	Radio Communication System - Gibtelecom Ltd	0

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, if I can perhaps digest the schedule and, if I have a question, come back to it, and we can get on with the rest of the questions, I would be grateful.

Q585/2022

Withdrawal of cheques – Reason for implementation in Gibraltar

Clerk: Question 585/2022. The Hon. R M Clinton.

1775

Hon. R M Clinton: Mr Speaker, can the Government confirm that the Gibraltar Bankers' Association consulted it on the withdrawal of cheques by 31st December 2023; and, if so, why does it agree this should occur in Gibraltar before the United Kingdom, where this was shelved due to objections from stakeholders?

1780

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

1785

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, His Majesty's Government of Gibraltar has indeed been consulted by the Gibraltar Bankers' Association on this matter and I can confirm that the Government fully supports this initiative. HMGoG will continue to work closely with the Gibraltar Bankers' Association on this matter in order to ensure a smooth transition over the next 12 months and to work with any sector that requires assistance during this period.

1790

Hon. R M Clinton: Mr Speaker, I am grateful for the Minister's answer. Is the Minister aware of the House of Commons Treasury Committee having opened an inquiry in February 2010? The result was that the idea that had been floated to abolish cheques by 2018 was actually shelved and that, I quote, 'cheques will continue for as long as customers need them'.

1795

To what extent is the Minister satisfied that all stakeholders have been consulted? In the United Kingdom, charities in particular were vocal against the abolition of cheques because that would affect their cashflow. We have all seen in the local paper that whenever there is a charitable donation there is a great big cheque prepared, which everybody stands in front of. To what extent is the Minister satisfied that that sector will be protected? And can the Minister comment as to what the motivation for this is? There are now image-scanning and processing systems which speed up the clearing of cheques, so what is it that is driving the banks to abandon the cheques, whereas obviously in the UK they have not been able to?

1800

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, obviously the hon. Member is aware, as a former banker, that those big cheques presented on GBC Open Day are not taken to the bank and money given in value for them. Well, they could be, but I think they are not, and I think he would accept that they are not. (*Interjection*)

1805

1810

There is an enormous difference between the United Kingdom and Gibraltar, especially in this. Why? Because in the United Kingdom, cheques are cleared by a central clearing agency on behalf of all of the banks. That same clearing system was withdrawn in Gibraltar, so today there is no clearing system for cheques in Gibraltar. The only way that banks that issue cheques can clear them is by physically sitting around a desk with representatives from each bank once a week, twice a week — every day, as it used to be — to clear those cheques by swapping cheques with each other.

1815

The Bankers' Association has long sought to move away from cheques. Cheques are used less and less frequently. They are not accepted in most places, and certainly most retail shops in Gibraltar – I have not seen one presented there for a very long time – and so it was the obvious step in terms of going to the next level. Let the banks work more efficiently through the more traditional methods of payment, primarily through cards.

1820

As I said in my answer, if there are specific associations – for example, charities – that require help, we will sit down with the banks and find a solution that works for them, but to keep a system going within our banks that does not work for the banks and requires them to go to extreme cost

on a regular basis, every week, to sit round a table and pass cheques from one to the other, does not appear to be the right way forward.

Hon. R M Clinton: Mr Speaker, of course I understand what he is saying and I am happy to be corrected, but I thought cheque clearing had long since left Gibraltar ... than they have done in the UK, in any case, certainly in terms of electronic scanning of images, so in that sense I do not see any cost or great efficiency gains. I can appreciate the banks will want to abandon what is a very old system of payment going back to Victorian days, but can the Minister advise ...? The GBA did say in their statement they had consulted stakeholders. Is he aware of whom they consulted?

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I know they engaged with a number of different associations. I am not sure which ones those were. I would not be able to say with any degree of certainty every single association they talked to. I believe they made some public statements as well, inviting comments from the general public at large, and I think they also obviously consulted with Government on the proposed steps and the timeline. There is 12 months until this happens, so there is plenty of time to find the problem areas and seek to address them.

Hon. R M Clinton: If I may, one last supplementary, Mr Speaker, just to bring to the Minister's attention a comment that was made to me by a signatory to an association. I would interested to hear what the Minister's thoughts would be. Most associations require two signatories to a cheque. I appreciate in bigger organisations, where there are more sophisticated banking payments, you can have somebody who sets up the payment, somebody else who releases it and somebody else who authorises it, but in a small club or an association where they rely on the control of two signatories on a cheque ... What comfort are the associations going to be able to get without having to obtain an expensive banking system?

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I am sure that electronic banking has facilities to enable two people to authorise or approve. It may not be by co-signing a cheque, but I am sure there are electronic means of signifying consent to a payment transfer. I am sure that is already in place. But again, we will look at it with those affected or impacted by it over the next 12 months.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q586/2022 GHA clerks – Employment company

Clerk: Question 586/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

Hon. D J Bossino: Which company is employing clerks at the GHA?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, the GHA has contracted specialist skills support through a local firm. This is specific and temporary. The GHA is not subcontracting clerks.

Hon. D J Bossino: Can I drill down a bit further on the answer? He mentioned a specific firm. I said which company. Is it a limited company? And if so, can he provide details of the name of the limited company?

1865

1860

1855

1825

1830

1835

1840

1845

Hon. A J Isola: Yes, Mr Speaker, it is MBS, which is a limited company.

Hon. D J Bossino: I have done research on a company called Micro Business Systems Ltd. Is it that one? Is MBS the trading name? Could I have the name of the company?

Hon. A J Isola: I assume; I do not know. I have been given the name MBS. I assume it is one and the same – I *assume*.

Hon. D J Bossino: Maybe if I write to him, he can confirm the position.

Why is this arrangement being entered into? He referred to a specialisation, I think, but the information that has come to me is that it is not a specialised service which they are providing. Basically, there are young individuals who are acting as clerks specifically – and I put it to him so I can give him an opportunity to answer – in the children PCC. Can he comment in relation to that? I have some further information which suggests that one of them was then assumed as part of the employment core of the GHA – started off with this company and then employed by the GHA.

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, if somebody applies for a position – and I do not know this to be the case – and is working for someone else and then is successful in the position and moves over ... I do not know, but that would be a simple and logical explanation.

My information is that the people providing the support are doing things like scanning of medical records. There is a huge amount that requires to be scanned and that is why MBS – which is a technical company, I guess – is providing that support. That is my information.

Hon. D J Bossino: So I imagine it is a bespoke service that they are providing. Can he provide further information as to ...? I assume there is some sort of contractual arrangement between the GHA and MBS. Can he provide details in relation to that – how long for, what the cost to the GA is, how many individuals are being employed to do the scanning jobs and for how long?

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I said in my answer that it was specific and temporary. I do not have any more details on that, but I will be happy to find out and write to the hon. Member to inform him of those details.

Hon. D J Bossino: I did not quite catch the last bit, Mr Speaker.

Mr Speaker: He will be writing to you with the details.

Hon. A J Isola: I do not have [Inaudible] there is a contract in place for what period. I will write to him and give him those details.

Hon. D J Bossino: What is the rationale behind this? Is it because – I think I offered it to him in one of my supplementaries – that expertise is not available? Scanning documents does not seem to be a particularly arduous task – or is it that the complement of individuals they have there are busy doing other things? What is the thinking behind employing the services of this company?

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, the GHA does not have people who have free time on their hands to scan medical records, and obviously, rather than employ people and then have to keep them on after their job is finished, it makes much more sense to bring people in for a specific purpose for a short period of time under a contract and then move on.

1910

1905

1875

1880

1885

1890

1895

1900

Q587/2022 GHA vacant posts – Number filled

1915 **Clerk:** Question 587/2022. The Hon. the Leader of the Opposition.

1925

1930

1940

1950

1955

- **Hon. K Azopardi:** Mr Speaker, how many of the 54 vacant posts in the Gibraltar Health Authority arising from the answer to Q293/2022 had been filled at 13th December 2022?
- 1920 **Clerk:** Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, I am informed by the GHA that further to Q293/2022, nine of these vacant posts had been filled. Additionally, a further nine posts have been advertised and 36 posts remain vacant with a view to progressing these in January 2023.

- Hon. K Azopardi: I am grateful to the Minister for giving me that answer. He gave me a long list, a helpful list because he did not have it last time when I asked him that question broken down by speciality. I am not going to go through all of those, because of course they are quite detailed. I just wonder how much he has got in front of him. For example, one of the pending vacancies on the list that he gave me in the letter in November was a diabetes nurse specialist, and I understand that ... Obviously, all of those posts are important functions, but in particular there is quite a need for that. Is that one of the posts that has been filled now?
- 1935 **Hon. A J Isola:** Mr Speaker, I am delighted to say yes, one vacancy has been filled and two vacancies have interviews scheduled for 10th January 2023.
 - **Hon. K Azopardi:** Do I take it from that answer that the GHA intends to have three trained diabetes nurses in post by January 2023?
 - **Hon. A J Isola:** I am talking about within the Department. I am not sure if they are all diabetes nurse specialists. The diabetes nurse specialist vacancy has been filled and there are two others being interviewed on 10th January 2023.
- Hon. K Azopardi: I see, but does he have further information on that? On the list of 54 I could only see one diabetes nurse specialist. It was not obvious to me that anybody else fell into that category although there might be, obviously. Does he have any information that would expand on that answer? And does he have any other information in relation to the filled posts that would help me also understand what exactly is being filled right now?
 - **Hon. A J Isola:** Mr Speaker, if the hon. Member wants me to write to him with which of them have been filled, I will happily do that.
 - In respect of the diabetes nurse specialist, that position has been filled, but there are two others, which may have been called something else within that Department, that are being interviewed on 10th January. I think that is the answer.
 - **Hon. K Azopardi:** And do I take it from the original answer he gave me that the plan would be that all these vacancies would have been filled by January 2023?
- 1960 **Hon. A J Isola:** No, Mr Speaker. The GHA, by the very nature of its size, with over 100 employees, will always have vacancies. People move in and people move out. The vacancies that the GHA believe are necessary for them to fill will be the first ones they move in on, as they

have done since we spoke last, when 18 of those have been ... not removed, because nine have been filled and nine are pending interviews, but the balance of those will continue to be recruited in the order of priority the GHA has set for them. So they will get on with that in January. Will they finish them all, the 36 pending, by January? I do not know, but progress will begin in January on those pending ones.

Hon. K Azopardi: Yes, that is what I was asking. I was not making the point that there will not be vacancies in January. I appreciate that with an entity as large as the GHA new vacancies will arise. I was just asking the question whether by January they are expecting to fill the vacancies or they are putting the motion in place by January for the rest of the 36 posts but do not expect them to be filled until later. That is really what I was asking.

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I think if one reflects in the context of what we are talking about – we are talking about a GHA with over 1,100 people employed – to have 36 vacancies at any one time is actually a very small percentage and one that most HR people in respective businesses would think is quite a low number of vacancies or positions open.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q588/2022 COVID self-isolation periods – Review

Clerk: Question 588/2022. The Hon. the Leader of the Opposition.

Hon. K Azopardi: Mr Speaker, does the Government intend to review the current COVID self-isolation periods?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, the next Strategic Contingency Group meeting will take place on 31st January 2023, where a review of the current COVID self-isolation periods will be undertaken.

Hon. K Azopardi: We will await, obviously, that review, but can I ask him, in advance of that review ...? There have been changes – for example in England, which now has quite distinct ... there are different periods now, clearly. That review took place months and months ago. What was the advice that was being received by the Government in relation to the factors in Gibraltar that withheld that review until late January next year?

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I am not familiar with the exact details of what those may or may not be, but the hon. Member may be aware that, for example, we have reintroduced masks to be worn within the Hospital. I think the situation, especially now, with the traditional winter, with the blend of influenza, the traditional influenza, with COVID and with other elements which we will discuss shortly ... there is reason to be a little bit cautious, and so I think the timing of this Strategic Contingency Group, on which I do not sit ... will consider all of the advice of the professionals and come back with their recommendations to Government as to what they think should be implemented. I do not want to pre-empt what those discussions may be by opining that we should or we should not. I think it is very much up to them and for us to be driven by what they advise us to do.

1985

1990

1995

1965

1970

1975

1980

2000

Q589-90/2022

Strep A -

Number of cases diagnosed;

measures to increase awareness and actions when cases arise

Clerk: Question 589/2022. The Hon. the Leader of the Opposition.

Hon. K Azopardi: Mr Speaker, how many strep A cases have been diagnosed in Gibraltar by Gibraltar Health Authority personnel at 13th December 2022?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

2015 Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, I will answer this question together with Question 590.

Clerk: Question 590/2022. The Hon. the Leader of the Opposition.

Hon. K Azopardi: Mr Speaker, what measures are the GHA taking to make the risks and symptoms of strep A better known in the community and what is it doing to deal with any cases that arise?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, as at 13th December 2022 the Gibraltar Health Authority has diagnosed 55 cases of strep A.

All strep A information has been made public by the Director of Public Health in relation to the signs and symptoms of streptococcal infection, including advice to parents. Information has also been sent to head teachers of schools, so that they are also aware of the signs and symptoms and exclusion periods required from school. The Care Agency have been briefed by the Director of Public Health, so that those caring for children in care are aware of the signs and symptoms also. The Director of Public Health has been working closely with many Departments across the GHA to ensure that all staff are aware of the signs and symptoms of group A streptococcal infection. This includes staff working in A&E, 111, the Primary Care Centre and Paediatrics.

Hon. K Azopardi: Mr Speaker, I am sure the hon. Member has seen there has been quite a lot of discussion in the UK about strep A, and some cases are mild but there have been a minority of very serious cases and, indeed, fatalities. I am sure he will join me in wishing that we ensure that information is disseminated in the community on the issue and that we do the utmost possible to make sure that all these cases are diagnosed and kept under control as much as possible.

Can I ask him, does he have information about the age groups of the 55 cases? Does he have that information? Can he say whether, in addition to the steps they are taking, perhaps a campaign of public awareness on the symptoms would be useful?

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I think it is important to recognise that strep A is a common bacterial infection. Its impact on children is more regular because of the mixing that the natural children's behaviour will lead to, social mixing, and also because of the climate – we are in the winter. But I think, again to put it into perspective, there are zero cases in Gibraltar of invasive group A streptococcal, which is the infection that is so dangerous, and a key part of that, as he will know from what he has seen in the United Kingdom, is early intervention, looking at this and catching it early, which is why I mentioned earlier that the Director of Public Health has taken the steps she has to engage directly with all the different agencies, including the Department of Education and the Care Agency, that deal with children, to ensure that we can spot this early. In addition to that,

2045

2050

2040

2010

2020

2025

2030

press releases have already been issued informing the public and raising awareness within the public of what those symptoms are, so that parents also can be aware of what these are, to make sure we spot it early and ensure that we carry on to enjoy the good record of zero cases of invasive strep.

I think we are doing well. I do not have any of the details of the ages, but most of them, I think, are younger. From January to August, so eight months of this year, there were 31 cases, and from September to December, a far shorter period, there have been 24, so the propensity in the cold of winter is far higher than it is in the rest of the period. I hope that helps.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q591/2022

Emergency response – Measures under consideration re Coroner's recommendations

Clerk: Question 591/2022. The Hon. the Leader of the Opposition.

Hon. K Azopardi: Mr Speaker, what measures are being considered by the GHA in response to the recommendations made by the Coroner in relation to the recent case of an emergency response in a case where a 36-year-old man died?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, as confirmed by the Director General in his interview with GBC last Friday, I can confirm that further to the Coroner's recommendations, these have been welcomed and will be adopted by the GHA. The Director General is currently considering the steps required to give effect to these recommendations.

Hon. K Azopardi: Mr Speaker, I am sure the hon. Member will agree that this was a serious case. Some of the facts that emerged in the Coroner's inquiry were that the first responders sent were not trained to fully assist the patient in cardiac arrest, the second ambulance was dispatched and sent to the wrong address, and then, by the time paramedics attended, 22 minutes after the collapse, the person had suffered irreversible brain damage. I am sure everyone in this House joins me in saying that this is an incident we would not want to be repeated and we would want the recommendations of the Coroner to take effect as much as possible. With the seriousness of the case in mind, has the Minister spoken to the CEO about the importance of the immediacy of any recommendations being implemented?

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, as I mentioned in my answer, the work the GHA will do and the strategy they are preparing will go beyond the recommendations of the Coroner.

I think ever since this incident happened, the GHA has been working very closely with the Gibraltar Fire and Rescue Service to make improvements immediately, but of course the improvements are more fundamental than at first blush. It will require a number of steps to be taken to get to where we want to get. Hence my mention of the strategy being designed by the Director General, because we are going to be working in a slightly different way moving forward with GFRS, with the GHA, with those answering the phones and those responding, as well as the use of technology in how these are recorded, to ensure that there is a more efficient system of communicating with ambulances to avoid, precisely, wrong addresses being given. So there are a significant number of steps that require to be taken, which the GHA is working with the GFRS and

2070

2065

2055

2060

2075

2080

2085

2095

the Police to put in place, which will address all of the Coroner's recommendations and go beyond 2100 them as well.

At this moment in time I do not want to go any further than that in terms of what those details could be, but I would be very happy to share them with the hon. Member as and when we are in a position to firm these up in very early January.

2105

2110

Mr Speaker: The Hon. Marlene Hassan Nahon.

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Thank you, Mr Speaker.

I would just like to pick up a point that the Hon. Minister just made, that obviously they are talking and working with the GFRS, because clearly the GFRS is the agency that takes the calls initially, from what I understand. My question is: is it on the cards that they might extract this extra layer of GFRS? From what I understand, this extra layer can tend to cause inefficiency, so if we did not have this extra layer, would we be more efficient and more robust as an emergency service?

2115

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, the system we have in place has been operating well for many years. I do not think anybody should draw any conclusions from what the hon. Lady has said, that anybody has or has not done a good enough job or has not been doing what they are meant to have done. What I am talking about is accepting the recommendations of the Coroner and going beyond those to revisit everything in how we manage, from the call to the collection of that patient in an ambulance and delivery to hospital, to ensure that we get a far better service than we have had in the past with the use of working close together, using technology and getting everybody around the table to find what is the best possible service for Gibraltar. I do not want to go into what the details of that will or will not look like, for the reasons I have already explained to the Hon. the Leader of the Opposition, but as and when we are ready I certainly will.

2125

2120

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Mr Speaker, if I could just clarify for the hon. Gentleman, I never said that people were not doing their job or delivering a good service; I just know that it is an ongoing debate that this extra layer can add to the time lapse and inefficiencies. I just wanted an update, because I have asked in the House before as to whether it is a live consideration. I am not suggesting that anybody is doing a bad job. On the contrary, I think these are the restrictions that we have, despite everybody doing a good job.

2135

2130

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, we will adhere closely to the advice of the professionals in coming to the best conclusions we believe are appropriate for looking after this very important facility in Gibraltar.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q592/2022 Digital services -Money spent in each year from 2017 to 2022

Clerk: Question 592/2022. The Hon. the Leader of the Opposition.

2140

Hon. K Azopardi: Mr Speaker, how much money has been spent on (1) hardware, (2) software, (3) personnel, (4) equipment, (5) contracted services and (6) consultants in connection with the computer or information technology infrastructure of the Government or its digital systems or e-Government services over each of the financial years 2017-18, 2018-19, 2019-21 and 2021-22, broken down by financial year and by each of the six individual items in the question?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

2145

2150

2155

2160

2165

2170

2180

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, it is not possible to answer the question to the level of detail requested by the hon. Member in the time available. I will revert to him with an answer as soon as the information can be compiled and he has clarified the heads of expenditure requested in order to provide a full answer, because of the overlap it causes in terms of the six items he has detailed. The work requires the Department to go through each and every single head over that period of time, and to have a clear understanding of the heads requested I would require to speak to the hon. Member to get clarification.

Hon. K Azopardi: Mr Speaker, I would be happy to give that clarification. I do not understand what I need to clarify but I will speak to the hon. Member and do that, and perhaps he can indicate to me, once we have that conversation behind the Speaker's Chair – I suppose in the tea break – how long he needs to do that process. Not long, he says. Okay.

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I think if you look at contracted services and consultants, a consultant is a contracted service, so there is an overlap. If you look at equipment, you can have hardware equipment, so there is an overlap. Bearing in mind we need to trawl back and find out into which of those heads each item of expenditure may drop, if that is not clear then we have not got a chance.

Chief Minister (Hon. F R Picardo): Mr Speaker, I am conscious that you have been in the Chair without moving for three and a quarter hours, and I wonder whether this might be a convenient moment to take the tea break that the Hon. the Leader of the Opposition has just referred to, for 15 minutes until 6.30.

Mr Speaker: The House will now recess to 6.30.

The House recessed at 6.15 p.m. and resumed at 6.32 p.m.

Q593-95/2022

AquaGib -

Plans re staffing levels; permanent solution re supply; maintenance of reserves following Power's Drive tunnel fire

2175 **Clerk:** We continue with Answers to Questions. Question 593/2022. The Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon.

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: What plans does Government have for staffing levels in AquaGib once it renationalises water supplies locally in the form of taking the company into public ownership next year?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola):

Mr Speaker, I will answer this question together with Questions 594 and 595.

Clerk: Question 594/2022. The Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon.

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: When will a permanent solution to the water supply be completed?

Clerk: Question 595/2022. The Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon.

2200

2205

2210

2215

2220

2225

2230

2235

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Has Government carried out an investigation into the maintenance of water reserves following the Power's Drive tunnel fire?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, Government has no plans to change anything at AquaGib at this time and will review all operational matters with management as and when it completes its purchase.

The Power's Drive tunnel has now been made safe for the permanent pipework to be installed. This will commence in January 2023 and be completed by March 2023.

AquaGib is preparing a strategic proposal to the board for its quarter 1 board meeting, detailing its plans for the long-term future of its water reserves. The water reserves as at the day of the question stood at the maximum level of 72 million litres, which demonstrates a significant improvement in the position since last summer's incident, when they fell to 12.7 million litres.

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Mr Speaker, if I can just pick up some supplementaries – they are all over, because of the three questions. Firstly, why did the Government decide to renationalise in the first place, even before it could place a figure on the cost of renationalisation?

Chief Minister (Hon. F R Picardo): Mr Speaker, for the reasons I set out in detail in my Budget speech. The issue of price is a calculation, it is not a negotiation issue. I also set out some parameters of that in respect of my address at the time of the Appropriation Bill.

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Is the Chief Minister saying that these figures have been laid out already and I may have missed them, or that it is not possible to divulge them?

Hon. Chief Minister: No, Mr Speaker, that it is an accounts issue. It is a calculation based on accounts. The hon. Lady has to go back and look at what I said.

The contract with AquaGib has come to an end. It was a 30-year contract and at the end of that contract the structure of the contract was such that the assets returned to the Government. There is a calculation to be done as to how much has been invested or has not been invested by AquaGib in keeping with the terms of the contract and based on the accounts of the company. So it is not a straightforward negotiation like a horse trade — what is this worth or what is that not worth — it is that all of the assets come back to the Government as a result of the operation of the contract from 30 years ago. In fact, it was extended for a short period, I think, because of COVID. We made the decision to not further extend, to take back the assets and to therefore buy those shares which are in the company — the assets are separate from the company — and the price is based on any investment that has been made over and above the assets that are available. This is set out in the contract. It is a calculation that has to be done between the parties, not so much a horse-trading negotiation.

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Mr Speaker, I thank the Chief Minister for his explanation.

Can I just ask the Hon. Minister if he actually answered the question about an investigation into the maintenance? I know he said they are at top level now, but has there been an investigation to date, or will there be one?

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I am not sure what the hon. Lady means by an investigation. What I have said in my answer is that the works in relation to the tunnel are now safe to be able to start, as a result of investigations we have made into the tunnels, in January. It will be finished by March. I have also said that AquaGib is preparing a strategic proposal to the board for its first meeting in Q1 of next year, detailing its plans for the long-term future of the strategic reserves of water in Gibraltar. The question is about investigation into the maintenance of water reserves. (Interjection by Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon) Yes, but I think I have answered that question. If it is something that I have not, I would be happy to have another go. Can I just add, are you referring to lessons learnt?

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Yes.

Hon. A J Isola: Okay. That will happen, but that will happen when we have dealt with all of the issues arising from the incident itself. There are claims with insurers and stuff which would get in the way of having a full and frank lessons-learnt exercise carried out, which will happen later down the road when that is completed.

Mr Speaker: The Hon. Roy Clinton.

Hon. R M Clinton: Thank you, Mr Speaker.

Can I ask the Minister ...? He mentioned the figure of 12.7 million litres. I presume that was just before the fire. I am happy to be corrected.

Hon. A J Isola: Twelve point seven is the lowest it reached.

Hon. R M Clinton: Right. That would have been after the fire, yes?

Hon. A J Isola: Yes.

Hon. R M Clinton: And does he know what the level was before the fire?

Hon. A J Isola: I think we have answered that question before in Parliament, Mr Speaker. I think it was around 24 million or 25 million litres.

Hon. R M Clinton: I am grateful to the Minister. And is there, or was there a recommended minimum level of reserves for AquaGib to maintain at all times?

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I think we have been through this before because I have actually detailed to this House that in previous years over the summer we have watched very carefully the level of water reserves to ensure that we had sufficient capacity. This year we were on track, as we had been in previous years, to have no issues at all during the summer. Obviously the knockout of almost the entire production of water during that time is what caused the problem with insufficient water to lose pressure, which is what caused the problem.

Mr Speaker, only this week it was on the news, yesterday evening, that ... I think Tunbridge Wells is basically having seven days without any water at all. So I think this thinking that we should never, ever have a difficulty with water is a desire but in the real world it is not always possible, and although we have to work to attain that by ensuring we have better reserves and perhaps more diversified production of water, which is where the January Q1 board meeting of 2023 will

2285

2250

2255

2265

2270

2275

go, I think we will be in a much more resilient position. But perfection, unfortunately, does not exist, so even in the UK this week there are areas that have zero water, and in fact the complaint was that they were not even providing the community with bottled water, which obviously we did very successfully and I am grateful to AquaGib and all the other teams that managed to do that during our difficulties in the summer.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

2290

2300

2305

2310

2315

2320

2325

Q596/2022 Ocean Views – Structured activities

2295 Clerk: Question 596/2022. The Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon.

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: What programme of structured activities is in place for patients at Ocean Views?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, there is a much varied programme of structured activities for patients at Ocean Views. These services aim to improve and decrease reliance on medication and substitute with exercise, mindfulness, yoga and patient-specific activities designed to meet assessed needs. These activities vary from time to time based on the needs of the users.

A wider range of other social activities include bowling, swimming and attending GFA football matches; social gatherings for morning coffee and lunch at restaurants, where the patients are able to order their food and manage their expenditure, which is part of their rehabilitation; arts and crafts; cooking, working towards being self-sufficient when discharged; cinema outings and attending our community social events, for example the Christmas lights, Calentita Night, the fair, National Day events, etc.

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Mr Speaker, I think the Minister has described a reality that the user certainly has not experienced. I even suspect that the Minister himself may actually think that this has taken place, but I can assure him it has not because a user has reported, unfortunately, one activity, of all the ones he mentions, in two months. So my question is, what has happened with the activities co-ordinator the Authority was supposed to hire to be in charge of organising these activities? I can assure him they are not taking place and I am sure I do not have to tell him how crucial these activities are for the sake of these patients' mental health.

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, that particular user has had one activity within the last two months, which is what the hon. Lady is being told. That does not mean that nobody else has had any other activities which they may have chosen to do. If the hon. Lady chooses to write to me and give me details of that, I will very happily look into it.

Some of the activities I describe are only possible at certain times. For example, there are not GFA football matches every day, we do not have the Christmas lights every day, we do not have the Calentita Night every day, we do not have National Day events every day, but they occur at different times of the year. They are available as and when they occur, but obviously not every day.

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Mr Speaker, I have to say the Minister is always very helpful to me for my constituents whenever I write, and I am grateful for that.

This information came to me very recently and I just want to ask him ... I appreciate what he has come back with, but if you take this average user who has been there for two months and that user has experienced one event, one activity, it is pretty poor. I want to ask the Minister again for the answer to a question that he has not answered, which is are we getting an activities coordinator? I think that is key in order for the delivery of these activities to actually take place.

2340 Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, it is very difficult to conclude from one user what happens at Ocean Views. We do not know what the circumstances are of that user, we do not know what activities that user can or cannot do, we do not know if there are any restrictions in respect of that user, so I do not think it would be helpful to label the entirety of Ocean Views with not providing activities at all because one user has had a particular experience in the last two months.

I would repeat what I said to the hon. Lady. If the hon. Lady writes to me with specifics, I will very happily look into it and come back to her with either an explanation as to what has happened or could have happened, or other information that may be of use to my hon. Friend in respect of her dealings with her constituent.

2350 Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Thank you, Mr Speaker, and I will write, but can I please ask the Minister, for the third time, about the co-ordinator for activities?

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I have answered the question. I am not sure what co-ordinator the hon. Lady is referring to.

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Mr Speaker, I am sorry if this does not sound like a question, but I accept his comment and I will write to him in due course. Thank you.

Q597/2022 Vaccination programme -By whom delivered this season

Clerk: Question 597/2022. The Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon.

2360 Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Is this season's vaccination programme carried out directly by the GHA or has it been outsourced?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

2365 Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, the GHA practice nurses from the Primary Care Centre have delivered this season's immunisation programme for children, the clinically vulnerable, long-stay GHA and ERS patients, as well as healthcare workers. To assist in the delivery of the major vaccination programme in the short window of time available, for healthy individuals over 50 years of age the GHA has sought temporary support from a local service provider. 2370

The GHA will next year seek to commence the vaccination programme at an earlier date, as the combination of cold and influenza vaccinations has delayed the process considerably - and COVID, obviously.

57

2335

2345

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Mr Speaker, can I ask the Minister whether there was a tender process for the outsourcing of the vaccination drive this season; and also, if there is a cost, if he can give us how much it has cost us to do so?

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I will happily give that information to the hon. Lady. I do not have information as to whether it was put out to tender. I know the firm that was used is one that assisted us with the COVID vaccinations, the same firm that we contracted after a tender to do those vaccinations, and I think it is the same firm. I am thinking it ceases on 22nd December, which is tomorrow. I would need to come back to her in terms of what the cost of that has been. I will happily write to her and give her that information.

Q598-99/2022

Primary Care Centre appointments – Number of new appointments and whether being filled

Clerk: Question 598/2022. The Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon.

2385

2375

2380

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: How many new monthly appointments are being rolled out at the PCC and are they being filled?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

2390

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, I will answer this question together with Question 599.

Clerk: Question 599/2022. The Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon.

2395

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: In the last three months, stating month by month, how many PCC appointments have been obtained (1) by phone, (2) online or (3) in person?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

2400

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, as was stated in the GHA press release announcing the new booking system, 2,123 new monthly appointments are being rolled out at the PCC. All appointments offered are normally taken up.

2405

In the last three months, the number of PCC appointments obtained can be broken down as follows. Online, in September there were 644, in October there were 944 and in November there were 1,604. In respect of telephone, in September, 8,874; October, 8,906; and November, 9,270. The GHA does not offer booking GP appointments in person. However, if a patient does turn up needing urgent medical care, the GHA would accommodate their healthcare needs.

2410

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Mr Speaker, just to pick up on the last thing the Minister said about emergency needs, now that there is not an on-call GP doctor, what actually happens when somebody has to be seen outside of hours – palliative patients who need medication, elderly people who do not need hospital, people who need to be sectioned in mental health, and deaths? How is the lack of GPs on call impacting on the appointment system and on the general calling system for patients?

2415

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I do not have that information available with me, but what I would say is the suggestion that we have fewer GPs is plainly wrong. I think we have now 33 GPs

providing appointments at the Primary Care Centre. In 2011 I think there were close to 40% or maybe even 50% fewer, so the notion that we have fewer GPs now than before is simply not true.

I am very happy to get those numbers and those details and write to her, as well as answer the substance of the question as to how the GHA operates in terms of offering those services outside the primary care appointment system.

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Mr Speaker, can the Minister just confirm ...? Is he saying that there are on-call GPs or, from his new system, there are not – which is what I understood, and that was the concern – as well as to ask him why we still have complaints about users not being able to access appointments? I would like him to confirm if we do or do not have an on-call GP.

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, I believe we do, but I am not able to confirm that now. I would need to check that with the GHA.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q600/2022 Rheumatology and orthopaedics waiting lists – Current status

Clerk: Question 600/2022. The Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon.

2435

2420

2430

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: What are the current waiting lists at the GHA for (1) rheumatology, clinics and operations; and (2) orthopaedics, clinics and operations?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Health, Digital and Financial Services.

2440

Minister for Digital, Financial Services, Health Authority and Public Utilities (Hon. A J Isola): Mr Speaker, as at the end of November 2022 the waiting lists were as follows. Rheumatology: clinics, 103; operations, zero. Orthopaedics: clinics, 926; operations, 473.

2445

Hon. Ms M D Hassan Nahon: Mr Speaker, it is my understanding that only a week ago, a patient came into the Hospital with a broken bone, needing theatre, and was delayed for two days because of the lack of orthopaedic surgeons. I understand a surgeon could not even be located at the time. I know that this is under investigation. I am not actually blaming the GHA, but I would ask the Minister if he thinks we could actually reduce waiting times by making sure that we had a better rota for the orthopaedic surgeons.

2450

I am genuinely trying to be constructive here with my question. Is the Minister aware of this situation? Does he think that perhaps orthopaedic surgeons who have a contract with the Hospital should be working a full day? From what I understand, a lot of the time they cannot be found, and that is quite a concern for patients and for the users and is something that leads to complications and ends up costing the Health Service a lot more.

2455

Hon. A J Isola: Mr Speaker, the waiting list is too long. I accept that and I think we have had an exchange with the Leader of the Opposition, on behalf of the Hon. Mr Phillips when he was not here on the previous question, in the last couple of months. I also told the Hon. Leader of the Opposition at the time that we were hoping in the first quarter of next year to have reached some form of conclusion as to how we propose to tackle waiting lists, not just in orthopaedics but across all other disciplines in the Hospital.

Plainly – again, putting it into context – there is not a single hospital in the world, I do not believe, that has not got waiting lists longer than they would like, because we would not like to have any, but as a result of COVID the waiting lists are much higher than they would normally have been before COVID. So there is a lot of work to be done, and that is what we are doing now, seeking to understand what is the problem and how we can improve going forward, but dealing with waiting lists almost like a separate ... In other words, how do we come up to date and then how do we work better moving forward? Those are the two exercises that are ongoing at the moment, and I hope to be able to report to this House in Q1 of next year on how we propose to start to do that.

I accept that the waiting lists are too long. I am not aware of the incident the hon. Lady has referred to, but again, if she writes to me, I would be happy to look into it and come back to her with a response.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

ENVIRONMENT, SUSTAINABILITY, CLIMATE CHANGE AND EDUCATION

Q601/2022 Learning support assistants -**Number and distribution**

Clerk: Question 601/2022. The Hon. E J Reyes.

Hon. E J Reyes: Mr Speaker, can Government provide details of how many learning support assistants are engaged in all schools, providing statistics showing their distribution among schools together with details of criteria used for allocating these learning support assistants in each school?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. Minister for the Environment and Education.

2485

2490

2480

2465

2470

2475

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, the number of special needs learning support assistants broken down by schools on the morning of 15th December was as follows. I will be giving two numbers for each school. The first will be the number of permanent SNSLAs and the second will be the number of non-permanent SNSLAs as of that morning: St Martin's School, 22 and 64; Notre Dame, 14 and 8; Governors Meadow, 15 and 11; St Paul's, 5 and 11; Governor's Meadow, 5 and 11; St Paul's, 4 and 3; St Bernard's Lower Primary, 3 and 3; St Mary's Lower Primary, 2 and zero; St Joseph's Lower Primary, 5 and 4; Hebrew Primary School, 1 and 3; St Anne's Upper Primary, 8 and 24; Bishop Fitzgerald Upper Primary, 10 and 14; St Bernard's Upper Primary, 4 and 6; St Joseph's Upper Primary, 1 and 7; Bayside 14 and 9; Westside, 6 and 16; Gibraltar College, 3 and 2.

2495

SNLSAs are deployed to support identified pupil needs. Allocation of SNLSAs is determined by the multi-agency gazetted Special Education Needs Assessment Panel.

2500

Hon. E J Reyes: Mr Speaker, I am grateful that the Minister has ended by saying that the criterion is that the special needs LSAs are deployed when they have identified the need.

So I am certain I am not confused, is there a difference between special needs learning support assistants and just learning support assistants? Are they two different categories of people, or are they all one? If he answers that first, then I can carry on with something else.

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, they are one. The learning support assistants are engaged when there is a special need. The special need can range over a wide spectrum of needs, but they are all needs. So it is just one category that we are talking about.

Hon. E J Reyes: I am grateful for that clarification.

Mr Speaker, I am told by some members of the teaching profession that they had been led to believe that there were going to be special needs LSAs to assist in cases where a concern may have been raised by a teacher and the student may be going through the process of being identified and classified if there is a particular special need and whether they will need a full-time special needs LSA or not. Wishful thinking would be one learning support assistant per class at least, but they have been led to believe there will be one floating support assistant per year in each of the schools, so that the head teacher could, as and when activity arose, deploy the teacher. Can the Minister confirm that that is not the case and that there is not a pool that reports to the head teacher and can then be assigned for a particular class or activity on a particular day? Or is it just identified pupils who will receive that? And what happens in the process whilst they are being identified and classified as requiring a special needs assistant?

2520

2505

2510

2515

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, a couple of things here. Clearly, the head teacher has discretion on a short-term basis to redeploy the SNLSAs within the school, should they have to cover a particular need on a particular day. That is definite.

2525

I would love to have at least one, if not more, learning support assistants in every class, but that would simply not be affordable. However, what is important is that those children who are identified as having these needs do have the support. The process may or may not be long. It is certainly a process by which, if a child is found to have a situation which urgently needs addressing – for example, may develop a series of meltdowns on a particular week – then clearly that support would be provided quickly and then the special needs panel would assess that retrospectively. We would not wait for the next meeting. They are monthly meetings, but we would not wait for the next meeting if we have a particular crisis. That would be done on the day and the special needs panel would then see whether that support is one that has to continue or not. The main thing here is the need of the child.

2535

2530

Hon. E J Reyes: Mr Speaker, in the statistics that the Minister kindly provided, he put it into two columns. He was identifying those who are permanent and those who are temporary. Is there an explanation? Is there a reason why there are two different categories?

2540

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Yes, Mr Speaker. The ones in the first column are those who were – I will say why I say 'were' – on the morning of 15th December within the permanent complement, and the second column is those who are non-permanent or are called in on a supply basis. Often it is long-term supply, particularly for children who need the continuity. Within a day or two of the figures being given to me, the increase in complement of permanent SNLSAs which was agreed at the Estimates session earlier this year was increased by, I believe, 120. So if I were to give him the figures now, there would be a shift from the right-hand column to the left-hand column because there are more permanent, and fewer on a supply basis.

2545

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q602/2022

Wellbeing Support Team – Number of teachers accessing services

Clerk: Question 602/2022. The Hon. E J Reyes.

2550

Hon. E J Reyes: Can Government provide a breakdown for the last three academic years showing the number of teachers who have accessed the services provided by the Wellbeing Support Team, indicating the school sector in which these teachers are or were employed?

2555

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, the breakdown of those teachers who have accessed the services provided by the Wellbeing Support Team is as follows: 2019-20, eight in secondary, seven in primary; 2020-21, 30 in secondary and seven in primary; 2021-22, 31 in secondary and nine in primary.

2560

Hon. E J Reyes: And the Minister, Mr Speaker, would not happen to have any ...? The secondary I can understand. When he says primary, we often can subdivide that into lower primary and upper primary, because they can be totally different schools in totally different locations with a different type of children undergoing a different key stage in education. If he happens to have it, I would be grateful.

2565

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, I do not have that information. We are talking about small numbers, so it is not going to be significantly different. I can ask for that information, but I do not have it here.

2570

2575

Hon. E J Reyes: I know the Minister quite often has certain information. I see that the numbers tend to be very similar from one year to the next, except for a huge jump in the secondary sector, where in the academic year 2019-20 we had eight who accessed the services, and then in the year 2020-21 there was a big jump to 30, and in the year 2021-22 the number stays about the same, 31. Has the Department of Education identified any particular reason why there should be that big jump? I know it is sheer speculation, but does it coincide with the movement of the secondary schools to new buildings, or something? One never knows what hypothesis you can come up with.

2580

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, I obviously do not have access and do not want to have access to the specific reasons why these teachers have sought this support, but our thoughts are that it is related to lockdown and COVID. We have seen similar increases in the number of pupils who access the counselling services. Without being able to give detailed information because I am not privy to the reasons why, I suspect that the aftermath of the pandemic may have something to do with it, but it is very hard to pin down. Hopefully, the numbers will decrease in years to come.

2590

2585

Hon. E J Reyes: I am grateful for that, Mr Speaker. If it helps the Minister, because he has been useful with his answers, I purposely worded my question by asking the number of teachers indicating the school sector. I did not want to ask, on this occasion, per school, because in secondary school ... There are a certain number of students in the College but they are included in Year 12 or 13. If in his analysis he has some information without necessarily having to identify the school, but within the school sector or whatever, even if it means he has to give me some information behind the Speaker's Chair, I would be grateful, just to help to identify the figure. I am sure Mr Speaker would not object if we got some information behind the Chair, if it is sensitive.

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, delighted. This is something that I am very happy to share. Clearly, there is concern at the numbers, which the school is looking at addressing and obviously the Wellbeing Support Team is supporting.

As the Clerk knows, whenever I am asked questions I usually copy him into any correspondence so that there is a record that I have provided the additional information. I do not mind being reminded, Mr Speaker, if the hon. Member does not receive it soon; in fact, I appreciate the reminder.

2605 **Mr Speaker:** Next question.

2600

2610

2625

2630

2635

Q603/2022 Alternative learning programmes – Number of pupils accessing in mainstream schools

Clerk: Question 603/2022. The Hon. E J Reyes.

Hon. E J Reyes: Can Government provide statistics showing the number of students ordinarily enrolled in our mainstream schools for whom alternative learning programmes have been provided, indicating the reasons why?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, there are 226 pupils in alternative learning programmes. Such programmes are delivered through personalised learning plans, personalised timetables, higher staff-to-pupil ratios, therapeutic or alternative interventions and nurture. These programmes are set up to deal with significant social, emotional and mental health needs, significant communication and interaction needs, significant cognition and learning needs, or significant sensory and physical needs.

Hon. E J Reyes: I did not specifically ask him in my question, but would he happen to have an indication, of those 226, which school sectors they pertain to?

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, again, I am a bit wary of giving all the detailed information here because you could identify the pupils. What I can say from the breakdown that I have available – which I am happy to share behind your Chair, Mr Speaker – is that of these pupils, we are looking at 24 in secondary alternative learning centres, 56 in secondary learning support facilities, and then remember that we have learning support facilities not in all schools but in some of the schools and they average maybe between 30 and 40 in those primary schools which have learning support facilities, which I believe are full. More information I am happy to share, with more detail.

Hon. E J Reyes: I am extremely grateful. Yes, Mr Speaker, I think the Minister has, across the floor, given as much as he can without divulging anything. I certainly look forward to receiving that information behind the Speaker's Chair, which will be kept, as agreed, on a confidential basis between us. I am grateful for that analysis.

Q604/2022

Drama-related studies – Provision of non-traditional courses

Q605/2022

Courses delivered at non-secondary school or College sites – Breakdown of expenditure

Clerk: Question 604/2022. The Hon. E J Reyes.

Hon. E J Reyes: Is the Department of Education planning to offer courses in drama-related studies other than the traditional GCSE or A-Level courses which have been provided in our secondary schools?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

2645

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, I will answer this question together with Question 605.

Clerk: Question 605/2022. The Hon. E J Reyes.

2650

2655

Hon. E J Reyes: Can Government provide a detailed breakdown of all expenditure associated with the delivery of the BTEC-level National Extended Certificate in Music, Technical Certificate in Hairdressing and any other courses being partly of wholly delivered at sites other than our secondary schools or College of Further Education, showing to whom payments are made and in respect of what specific services or other costs?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for Environment and Education.

2660

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, in answer to Question 604, the Department is considering a range of vocational options over a spectrum of subjects.

On the costs incurred, for the Technical Certificate in Hairdressing, payments are made to Mayfair on Main: vocational hair and beauty courses, £114,855.96 in the last year, obviously; supply of equipment and consumables, £6,756.31.

For the BTEC in Music Performance, payments are made to the Gibraltar Academy of Music and Performing Arts: BTEC Music Performance, £30,696; supply of equipment and consumables, £1,687.68; registration fee, £1,750.

Hon. E J Reves: Thank you, Mr Speaker.

2670

In respect of Question 604 I was asking mainly prompted by the explanations we had last month from the Minister on why certain courses like music were not taking place in the schools and so on. This is why I asked. I know the schools, at least since my teaching days, have always been offering drama, but given the popularity among some students perhaps to take on BTEC, and so on, courses in drama ... The Minister, in his answer, said they were looking at a range of vocational courses. Does he classify drama as part of a vocation and are they seriously considering offering drama in alternative places? And if it is, would the schools then no longer offer GCSE and A-level? I am homing in more on drama in this question, because to me it seemed to be the natural transition after music, being one of the performing arts.

2675

2680

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, I know exactly what the hon. Member is referring to and exactly what he is getting at. I might just say that we did take part in a school play in our primary school together quite a few years ago, so our love of drama is clearly there.

We are looking at a range of vocational courses. The alternative to the traditional GCSE or A-level would be vocational. We are looking at the wet trades. We are looking at some of the digital courses, which are less academic and more work related. There is a possibility that there would be other vocational options in the performing arts. These are currently under consideration and discussion.

I held a meeting recently with representatives of the drama and dance departments of both secondary schools, together with the College, and we are looking at broadening the opportunities available to young people, but absolutely without doing away with traditional GCSE and A-level courses. The discussions continue and I am looking forward to being able to diversify options for our young people throughout the whole spectrum of courses that we can make available in our educational institutions.

Hon. E J Reyes: Mr Speaker, I thank the Minister, above all, for his explanation that he has had those meetings with the heads of department of the schools. Because I need to pose a question, will the Minister assure us that he will keep on meeting up with the heads of those departments so that the best range of products within suitably accredited qualifications end up being provided for our students?

And before I sit down, Mr Speaker, for the curiosity of those who may be listening, if I am not mistaken it was Christmas 1968 when the Hon. Minister and I performed that same play, which I believe was perhaps even written by him. We are over the 50-year mark, so we might even be issued with a special medal to commemorate the event.

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Indeed, Mr Speaker, for general information I believe it was Christmas 1968, and I did write that play, absolutely – bringing back old memories of the Christian Brothers Preparatory School.

Of course I will carry on these discussions. Only today, I was in Bayside School watching their Christmas performance and chatting to some of the drama teachers there. There is no question at all, we will progress this in a way that will benefit all three institutions and, clearly, as I said earlier, the young people we are responsible for.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

2685

2690

2695

2700

2705

2710

Q606/2022 Provision of hot meals for school pupils – Update

Clerk: Question 606/2022. The Hon. E J Reyes.

2715 **Hon. E J Reyes:** Can Government update this House in respect of their intentions to provide hot meals for pupils in schools?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, the Government is currently in advanced discussions with a preferred bidder but cannot disclose details at this moment, for commercial reasons. There is no definite start date, but all parties are working for a commencement that is practical and convenient for all stakeholders to ensure students enjoy a quality service. We should hopefully be in a better position to announce something in the New Year.

Hon. E J Reyes: Thank you, Mr Speaker.

2730

2735

2755

2765

2770

It is obviously work in progress, so at some stage we can follow it up. Can I just, at this stage, ask the Hon. Minister: if it is successful and we are able to offer hot meals for pupils in schools, for those students who may wish to use the current type of facilities whereby it does not have to be a hot meal – they take their own meal but still have the facility to be able to stay in school – will that continue, or will it just be a hot meal or you are out?

- **Hon. Prof. J E Cortes:** Yes, absolutely, Mr Speaker, this would be an additional option. They would be getting these meals, but the current facility for children to take their own food, or, in the case of the older students in the secondary sector, often they just go out and maybe get themselves some something in a nearby establishment ... Those options will all be there, absolutely.
- Hon. E J Reyes: Mr Speaker, is it too early at this stage to ask the Minister, would these meals be provided at a reasonable cost to students or will the school provide it because you are an enrolled student and therefore it becomes free of charge?
- Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: No, Mr Speaker, these would be paid for. This is the system we used for about a year before, unfortunately, the entity that provided the meals went into administration, which is one of the reasons why this is taking so long, because there are issues about the equipment and so on and so forth. But the intention would be that the parents would pay for the meal at a cost that we would have to agree is reasonable, and this is also part of the discussions with the preferred entity.

Q607/2022

Governor's Lookout Scout Activity Centre and Campsite – Update re works undertaken and costs incurred

2750 **Clerk:** Question 607/2022. The Hon. E J Reyes.

Hon. E J Reyes: Can Government provide an update of works undertaken and costs incurred so far in this financial year in respect of the approved £50,000 expenditure under the Improvement and Development Fund, head 102 Projects, subhead 4(k) Governor's Lookout Scout Activity Centre and Campsite?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, this is becoming very intimate: we were also in the Scouts together!

The works are under the direction of the Scouts Association, so I do not have that information. No costs have as yet been incurred by the Government.

- Hon. E J Reyes: In accepting that some of the details of the works and so on are under the direction of the management of the Scouts Association, when the Minister says no costs, have they paid like an advancement, or on production of invoices made any payment, or the amount drawn down is still zero but there is an approved £50,000 expenditure which is valid until 31st March? Where are we in that respect?
- **Hon. Prof. J E Cortes:** Mr Speaker, the allocation is in the Book. We have not yet paid any invoices, nor do we have a detailed update of how much work they have carried out in this

financial year. That is where we are at the moment. Things could change within weeks if invoices come in and we are informed of the work that has been carried out, but at the moment no costs have been incurred and I do not have the details of how much work has been done in recent months.

Mr Speaker: Next question, please.

Q608/2022

Gibraltar University courses commencing in February 2023 – Availability of discretionary funding

Clerk: Question 608/2022. The Hon. E J Reyes.

2780 Hon. E J Reyes: Will the Department of Education be accepting and considering applications for discretionary funding towards the recently announced course being offered by the University of Gibraltar with lectures to be delivered in February and March 2023 leading to a Professional Certificate of Competence in Blockchain and Smart Contracts?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, the Department of Education will accept and consider new applications for discretionary scholarship funding when the next scholarship window opens. The window for Government scholarship funding opens on an annual basis in anticipation of the forthcoming academic year. The window typically closes in June with the results of the applications established prior to the start of the next academic year, usually September. The next window for applications for discretionary scholarship funding awards will open in the second quarter of 2023 for the funding of courses in academic year 2023-24. The window for applications for funding for discretionary scholarship funding awards for the academic year 2022-23 closed in June 2022. The results of the applications received were established prior to the start of this academic year, 2022-23.

There is no process currently through which individuals can submit new applications for funding for courses starting in February or March 2023. If individuals have not already secured funding for courses taking place during the academic year 2022-23, they will not be able to access funding at this time.

Hon. E J Reyes: Thank you, Mr Speaker.

The Minister has given us an answer which, for financial accounting reasons, has a lot of logic behind it. Would the Minister please consider perhaps liaising with the University of Gibraltar, because this course has been advertised by the University of Gibraltar after the closing date for applications. I do not think it has been done with a bad taste, but it is misleading information on behalf of the University of Gibraltar to advertise the course by saying it may attract funding under discretionary scholarship. As you say, it cannot attract funding under discretionary scholarship, if we are being really honest, because the next window does not open, as the Minister said, until the second quarter of 2023, and these payments have to be made now because the lectures are delivered in February and March. You cannot even have a student saying, 'I will get a loan for this, and then when the second quarter comes ...' The Department of Education I think, traditionally, have said if you have already done a course, you cannot retrospectively ask for funding.

Would the Minister commit himself to at least trying to liaise better with the University in the wording? Both sides of this House want to be supportive of courses being offered, especially in

67

2775

2785

2790

2795

2805

2800

2810

things that are extremely useful, but we have to think of our constituents who build their hopes up – 'Oh, look, I could do this course,' – but come across a civil servant at the Department of Education who unfortunately has to say, 'No, I cannot take applications until the second quarter, after which will be willing to accept and consider them.'

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, the University, of course, is independent. The conversation on the point he has raised has been had. I dare say that if there were any students who were particularly put out by this condition or this reality, they would make contact and we would have a discussion to see what the steps were. But we have to be very careful that we do not open the floodgates and we are dealing with applications which could come at any time of year. That is just not sustainable and therefore we have to state what the policy is.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q609-10/2022

Dust emissions -

Number of complaints received; actions taken re breaches of regulations

2830 Clerk: Question 609/2022. The Hon. E J Phillips.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, can the Government state how many complaints it or the Environmental Agency has received in respect of dust emissions?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, I will answer this question together with Question 610.

2840 **Clerk:** Question 610/2022. The Hon. E J Phillips.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, can the Government state what action the Government or the Environmental Agency has taken in respect of breach of the Environment (Control of Dust) Regulations 2010 by individuals or companies?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, officers of the Environmental Agency proactively visit and monitor construction activities at all construction sites around Gibraltar, as well as responding to complaints of dust emissions from members of the public.

All companies that are engaged in construction-related activities are required to have a certificate of approval issued under section 5 of the Environment (Control of Dust) Regulations 2010. This follows submission of a dust control plan which must make sufficient provision for the prevention or reduction of dust for all dust-producing activities in which the individual or the company are involved. Officers check that those active in the industry have a certificate of approval issued by the Environmental Agency.

If evidence is found that an individual or a company is not properly implementing their dust-control plan, a prohibition notice can be issued prohibiting the activity – for example, the use of machinery with insufficient dust suppression. The prohibition notice will set out the issues that need to be addressed and the time period in which remedial action is to be taken. Section 11(3)

2845

2850

2835

2820

2825

2855

allows for the Chief Environmental Health Officer to revoke a certificate of approval for non-compliance with a prohibition notice.

The number of complaints received are as follows: 2018, 33; 2019, 54; 2020, 43; 2021, 55; and 2022, 62.

2865

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, I am grateful for the answer by the Minister in respect of the complaints, which was the nature of the question, and I understand that there are proactive attempts by the Agency, and indeed reactive responses by them in relation to complaints that have been made.

2870

He would agree with me, of course, that the complaints that the Agency is receiving and the growing number of complaints – and the trajectory is north, from 2018 with 30-odd up to 2020 with 66, so significant numbers of increases of complaints in relation to dust in our community. I do not know what is driving this; I suspect construction in our community, increased levels of construction and dust that irritates many in our community. I wonder whether he has any data relating to the nature of those complaints and what they generally describe, because it is important to understand the nature of the complaints and how we can mitigate them.

2875

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, I do not have data, but from my own experience in the day to day they are generally construction sites and clearly there is quite a lot of construction activity going on now, for example, in areas which are frequented, where people might find it is a problem – like the schools we are building.

2880

What I can say is that over the last year or two there have been, from my information, 86 warnings issued and 45 prohibition notices, most of which have resulted in compliance, and six successful convictions. We find generally, though, that when they are tackled they do take remedial action. It should not lead to that. It is often as simple as spraying water over the site on a regular basis, but unfortunately sometimes they have to be told. The Agency is very much on top of this, and I obviously encourage them to be so.

2885

Hon. E J Phillips: I am very grateful for the response to that question. Of course, it is a public health consideration, is it not, that construction dust can lead to very serious health consequences for those breathing in that dust?

2890

I just wonder what the Minister's view is in relation to the resourcing of the Agency and particularly those inspectors who have to inspect construction sites for those complaints, because clearly the numbers are very high. That is reflective of construction in our economy and that movement, and I wondered whether or not he can clarify that, insofar as the numbers, he is satisfied with the number of people investigating these complaints.

2895

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Yes, Mr Speaker, I am. I believe that if this was something the Agency was not coping with, I would know, and I have not had any representations.

2900

Hon. E J Phillips: Just one further question, if I may. If the Minister cannot answer, that is fine, we can take it to another session, but insofar as construction more generally, it tends to be on our main thoroughfares as well, and obviously there are health consequences as a result of that. The recent asbestos issue at the old Westside School has raised its head with a number of constituents approaching Members on this side of the House. Does that involve a similar process? I appreciate it is very distinct and there are very distinct laws in relation to the control and management of this particular substance, but does that raise other concerns with the Government? And is the Government concerned about asbestos at the old Westside School site?

2910

2905

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: No, Speaker, it is very strictly handled and managed, so whenever there is any suspicion that there may be asbestos ... Remember that asbestos is inert and harmless unless it is dust in the air and is breathed in. There are specialists who deal with this. There is an

asbestos register. I do not have all the detail here, but it is something that certainly the Agency is very much on top of.

2915

- **Hon. E J Phillips:** Mr Speaker, I think probably to be clear and I am very thankful for the answer is it right, then, to conclude that asbestos has been identified at Westside?
- Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, I do not have that information. I am just responding to the theoretical presence of asbestos anywhere. I can answer a direct question if I am asked it, but I do not have that information. I am not commenting because I honestly do not know whether it has been found there.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q611/2022 Public Health Bill – Payments to Benzaquen Associates

2925

- Clerk: Question 611/2022. The Hon. E J Phillips.
- **Hon. E J Phillips:** Mr Speaker, can the Government state why it has paid over £129,440 to Benzaquen Associates over eight months in 2022 with no Public Health Bill appearing before this House?

2930

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, the draft Bills are going through an extensive consultation process with all stakeholders and will be published in the coming months.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, just one further question: is the purpose of this Bill to consolidate existing legislation, or is this an entirely new panorama for public health in Gibraltar?

2940 \ r

- **Hon. Prof. J E Cortes:** The purpose of these Bills I think there are 12 or 13 is to divide up a very old and out-of-date piece of legislation into sections and update it, so the hon. Member will realise it is a huge undertaking. The drafts exist and we are going through the process of considering all stakeholders. We are dealing with things from hotels to infectious diseases and rodents, so it is a huge area and we are dividing these up into, I think, 13 separate Bills, so it is a complicated process.
- **Hon. E J Phillips:** Just one further question. Insofar as the large number, 12 or 13 pieces of legislation, is that currently in final form as drafted by those the Government has sought externally to instruct to draft that legislation?

2950

2955

2945

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: The process includes the first draft, then the discussion with stakeholders like the Environmental Agency, the Director of Public Health and the Environment Department. Those comments are incorporated into a revised draft, and then that draft is sent to the Gibraltar Law Officers for them to just consolidate and cast an eye over it. We are generally in the latter part of that process, so we do not have a final draft yet, but we have a draft which has already had input from all stakeholders and now the final drafting process is being undertaken.

2960

Hon. E J Phillips: The view that the Minister came to is that we did not have within the Government legal service the available resource to conduct this drafting exercise and therefore it was considered by the Government that they should 'instruct out', as the term is used, to conduct this drafting exercise. Is that right?

2965

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, the hon. Member will be aware that the Government Law Officers have been engaged for the vast majority of the time over the last few years – certainly when these drafts were being prepared – in Brexit and related legislation and therefore we have had to call on support of this nature.

2970

Hon. K Azopardi: Can I just ask on this issue, have I understood correctly? Is it that 12 distinct pieces of legislation have been prepared modernising 12 other Bills, or is it that the Public Health Act, which is a voluminous statute, has been broken up into 12 different pieces of legislation?

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: The latter, Mr Speaker. The big Public Health Act is being divided up into one on waste, one on contaminated land ... I am just going by memory, so I do not commit myself to those actual headings, but it is one Act that is being divided into separate ones.

2975

Hon. K Azopardi: And can I just ask ...? That really goes counter to the trend that ... Normally you do it the other way around. Normally you have consolidating legislation, and to actually have an act that has been around for a very long time ... It requires modernisation, I accept that by all means, but to break it up into 12 Acts is really going against the trend of legislative technique and it probably is unhelpful in terms of the user of legislation and indeed public awareness. Has the Minister considered whether... of course it should be modernised, but it should be kept as one consolidated Act?

2980

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, there is such a wide range of often barely related subjects that I am quite comfortable in this approach and I think it will make the use and interpretation of the legislation easier and not more complex. That is my personal view.

2990

2985

Hon. K Azopardi: We are still in time, so perhaps I would ask him to reflect on it because the public health legislation in Gibraltar is based on English sources, so it is similar kind of structure in England. I appreciate it is voluminous, but for example, when there has been an exercise done on the Companies Act or the Insolvency Act, the consolidating exercise of the financial services was a consolidating exercise, and here we would be going really against the grain of what ... We should be attempting to make legislation easier to use for someone picking it up. You can have a part on noxious diseases and a part on nuisance and a part on something else, but at least you know it is all in the Public Health Act. It would be perhaps a bit difficult for people to use in that form. I just invite him not to give an instant decision, but to reflect on that. And can I ask him to what extent there has been external consultation on whether that is the preferred option?

3000

2995

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, the Public Health Act is a mess — it is very complex to use, very out of date and therefore I repeat what I said earlier: I think that to compartmentalise it strategically and being able to focus on all the different aspects will actually be helpful. Clearly I will reflect on what the hon. Member has said, but I think that it is helpful and all the stakeholders — who are many, covering a range of Ministries and a lot of my colleagues here have supported me in allowing their heads of Department and so on to be consulted — feel that this is helpful. It may be against other trends, but I am not averse to going against the trends anyway. Certainly I will reflect on it, but I think it is the right move.

3005

Chief Minister (Hon. F R Picardo): Mr Speaker, just to give a flavour of what we are doing, rates are provided for in the Public Health Act, and most young lawyers, when asked to check how rates

are calculated, would not think that the place they would need to go to find the calculation as to rates is the Public Health Act, and so you are combining issues which relate to what everybody might associate with public health with issues relating to the cost of a particular hereditament for a particular period. So in the context of public health, it would not make sense to continue with the exercise of consolidation, because if we were to do so, public health covers such a wide panoply of issues at the moment that we might be persuaded to put financial services legislation under the Public Health Act as well, because there are completely unrelated matters under the Public Health Act. That is why we have taken the policy decision that it makes sense to strip out from the definition, the headline of 'public health', many of the areas which are presently covered by the Act, because the trend is to consolidate things under a subject heading which is in keeping with the issues that are dealt with in the legislation, and that is why here it does not make sense to continue in that way.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q612-16/2022

Dog licences and dog fouling – Checks, fines and convictions; DNA testing

Clerk: Question 612/2022. The Hon. D A Feetham.

3025

Hon. D A Feetham: Mr Speaker, how many checks for unregistered dogs have there been since 1st January 2018 and how many fines have been issued?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

3030

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, I will answer this question together with Questions 613 to 616.

Clerk: Question 613/2022. The Hon. D A Feetham.

3035

Hon. D A Feetham: Mr Speaker, how many convictions or fines issued have there been in each of the last four years for failure to register or license a dog in Gibraltar?

Clerk: Question 614/2022. The Hon. D A Feetham.

3040

Hon. D A Feetham: Mr Speaker, how many convictions or fines issued have there been for dog fouling in each of the last four years?

Clerk: Question 615/2022. The Hon. D A Feetham.

3045

Hon. D A Feetham: Mr Speaker, in relation to any convictions and/or fines for dog fouling, how many have resulted from the Government's DNA testing programme?

Clerk: Question 616/2022. The Hon. D A Feetham.

3050

Hon. D A Feetham: Mr Speaker, how many DNA samples of dog faeces have been tested since 1st January 2018 and how many fines have resulted from that testing?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, I will now hand over a schedule with the information requested.

Answer to Question 616/2022

Table 1 Patrols and fines issued by the Environmental Protection and Research Unit in relation to unregistered dogs.

Year	No. of Patrols *	No. of fines issued
2018	Over 260	5
2019	Over 260	2
2020	Over 260	1
2021	Over 260	3
2022	Over 260	1

^{*} The EPRU carry out on a minimum of 5 patrols a week, usually these are done daily with the possibility of more than one patrol a day.

Table 2 Number of samples collected and fines issued as a result of the DNA testing program by officers in the Environmental Agency.

Year	No. of Patrols	No. of samples collected	No. of fines issues
2018	No stats	144	11
2019	47	232	40
2020	41	118	1
2021	59	177	18
2022	50	174	15

Table 3 Number of yearly dog patrols carried out by the Environmental Agency for unregistered dogs

Year	No. of Patrols	No. of dogs checked	No. of fines issued
2018	29	No stats	No stats
2019	41	100	5
2020	27	42	1
2021	40	117	0
2022	54	149	15

Hon. D A Feetham: Mr Speaker, with your leave, may I suggest that you continue with the session and I will analyse the answers? Thank you very much, Mr Speaker.

3060 Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q617/2022 Mid Harbours garage – Accumulated rubbish

Clerk: Question 617/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

Hon. D J Bossino: Piles of rubbish continue to present a problem at the Mid Harbours garage. When will the Government resolve this longstanding issue?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, the issue arose as a result of a broken door. The door has now been replaced and this should resolve the issue.

Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker, I have been there myself and, from what I have seen, that does not seem to be a reasonable explanation as to why there are piles of rubbish in the garage area. It may be a question of enforcement, it may be a question of having security cameras out there to monitor what is happening, but unless he can give me more information in terms of which broken door to what and why it is causing that issue ... I have been into the area where the rubbish is meant to be laid, but it is normally laid outside in the garage area, for example, in huge piles, and I am told that that issue has not been resolved after many ... I was going to say years, but I would not be giving him necessarily accurate information.

Can he give me a bit more information and comment on what I have just said? It just does not make sense.

Chief Minister (Hon. F R Picardo): Mr Speaker, I agree with him. I have been there too. The Hon. Minister is right in the answer he has given, there was a broken door, but the door was not broken in a way that people could not open it. The Minister for Housing was there with me. What you have is a pristine, clean, rubbish-depositing area. Many of our compatriots open the door, put their rubbish inside the receptacles which are inside the room, and act – it is not even the civic way, Mr Speaker – in the normal way that a human being would behave. Some, and fortunately not very few, take their rubbish and deposit it just outside the door because they cannot be ... (A Member: Bothered.) 'bothered', I think, is the acceptable word in Parliament – thank you, Minister – to open the door and put it inside the receptacle, the broken door, the repaired door. They leave it outside and then somebody will come behind and see that there is a bag in front of the door and then not move the bag and not open the door and put another bag there. And then somebody will come and put another bag there and another bag.

The hon. Gentleman will say to me, 'Put in CCTV.' I told him last time that one of the things we are doing in another area is experimenting with CCTV. When we put in CCTV, people, in order not to open the door, will turn up with hoodies on, so that the CCTV will give us only images of people wearing hoodies putting their rubbish outside the area with the receptacle. The hon. Gentleman will say to me, 'Illegalise hoodies, or at least make it illegal for people to wear a hoodie when they are going to deposit their rubbish.' We will get to a stage where they will say, 'Employ a refuse disposal area monitor,' and we will end up with 15,000 Gibraltarians employed to police 15,000 other Gibraltarians, one on one, to ensure that we put the rubbish where we need to put it. For goodness sake!

I refuse to believe that the people of Gibraltar need to be mollycoddled in this way and that the few *guarroses* there are require us to police them in this way so that they can behave in a manner which is legitimate, proper and normal and put their rubbish in the area which is provided, so that they do not leave their rubbish in the way for anyone. This is the sort of problem that then creates a problem with rodents, that then creates a problem with monkeys, that then creates a problem with gulls, etc.. All of these issues – not in that area, which is covered, but in other areas – create the wider problems that we are dealing with. I refuse to believe that the vast majority of modern Gibraltarians require this level of supervision with cameras or mollycoddling, but if we are pushed to it we shall have the cameras, we shall illegalise hoodies and we shall employ the 15,000 Gibraltarians to take the other 15,000 Gibraltarians by the hand to open the door and put the rubbish in the bleeding receptacle.

Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker, one can observe and sense the levels of frustration from the hon. Member opposite, and I suppose somebody who has been directing the fortunes of this

3115

3065

3070

3075

3080

3085

3090

3095

3100

3105

community over the last 11 years ... You can see it. It is things like this which must get to him when he is dealing with other issues as well and people simply do not play ball.

If I can go back to the initial reply given by the Hon. the Minister for the Environment, given the reply we have just heard, are we to assume that the door and the replacement thereof will not be the solution to all our woes in respect of this issue, and actually it is the wider and much more complicated and complex issue to resolve of uncivil and unsocial behaviour?

Hon. Chief Minister: Well, Mr Speaker, it is something that gets to me, something that frustrates me. I think it frustrates every right-thinking member of this community. I am sure it is frustrating him. I would have thought that he wants to do politics which is different to this. Parliaments have to deal with issues that affect everyday life and macro-economic issues also, but I would have thought that he would prefer to ask us questions on a different issue. We would prefer to be able to visit the estates to deal with issues about how we are going to ensure that the estate has an extra 50 years of life rather than how we ensure that people comply with the most basic way in which we would expect people to behave.

Dealing with the door is to ensure that there is not any excuse. It was not an unopenable door, but it is now perfectly easy to open the door. There is no question of there being any problem with the door. There is no excuse. This is not about people playing ball. This is about people knowing how to live in community, and living in community is knowing how to live in a socially acceptable way that does not cause a problem for others, so that others can have peaceful enjoyment of their properties through your peaceful enjoyment of your own and your common care for the common areas.

Today we have people provided with *El Turno* in Government estates. My parents' generation, his parents' generation, our parents' generation would not countenance anybody coming to clean their front stoop. By the time somebody came to clean our parents' front doors, our parents' front doors would be so spick and span that the person who came to clean it could sit down and have a coffee with our respective parents because our parents would ensure it was clean and would be ready to give the person who came to clean the coffee.

I refuse to accept that Gibraltar has deteriorated socially, morally and responsibly to a stage where it can be anything other than a minority that are doing this, and therefore we will deal with the minority, because otherwise we may as well pack up and go, and I refuse to ever say that we are ready to pack up and go. I will be the last man standing, putting the rubbish bags inside the refuse, even if it is him I have to take by the hand to put his in.

I am sure that we will get there. I am sure that people will be shamed into understanding that this is not a Government problem, this is a problem that people are creating for themselves and others, that it is a few and that we, all together, should shame them and not give them the excuse that because the door might not swing open when you touch it and you might have to pull it, that is enough to then put your rubbish outside. On this we should all be united, we should not give anybody a way out and we should lead by example, and the example that we lead by should be in this place.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

3120

3125

3130

3135

3140

3145

3150

Q618/2022 Museum – Updated visitor numbers

Clerk: Question 618/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

3160

Hon. D J Bossino: Please provide the total number of museum visitors by year and month since the last time this information was updated, on 11th December 2019, in table T3 of the online Government statistics.

3165 **Clerk:** Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, I now hand over a schedule with the information required.

Answer to Question 618/2022

Total number of museum visitors by year and month

Year	January	February	. March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
2019	,											504
2020	690	952	316	0	15	25	166	395	341	502	185	155
2021	0	0	137	157	442	817	587	753	597	678	908	355
2022	338	489	791	1,018	1,698	957	1,141	1,423	1,153	1,582		

Updated 11-Dec-2022

Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker, can I go on to the next question and perhaps go back to this one, so I have a chance to look at it? I do not think my hon. and learned Friend Mr Feetham will have any supplementaries in relation to his schedule, but if I can ask the next one ...?

Mr Speaker: Does the Hon. Daniel Feetham have any questions? No, all right.

Q619/2022 Tourism levy – Amounts received since introduction

Clerk: Question 619/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

3175

3170

Hon. D J Bossino: What amounts have been received since the introduction of the overnight stay tax of £3 per person since the measure was introduced?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

3180

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, I now hand over another schedule with the information requested.

Answer to Question 619/2022

Climate Change Act - Tourism Levy

Month	To	tal Invoiced	Tota	Outstanding	Total Received		
APRIL	£		£		£	-	
MAY	£		£	-	£	-	
JUNE	£	1-1	£	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	£	-	
JULY	£	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	£	- 1	£	-	
AUGUST	£	65,433.00	£	204.00	£	65,229.00	
SEPTEMBER	£	76,188.00	£	1,045.20	£	75,142.80	
OCTOBER	£	61,659.00	£	14,154.00	£	47,505.00	
NOVEMBER	£	7,071.00	£	7,002.00	£	69.00	
DECEMBER	£	-	£		£	-	
JANUARY	£	-	£		£	-	
FEBRUARY	£	-	£		£		
MARCH	£	-	£	-	£		

Hon. D J Bossino: Mr Speaker, is the hon. Member able to confirm ...? The title to the schedule suggests the answer, actually – (Interjection) sorry, to Question 619 – that ... Is he able to confirm, therefore, that the hotel levy is being paid into what was termed by the Hon. the Chief Minister, during the course of his Budget address, as the Climate Action Fund? So those amounts which are set out there have been ring-fenced in that fund?

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Yes, Mr Speaker, I can confirm that.

Hon. D J Bossino: Can I just ask on this one, the Climate Change Act tourism levy: why is the invoiced sum for November so different to those for August, September and October?

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, there could be two reasons. One is occupancy, and the other is that we may not have received all the information for November, as this was prepared a week or so ago, and so there is likely a time lag. I can find out whether it is one or the other, but it could be either or both.

Hon. K Azopardi: So the mechanics of it are that it is invoiced later; it is not deducted at source by the hotel? Is that right?

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: That is correct. We are provided with the information and there is always a time lag before we get the information and the funds.

Chief Minister (Hon. F R Picardo): It probably is charged by the hotel to the individual, but then the hotel gives us the information and we send them an invoice for that amount.

Hon. D J Bossino: It seems that in the first month the total outstanding amounts are very low, but then they seem to be creeping up in every single month. For example, in the last month the total outstanding is very high. I am not sure whether that is a timing — (Interjection) Is that it? Okay, but does that apply to October and September, which are much higher than the August ones? I do not know what the details are, in terms of how long they have to pay. It seems that ...

Hon. Chief Minister: Mr Speaker, they should pay immediately, but sometimes they might not. The delay obviously relates to the period for which the invoice has been outstanding, so the August invoice that has been outstanding for longer is likely to have been paid, the September

3215

3185

3190

3195

3205

invoice has been outstanding for a month less than the August one, the October one has been outstanding for a month less than the September one, and the November one has just been sent, so it is very unlikely that you would see the full payment of the November one by December when you have not seen the full payment of the August one by December. So it is just the time lag that you would expect to see and the way that the payments are made. Of course, there is more of a percentage outstanding in the November one because it has just been sent and none of it has been paid. That is not reasonable, it is understandable.

Hon. D J Bossino: How long do they have to pay? Is it a month?

Hon. Chief Minister: The Government's business terms, Mr Speaker, are usually 30 days for people to repay us, but as he would tend to know, most people do not pay in that period; they get a first chaser etc. He can see that actually the record of payment is quite good because in August, of £65,000 invoiced there is only £200 outstanding. I call that a very good recovery rate. By September you had £76,000 invoiced and £1,000 outstanding, so the hit rate here is actually very good.

Hon. D J Bossino: Thank you.

Q618/2022 Museum – Updated visitor numbers – Supplementary questions

Hon. D J Bossino: In relation to Question 618, the museum visits – this information had not been uploaded on the website and that is why we wanted updated information – does he have any comment to make in relation to these statistics? It seems that we are going back to pre-COVID levels, so I am sure he will be satisfied by that, although there are higher numbers in 2010-11. I would welcome his ... I cannot ask for his views because I am not meant to, but his comment in relation to that.

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): The numbers are returning to pre-COVID. There will be fluctuation, it will depend on all sorts of things, but we are satisfied that they are consistently higher than they have been. The museum is a wonderful asset and I am sure it will continue to thrive.

Hon. K Azopardi: On the museum numbers – which I agree is a wonderful asset, although I may not agree that a lot of people are visiting it – does he know whether those numbers include school visits?

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: The Hon. the Leader of the Opposition's opinion on the numbers that are visiting it is not really relevant because we know the numbers that are visiting.

I am not sure whether they include these other statistics. I will seek clarification. I apologise for not having that information, but I will seek it. They may be separate because these are probably based on sales, whereas schools would go as groups, but I will seek that clarification.

Hon. K Azopardi: I would be grateful if he would because I was agreeing with him, it is a wonderful asset. What I am saying to him is that perhaps if he – (Interjection by Hon. Prof. J E Cortes) Yes, if he finds out that information, whether it includes schoolchildren or not ... Certainly if it includes school visitors I would say it is not a lot of people. Even if it does include

3250

3220

3225

3230

3235

3240

3245

3260

schoolchildren, given the importance that we give to tourism in this community and the asset that it is and the vehicle it represents to transmit and inform a visitor to Gibraltar of our history and heritage, we should try to create more public awareness of that wonderful asset so that more people visit it.

3265

3270

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: I must add, in order just to assist in this discussion, that the museum does have a virtual presence. I do not have the figures here, but I do know that they have many virtual visitors. Clearly, it is not the same visitor we would also welcome to Gibraltar for a stay and as part of the Gibraltar experience, but there is a lot of promotion of the museum and there are lots of hits on the website, and I will try to share that information as well when I respond on the matter of the schools.

Q620/2022 St Martin's School – Number of new pupils expected in 2023-24

Clerk: Question 620/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

Hon. D J Bossino: How many new pupils are expected to be enrolled into St Martin's School in academic year 2023-24, broken down into ages?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

3280

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, although it is not possible to establish the numbers with certainty just yet, I would predict that approximately 16 new pupils might be expected to be enrolled into St Martin's School, into the pre-pre-school year in Early Birds Nursery for commencement in September 2023.

3290

3285

Hon. D J Bossino: I appreciate that there will be an inherent lack of certainty, but again I would invite him to say something about that because ... The reason why I ask this question is because in the last exchange we had on this issue in the House I asked by way of supplementary ... Because of – how shall I put it? – the shock number that we had last September, when I think it was 24, or something in that region, which he said was, from the Department of Education's point of view, unexpected, I ask him do we know now, more or less, how many children ...? It seems that we have a number ... It is still, although a lesser number – oh, really, only about three? – than September, it is still a very high number compared to the averages we have had over the last 10 years and I would be grateful for his comments in relation to that.

3295

How do we cater, not just in the school, which in many respects, although only very recently constructed – and being as neutral, politically, as I possibly can – is now, in terms of size, not fit for purpose ...? The concern now is – going into the future, into the medium-to-long term – the effect that these numbers are going to have.

3300

He did mention on the last occasion ... I asked a specific question, by way of supplementary, how many children – and he mentioned it now – are going to be using the Early Birds Nursery facility. I can say from personal experience that, for example, when my son accessed that facility I think there were fewer than five, and if you take a snapshot of where we are now, we are talking about more than 40 as things are. So clearly that number is only going to go up and there is a very real potential of that number also going up in terms of the pupils and users who are going to be received at the St Bernardette's facility.

I am putting all of that in there because it is in my head and I just want to get it out there and give him the opportunity to comment on all of that. I suppose what I am asking is what planning the Government has in relation to what is coming.

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, I am grateful for the largely conciliatory tone of that intervention, but I am not letting him get away with saying that St Martin's School is not fit for purpose. It is extremely fit for purpose. The facilities are excellent and are being very well utilised.

I suspect that what he is referring to is the discussion we had last time, but he himself has confirmed the unpredictability at the time of designing the school that I was alluding to when we had this discussion, because from five, six, seven children a year we have had 19, I think, recently. Now it is 16 – it could be one or two more, so it could be around ... So it confirms the trend, but it also confirms that at the design stage we were looking at the statistics that we had available. I am confident that we will be able, as I have committed here before, to accommodate all these 16 new pupils – be it 16, 15, 17, 18 or 19 – in a school that is extremely fit for purpose.

Hon. D J Bossino: To clarify – and again, it is not that he does not need to let me get away with making the comment – it was only in respect of space. That is what I meant, and clearly the admission of these new 16 – possibly, as he said, a bit more – is going to be plus the same number that have been there now for this academic year, plus the other numbers, so we are going to have ... I would ask him what immediate plans he has in order to cater for these 16. I know there is the possibility, in terms of the construction of that building, of building an extra floor – I think he has said that in the past. Clearly that is not going to happen anytime soon, but in terms of creating space, are we looking at reconfiguring some of the facilities in terms of rooms that are available, for example, for Snoozeland, which is where the kids maybe relax, and maybe turning that into a classroom; or, indeed, as we had in the old St Martin's, the setting up of portacabins again? Obviously parents and users would rather not have to go down that route again.

3330

3305

3310

3315

3320

3325

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, as I said – I think it was at the last meeting or the one before that – we are looking at all options and we will ensure that these children are more than adequately provided for.

3335

Hon. D J Bossino: Will there also be an impact in terms of teacher and LSA staffing levels in terms of catering for these 16-plus new children?

3340

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, when I answered my first question today and I pointed out that St Martin's School, on the morning of 15th December, had 22 permanent and 64 non-permanent LSAs, it already shows the commitment. This is a school where you have to have the support, you have to have, sometimes, 2:1 staff-to-pupil support, as the hon. Member is well aware, and therefore these will clearly be provided. We have never stopped short of supplying all the staff that these children need and deserve.

3345

Hon. D J Bossino: Just one final question. I accept it was a bit of a long preamble to my first supplementary, but specifically what plans does his Department have in relation to the ...? This is going to be a feeder school for St Bernadette's into the future, so now that we know these are the numbers ... Hopefully, that number will decrease because some of these children will improve and go into mainstream schooling, but the unfortunate reality is that most of these children are going to be in the system, and so what are we going to do in relation to St Bernadette's and how they are going to be able to cater for that, now we can plan?

3350

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Once again, Mr Speaker, we are well aware of that situation and we are looking at various options in order to deal with it.

3355

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q621/2022 Programme of events – Planned events for 2023

Clerk: Question 621/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

Hon. D J Bossino: Please list which events, to include music concerts, the Government or its agencies is planning to hold, sponsor and/or promote during the course of 2023.

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, I hand over the schedule of proposed events for 2023. Events after 1st April will be subject to provision in next year's Estimates. I must thank the Hon. Minister for Sport and the Hon. Minister of Tourism for supplying information on sports.

I have seen the schedule. I think you may have a previous version because I have seen that the Literary Festival, which I specifically added, has been omitted; so please, with my apologies, accept that there should also be a Gibraltar Literary Festival included.

Answer to Question 621/2022

Culture Events:

3365

Three Kings Cavalcade
GibTalks
Cultural Exchange in Tangier
Young Art Exhibition
World Book Day
Drama Festival
Workers Memorial Day
Shakespeare for Kids
Spring Short Story Competition
Spring Art Exhibition
Spring Celebration of Opera
Book Crossing Day
Calentita Night
Gibraltar Fair
Our Gibraltar Exhibition
National Week Boat Procession
National Day Celebrations to include Jazz at Piazzellla, Variety Show and Rock Concert at Casemates
Autumn Classical Concert
Autumn Zarzuela
Cultural Awards
International Art Competition & Exhibition
Autumn Poetry Competition
Autumn Bookmark Competition
Christmas Events (previously Christmas Festival of Lights and the Attractions at John Mackintosh Square)
Gibraltar Literature Week

Sports and Leisure Events:

International Backgammon Tournament
Eagles HC EuroHockey Club Challenge III
Squash International Open
GTSA Open Championships
Veteran Car Rally
Gibraltar Regatta
Harley Davison Motorbike Rally
European Table Soccer Championships
Eurafrica Trail
Junior Darts Corporation World Championships
Rock Masters Ten-Pin Bowling International

Hon. D J Bossino: So just to be clear, and he can correct me if I have misunderstood it, when he says after 1st April, obviously he is talking about the financial year, so these are events which are catered for and have been approved in the last Budget – is that what he means? – but in terms of dates, they may happen after 1st April?

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, what I mean is these are the events that are planned and in a lot of cases they are standard events that happen every year, but we have a budget which is valid until 31st March. If you look at the cultural events, the Drama Festival is in March, so anything after that will be in the next financial year. And in the sports events, the International Backgammon Tournament I think is in February. The rest would be after the end of March, and therefore, although we expect them to be carried out, we do not have the funding voted in this Parliament in order to carry them out. That is a programme which is a fairly standard programme with one or two additional things added.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

3375

3380

3385

3390

3400

Q622-23/2022 Household waste – Process re exportation, recycling, collection and treatment

Clerk: Question 622/2022. The Hon. the Leader of the Opposition.

Hon. K Azopardi: Mr Speaker, can the Government set out the current process in respect of the exportation, recycling, collection and treatment of household waste?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, I will answer this question together with Question 623.

Clerk: Question 623/2022. The Hon. the Leader of the Opposition.

Hon. K Azopardi: Mr Speaker, how are articles of household waste that are able to be recycled treated in comparison with other non-recyclable articles, and where are they sent to once they are collected and leave Gibraltar?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

3410

3415

3425

3430

3435

3440

3445

3450

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, Gibraltar's household waste, which is both municipal waste and bulky waste, is collected from bin stores around Gibraltar by Gibraltar Industrial Cleaners. It is temporarily stored at the Waste Transfer Station at Europa Advance Road and is then exported to Spain for recovery or disposal under the Transfrontier Shipment of Waste (TFS) regime by a licensed waste exporter.

Mixed municipal waste currently goes to the plant at Los Barrios, where it undergoes mechanical and manual sorting with the residual waste going to landfill.

Separated recyclable waste is collected from kerbside recycling points by Britannia, taken to the Ecopark, sorted as needed, and then exported to authorised treatment facilities in Spain for processing. These exports happen under the Annex VII process of the Waste Shipment Regulations.

Hon. K Azopardi: Can I ask the Minister: as I understand that process, the recyclables are never then mixed at Europa Advanced Road with the other articles – is that right?

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: That is correct. They do not even go to the same place. The recyclables go to the Ecopark in Devil's Tower Road, and the municipal waste, the common household refuse, goes to the pit at Europa Advanced. I called it the Waste Transfer Station, but I think we know exactly where we mean.

Hon. K Azopardi: The Hon. Minister said that the first process, the non-recyclables, where they are exported under the Transfrontier Shipment of Waste regulations ... I think that comes from the EU regime – is that right? How are these arrangements on the exportation of non-recyclables and recyclables going to be affected? Are they going to be affected in relation to the negotiations being carried out now?

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Essentially, the process has changed. The process used to be one in which the Department of the Environment – and particularly the Environmental Agency, which carries out the supervision and monitors the issuing of these permits – would engage with the authorities of the Junta de Andalucía in Seville. Post-Brexit, it now deals with MITECO, the Environment Ministry in Madrid. The hon. Member will recall the change in the process led to some delays at the beginning of the year of transition, but it is now working and we are now exporting. So the main change has been an administrative one from the permits issuing in Seville to issuing in Madrid.

Hon. K Azopardi: Can I ask, just finally, on that: even though the processes have changed, as the hon. Member has indicated, in terms of who you are liaising with, are those arrangements that are not giving us problems functioning on an ad hoc temporary basis? Would they be affected in the event of a no-deal scenario?

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, I do not believe so. We are now not working under the EU directives, we are working under the very similar Basel Convention and therefore that is the avenue by which these permits are being requested, so I do not foresee that that need necessarily be a problem.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, just picking up on the recyclables point, around Gibraltar there are various places where there are recycle bins, depending on which recyclable article goes into which bin. How are they collected? I think it just feeds into this point. My understanding is that a truck pulls up, an arm comes out and picks up the respective bins and effectively puts the recyclables in this unit. So whilst the public are separating their recyclable waste into categories,

this all gets reverted into a truck that then has to separate it again. Is that not how it works? I would be grateful if he could just clarify that, please.

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Mr Speaker, this is the myth of Gibraltar's recycling. I have been told that it is mixed for the last 11 years, and in these days of social media if it was actually happening we would have had a video showing it.

No, the trucks go from site to site collecting from the different ones. One truck run will be the yellow bins, the next truck run will be the green bins and the next ... So they are different runs, they are not mixed. There have been allegations. We have never, ever seen evidence. If that was the case, then there would be serious consequences on both the company that does it and, I would assume, on the operator who does it. The routes are the same but the process is that they do all the yellows, all the greens and so on.

Hon. E J Phillips: Mr Speaker, that was very helpful. Just one further question that relates to recycling more generally. I noted from his recent public statements that there has been a significant decrease by the population in recycling, and I wonder how the Government, given its purported green credentials, is going to tackle the question and encourage members of our community to reinstate that recycling process.

Hon. Prof. J E Cortes: Well, one of the – (Interjection) No, absolutely. We are indeed, and one of the issues may be this myth, this lack of confidence in the system. Now that I have explained it, I hope we have allies on the other side of the House who will explain that that is not what happens.

Clearly, there are people who do not respect the different receptacles and do mix them – that is a problem – and then it is separated in the depot. I am considering the possibility of legislating against that, something that perhaps may have to happen. But certainly we are actively encouraging in the media – you will have seen it on GBC television – recycling, and we do that in the schools. So hopefully it will pick up.

One would like to think that the decrease in recycling is in fact because we are using less packaging. I am not convinced it is – it would be a wonderful story if that were the reason – but there we are. We have to promote recycling. It is clearly environmentally sound and I just hope that people will regain confidence in the process.

Q624/2022 St Mary's School – Annual rental cost

Clerk: Question 624/2022. The Hon. the Leader of the Opposition.

Hon. K Azopardi: Mr Speaker, what is the annual rental cost that will be paid in respect of St Mary's School?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Minister for the Environment and Education.

Minister for the Environment, Sustainability, Climate Change and Education (Hon. Prof. J E Cortes): Mr Speaker, the answer remains as provided in the last session of the House in answer to Question 487/2022.

Mr Speaker: If I may interject at this point, Hon. Leader of the Opposition? I came across this question and I noted that it infringed the six months rule, but I used my discretion and allowed it

3485

3490

3495

3460

3465

3470

3475

because it was of public interest. Given that the answer remains as it was last month, I do not think it would be fair for me to allow you to ask any supplementaries.

Hon. K Azopardi: Mr Speaker, obviously I respect your ruling, but the question I was going to ask ... I will just explain it to you, Mr Speaker, and then you can decide.

My recollection – and I know we had an exchange through the Clerk about my explanation on the issue of the six months rule – of the hon. Member's answer last time was that when I asked specifically what the rental cost was he did not have the information with him, so the purpose of this question was for him to give that information. His answer cannot be like it was last time, because last time he said, 'I do not have the information with me.' I have filed the question so that he could then answer it. That is the reason for it.

Mr Speaker: I understand the explanation.

Chief Minister (Hon. F R Picardo): I actually have the Hansard. Well, I have the note of our own internal transcript of what was said and, Mr Speaker, the hon. Gentleman said, 'The figure is in the public domain, in which case, if the figure is in the public domain then the question should not be asked because it is in the public domain.' And then the Hon. Minister said, 'If it is in the public domain, it is in the public domain. I do not have it in my head, but if it is in the public domain, there it is.'

Our position in respect of this has not changed. Our position in respect of this is that when the lease is finalised, that is when the actual figure is crystallised and that is when we can give them the actual figure. Everything else is the estimated figure, which is the figure that we were talking about last time, but that does not change and that is exactly the position as it was. If we are going to have the same debate every month despite the rules, then we are not going to be able to make much progress.

Hon. K Azopardi: No, Mr Speaker, I was not intending to have the same debate. I had understood ... and we are all working from our own notes, his note, my note. My note indicated, yes, that there was that exchange, but also an exchange that indicated that the hon. Members did not want to commit to a figure because we had said there was something in the public domain but they were not sure about confirming it and I wanted to give them the opportunity to do so. If they are not prepared to do so until there is a signed document, we will file the question then, but that is the intention of the question. It was not a trap or anything like that. I thought I was responding to their position that they did not want to commit last time because they did not have it in front of them.

Hon. Chief Minister: No, Mr Speaker, and what we have to be careful with here is that we could end up with a dispute as to the measurements etc. We do not want to be fixed with having agreed with the hon. Member across the floor of the House a particular amount, maybe the relevant one, if we are then, when it comes to preparing the lease, having a dispute with the landlord as to what the actual amount is, and he turns around and says, 'Well, actually, the figure that you are disputing now is the figure that you accepted in Parliament is the right one,' when the time comes and we have measured and we no longer take the view it is the right one.

I want to be very clear that the Government has an estimation, which is the one that we have debated, but the amount will be the amount that is set out in the lease when the parties agree that the measurements are the accurate ones etc., and then that is what is in the interest of the taxpayer.

3515

3520

3510

3500

3505

3525

3535

3540

3545

Procedural – Question 625/2022 to be asked at tomorrow's sitting

Mr Speaker: The next question would have been posed by the Hon. Marlene Hassan Nahon, but she had to go off, and so I have agreed that she can put her question at tomorrow's session.

DEPUTY CHIEF MINISTER

Q626/2022

Rosia Bay expressions of interest – Reason for short period allowed for submission

Clerk: Question 626/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

3550

Hon. D J Bossino: Why was the time for the submission of expressions of interest in respect of Rosia Bay so short?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister.

3555

Deputy Chief Minister (Hon. Dr J J Garcia): Mr Speaker, Rosia Bay has already come out to expression of interest several times. The only new component was a decision this time to include the Alternative Fortress headquarters building as part of the EOI. The time given was therefore judged to be enough for interested parties to put their names forward.

3560

Hon. D J Bossino: I am sure the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister is aware of the reaction that some of the NGOs have had to this expressions of interest. An interview was given on behalf of the Gibraltar Heritage Trust by Mr Keith Farrell, where, whereas I think he broadly welcomed the project he was critical of the short period of time in which expressions of interest had been allowed to come forward. In this connection, in his press release he said:

3565

We must however note some concern and reservation that the notice given for submission of any Expressions of Interest is only 2 weeks, which may not be sufficient time for well thought through and feasible proposals.

He then adds:

The Government should consider extending this period to allow more time for high quality proposals.

Do I take it from the hon. Member's response that that is not being considered by the Government?

3570

Hon. Deputy Chief Minister: Mr Speaker, I was aware of the comments issued by the Heritage Trust – indeed, I have them here. Their concern was simply the narrow concern that it may not be sufficient time for well thought, thorough and feasible proposals. We have received well-thought, thorough and reasonable proposals within the time that was given, so that concern, although we understand and fully respect their views, is unfounded.

3575

An expression of interest only requires basic details of a very preliminary nature, literally expressing an interest, indicating the level of premium, indicating the land use. It may include layout plans or sketches or very basic concept design, but it is not a full engineering drawing or architectural drawings that are required for that, so it is possible to do them in a relatively short period of time. There have been five interested parties who have submitted an expression of

interest. Two of them were of the basic type that I have described, but the other three were actually very detailed and included more information than required for an EOI. So although I understand the concerns that were expressed and the Government understands them, in fact the basis for the concerns was not necessarily and I am happy to be able to allay their fears this evening.

3585

3590

3595

3580

Hon. D J Bossino: Of course, ultimately that is a question of judgement, which I assume is being exercised by the Government and him personally in relation to, if I quote the press statement, whether the proposals are 'well thought through and feasible'.

If I can press him on one further point, the expressions of interest which have been ... As he says, there have been more than one and I think this may indeed be the third expressions of interest; I was certainly aware of one of them. The difference with this one is that it now includes ... I think it is called the AOH Centre, and there are certain requirements in relation to what they should provide. It is the AHQ building and it is to be converted into a boutique hotel incorporating an information centre. As I understand it, the original expressions of interests included and allowed for a residential area. That is not featuring in this EOI and I think the NGOs have commented on that to the extent that they think the expectation is – and in respect of which they are drawing comfort because they objected to that particular feature – there will not be a residential area to be constructed. Can he confirm what the position is in relation to that aspect?

3600

Hon. Deputy Chief Minister: Mr Speaker, let me say, first of all, that the Government has not had the opportunity to examine this in the detail that we would need to actually appoint a preferred bidder. We have gone through them.

3605

3610

The fact that we have received substantial responses in the period of time given is not a question of judgement, it is a matter of fact. The responses are there and we have received them. There have been several expressions of interest, as I said in the answer. There have not been three, there have been five altogether and these go back to around 1999 when the whole thing started, when Rosia Bay was put out by itself – that is to say only the bay. There was then another one, which included the bay and the nearby Victualling Yard. Then, around that point, which must have been 2000-02, the then Government took the view that it was really not economically or commercially viable to put out these two sites to tender or to expressions of interest without some kind of residential component forming part of the overall package. Developers who had made representations to them at the time had indicated that the project was not commercially viable, that without some kind of residential element to it the whole thing was not viable.

3615

What happened then, after that, in the next expression of interest, is that the then Government issued another one, which included the Grand Magazine site with the intention that the residential project at Grand Magazine would be enough to finance the development of the bay and the Victualling Yard. That went out to tender. It was a requirement of the tender that that should happen. In the event, the development at Grand Magazine, which is there today and was supposed to be a three-to-four storey, ended up being an eight or nine-storey development, being the residential element, but the development, refurbishment and restoration of the bay did not happen because, at the time, the Government agreed with the developer that they would pay the sum of £300,000 and with that sum get out of the commitment to refurbish and restore the bay. So the residential, which is supposed to be part of the project to finance it commercially, went ahead and the refurbishment/restoration of the bay sadly did not happen, and I say that ... Just to get to the residential point, some of the proposals the Government has received include a residential element and some of the proposal received do not include a residential element, so there are two types. Clearly the premiums involved are very different.

3625

3620

In terms of the AHQ building, the Heritage Trust was actually consulted in advance. My office arranged with LPS to take them round the building to express views and opinions as to what they felt could and could not be done and to make that a part of the expression of interest process before it was issued, so this is something which, as the hon. Member has said, the Trust has

actually welcomed. They had also written to the Government before the EOI came out, indicating an understanding that, as a result of the pandemic, economic priorities had changed and there was a need to stimulate and encourage investment and that one way of doing that was putting out the AHQ building for potential use as a boutique hotel, which is what we have done. They also welcomed the holistic approach to the whole area, saying that the hotel would fit in very nicely with that kind of outcome.

So, to answer the hon. Member's question, the Government has not, at this stage, selected a preferred bidder. There are several options and we need to sit down and analyse them properly.

Hon. D J Bossino: The hon. Member may not be able – it may not be appropriate for him across the floor of the House at this stage because he is going to be considering all five proposals – to indicate what the Government's view is in relation to the residential aspects of the development. He mentions the things which the NGO is happy with, but in fact, in relation to the residential development they perhaps rather naively thought, given the answer he has just given, that ... Well, they took encouragement that the invitation does not refer to residential development, which they describe as a very firm red line for them, as any building of significant massing at the sites, including [inaudible] the historical monuments at the site. Presumably at this stage the hon. Member is unable to assuage the NGO's concerns in relation to that, and it is actually within the realms of possibility, depending on which one he chooses, that there will be a residential aspect to the development.

Hon. Deputy Chief Minister: And the next step probably would be that the Government would convene a panel to actually examine two bidders, for example, of the three – the most substantial ones, or indeed perhaps one of the others – in greater depth and greater detail. In the past, the Heritage Trust has formed part of those panels. Even though there have been Government bodies in some of these EOIs with a heritage dimension, they have been included in them to be able to put across their point of view, something they also do as a voting member of the Development and Planning Commission in the event that eventually there were to be a proposal and eventually that proposal were to go to Planning. So there are plenty of opportunities to provide an input in that respect.

There is no preferred bidder, no final decision has been taken and it is a process which is very much at the beginning rather than at the end.

Mr Speaker: Next question.

Q627/2022 Old airport terminal – Plans

Clerk: Question 627/2022. The Hon. D J Bossino.

Hon. D J Bossino: Does the Government have any plans for the area of the old airport terminal; and, if so, what are they?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister.

Deputy Chief Minister (Hon. Dr J J Garcia): Mr Speaker, yes, the old air terminal site went out to expressions of interest and the Government continues in discussion with a preferred bidder.

3675

3670

3635

3640

3645

3650

3655

3660

Hon. D J Bossino: Maybe by way of comparison to the question and answer session we have had in relation to Rosia Bay, do I take it from that ...? I think this expressions of interest is quite dated and maybe he can ... The question is when was it issued. I know it is information which is publicly available. I do not have it in front of me, but how far ...? He says 'a preferred bidder', so presumably, of all the expressions of interest they have had, they have now alighted on one and he is now discussing the finer detail in relation to that one. Is he able to reveal any information as to what they are going to be doing there?

Hon. Deputy Chief Minister: Mr Speaker, yes, this expression of interest came out ... it must have been around 2014, around that time, because one of the reasons why the thing was frozen for a while was because the area needed to be used for border queues. Remember, this was a time when I think Margallo was Foreign Minister of Spain and we did not want to commit the site to any other use, given what was actually happening at that moment in time.

We are in negotiation with one preferred bidder. I think we are quite close to coming to an agreement, given the time it has taken. The intention is that the site would be for commercial use. There would be a pay-and-display multi-storey car park and there would also be storage facilities and a bus terminal, and commercial use generally, in terms of commercial units, retail outlets.

Hon. D J Bossino: Is he able to provide any further detail in relation to that, in terms of how many commercial units, parking spaces, the size, that type of thing? And does he know when he expects to be in a position to announce the launch of the project?

Hon. Deputy Chief Minister: Mr Speaker, we are not there yet. Obviously, some of this is commercially sensitive and I would not like to make any announcement – far from it – until we have actually concluded the negotiation.

Mr Speaker: The Hon. Roy Clinton.

Hon. R M Clinton: Thank you, Mr Speaker.

Can I ask the Minister whether this particular project would form part of the National Economic Plan?

Hon. Deputy Chief Minister: Mr Speaker, no.

3710 **Mr Speaker:** Next question.

3680

3685

3690

3695

3700

3705

3715

Q628/2022 Midtown car park rooftop residential development – Expressions of interest

Clerk: Question 628/2022. The Hon. the Leader of the Opposition.

Hon. K Azopardi: Mr Speaker, how many expressions of interest have been received for the residential development on the rooftop level of Midtown car park, and has the Government identified a preferred bidder?

Clerk: Answer, the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister.

Deputy Chief Minister (Hon. Dr J J Garcia): Mr Speaker, a total of 11 expressions of interest have been received in respect of a residential development at the rooftop level of Midtown car park. The Government has not yet identified a preferred bidder.

Hon. K Azopardi: I guess these have arrived fairly recently, so you would want some time to consider, but does the Government have a view on breaking that down? Are they very diverse in their expressions of interest?

Hon. Deputy Chief Minister: Mr Speaker, I can say that nine were in respect of residential developments of different types. Some had a greater density, others were smaller, single dwellings, and two were for leisure activities.

Hon. K Azopardi: Leisure mixed with residential?

Hon. Deputy Chief Minister: [Inaudible]

Adjournment

Mr Speaker: The Hon. the Chief Minister.

3735

3740

3725

3730

Chief Minister (Hon. F R Picardo): Mr Speaker, there is nothing that makes me happier than to hear hon. Members laugh at Joe Bossano, because he always gets the last laugh.

Tomorrow is the fourth Thursday of December and we will come back at three o'clock for Chief Minister's Questions. The reason we did not meet on the third Thursday in December is because we had our welcome guests in the area of the foyer and the lobby, GBC, who were holding their GBC open day on that day.

I look forward to returning tomorrow and I now move that the House should adjourn to tomorrow at 3 p.m.

3745 **Mr Speaker:** I now propose the question, which is that this House do now adjourn to Thursday, 22nd December at 3 p.m.

I now put the question, which is that this House do now adjourn to Thursday, 22nd December at 3 p.m. Those in favour? (**Members:** Aye.) Those against? Passed.

This House will now adjourn to Thursday, 22nd December at 3 p.m.

The House adjourned at 8.46 p.m.